STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

Butte Creek

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted from June 15, 2009 to June 22, 2009 on Butte Creek. The survey began at the confluence with South Fork Eel River and extended upstream 1.8 miles.

The Butte Creek inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Butte Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for Chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Butte Creek is a tributary to South Fork Eel River, tributary to the Eel River which drains into the Pacific Ocean, located in Humboldt County, California (Map 1). Butte Creek's legal description at the confluence with South Fork Eel River is T03S R03E S10. Its location is 40.2219 north latitude and 123.8222 west longitude, LLID number 1238223402219. Butte Creek is a second order stream and has approximately 4.2 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Miranda 7.5 minute quadrangle. Butte Creek drains a watershed of approximately 4.6 square miles. Elevations range from about 175 feet at the mouth of the creek to 1,250 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is entirely privately owned and is managed for rural subdivision. Vehicle access exists via Highway 101 to the Miranda/Phillipsville exit, park on Maple Hills Road.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Butte Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi et al, 1998). The Watershed Stewards Project/AmeriCorps (WSP) Members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach. All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth, depth of pool tail

crest (measured in the thalweg), dominant substrate composing the pool tail crest, and embeddedness. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Butte Creek to record measurements and observations. There are eleven components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) near the bottom of the stream survey reach using a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flow meter.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity. Channel characteristics are measured using a clinometer, hand level, hip chain, tape measure, and a stadia rod.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1990). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Butte Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. All measurements are in feet to the nearest tenth. Habitat characteristics are measured using a clinometer, hip chain, and stadia rod.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out areas is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Butte Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate like bedrock, log sills, boulders or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide juvenile salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition for prey. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Butte Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two, respectively. In addition, the dominant substrate composing the pool tail-outs is recorded for each pool.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densiometers as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Butte Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or hardwood trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Butte Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation (including downed trees, logs, and rootwads) was estimated and recorded.

10. Large Woody Debris Count:

Large woody debris (LWD) is an important component of fish habitat and an element in channel forming processes. In each habitat unit all pieces of LWD partially or entirely below the elevation of bankfull discharge are counted and recorded. The minimum size to be considered is twelve inches in diameter and six feet in length. The LWD count is presented by reach and is expressed as an average per 100 feet.

11. Average Bankfull Width:

Bankfull width can vary greatly in the course of a channel type stream reach. This is especially true in very long reaches. Bankfull width can be a factor in habitat components like canopy density, water temperature, and pool depths. Frequent measurements taken at riffle crests (velocity crossovers) are needed to accurately describe reach widths. At the first appropriate velocity crossover that occurs after the beginning of a new stream survey page (ten habitat units), bankfull width is measured and recorded in the appropriate header block of the page. These widths are presented as an average for the channel type reach.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during the stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. Fish presence was observed from the stream banks in Butte Creek. In addition, underwater observations were made at 21 sites using techniques discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Stream Habitat 2.0.19, a Visual Basic data entry program developed by Karen Wilson, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission in conjunction with the California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following ten tables:

- Riffle, Flatwater, and Pool Habitat Types
- Habitat Types and Measured Parameters
- Pool Types
- Maximum Residual Pool Depths by Habitat Types
- Mean Percent Cover by Habitat Type
- Dominant Substrates by Habitat Type
- Mean Percent Vegetative Cover for Entire Stream
- Fish Habitat Inventory Data Summary by Stream reach (Table 8)
- Mean Percent Dominant Substrate / Dominant Vegetation Type for Entire Stream
- Mean Percent Shelter Cover Types for Entire Stream

Graphics are produced from the tables using Microsoft Excel. Graphics developed for Butte Creek include:

- Riffle, Flatwater, Pool Habitat Types by Percent Occurrence
- Riffle, Flatwater, Pool Habitat Types by Total Length
- Total Habitat Types by Percent Occurrence
- Pool Types by Percent Occurrence
- Maximum Residual Depth in Pools
- Percent Embeddedness
- Mean Percent Cover Types in Pools
- Substrate Composition in Pool Tail-outs
- Mean Percent Canopy
- Dominant Bank Composition by Composition Type
- Dominant Bank Vegetation by Vegetation Type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of June 15, 2009 to June 22, 2009, was conducted by M. Groff, J. Coombes and N. Talkington (WSP), and B. DeWaard (DFG). The total length of the stream surveyed was 9,253 feet with an additional 27 feet of side channel. A section of Butte Creek from 936 feet to 2,911 feet was not surveyed. The data included in this report is for the 7,278 feet actually surved.

Stream flow was measured near the bottom of the survey reach with a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flowmeter at 1.1 cfs on June 22, 2009.

Butte Creek is a B3 channel type for 936 feet of the stream surveyed (Reach 1), the next 1,975 feet of the stream was not surveyed (Reach 2), a B4 channel type for 738 feet of the stream surveyed (Reach 3), and a B3 channel type for 5,631 feet of the stream surveyed (Reach 4). B3 channels are moderately entrenched, moderate gradient, riffle dominated channel with infrequently spaced pools, very stable plan and profile, stable banks and cobble-dominant substrates. B4 channels are moderately entrenched, moderate gradient, riffle dominated channel with infrequently spaced pools, very stable plan and profile, stable banks and gravel-dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 55 to 63 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 54 to 74 degrees Fahrenheit.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of occurrence there were 45% pool units, 42% riffle units and 12% flatwater units (Graph 1). Based on total length of Level II habitat types there were 46% riffle units, 37% pool units, and 13% flatwater units (Graph 2).

Thirteen Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were high gradient riffle units, 35%; mid-channel pool units, 30%; and run units, 9% (Graph 3). Based on percent total length, high gradient riffle units made up 40%, main channel pool units, 23%, and step pool units, 8%.

A total of 104 pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were the most frequently encountered at 82% (Graph 4), and comprised 86% of the total length of all pools (Table 3).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum residual pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Twenty-nine of the 104 pools (28%) had a residual depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 104 pool tail-outs measured, 42 had a value of 1 (40.4%); 33 had a value of 2 (31.7%); 17 had a value of 3 (16.3%); 4 had a value of 4 (3.8%); 8 had a value of 5 (7.7%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the best spawning conditions and a value of 4 the worst. Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate such as bedrock, log sills, boulders, or other considerations.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 8, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 3, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 23 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the scour pools had had the highest mean shelter rating at 29. Main channel pools had a mean shelter rating of 23 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Butte Creek. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Butte Creek. Boulders are the dominant pool cover type followed by large woody debris.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Graph 8 depicts the dominant substrate observed in pool tail-outs. Small cobble was the dominant substrate observed in 45% of the pool tail outs. Gravel was the next most frequently observed dominant substrate type and occurred in 32% of the pool tail-outs.

The mean percent canopy density for the surveyed length of Butte Creek was 81%. Nineteen percent of the canopy was open. Of the canopy present, the mean percentages of hardwood and coniferous trees were 73% and 27%, respectively. Graph 9 describes the mean percent canopy in Butte Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 88%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 90%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 48% cobble/gravel, 23% sand/silt/clay, 15% bedrock, and 14% boulder (Graph 10). Deciduous trees were the dominant vegetation type observed in 50% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 32% of the units surveyed had coniferous as the dominant

vegetation type, and 15% had brush as the dominant vegetation type (Graph 11).

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

Twenty-one sites were sampled for species composition and distribution in Butte Creek on June 23, 2009. Water temperatures taken during the sampling period of 1045 to 1300 ranged from 57 to 57 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 65 to 70 degrees Fahrenheit. The sites were sampled by M. Groff (WSP), and I. Mikus and S. McSmith (DFG).

In Reach 1, which comprised the first 936 feet of stream, two sites were sampled. The reach sites yielded 13 young-of-the-year steelhead/rainbow trout (SH/RT), 12 coho.

Reach 2 consisted of the next 1,975 feet of stream and was unsurveyed.

In Reach 3, nine sites were sampled starting approximately 2,912 from the confluence with the South Fork Eel River and continuing upstream 738 feet. The reach sites yielded 81 young-of-the-year SH/RT, 2 age 1+ SH/RT, 2 coho and 1 Pacific giant salamander.

In Reach 4, ten sites were sampled starting approximately 3,650 from the confluence with South Fork Eel River and continuing upstream 5,604 feet. The reach sites yielded 25 young-of-the-year SH/RT, 5 age 1+ SH/RT, and 2 age 2+ SH/RT.

The following chart displays the information yielded from these sites:

| | Survey | Habitat | Habitat | Approx. | | SH/RT | | Coho | | |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|-------------|-----|-------|----|------|----|--|
| Date | Site # | Unit # | Туре | mouth (ft.) | YOY | 1+ | 2+ | YOY | 1+ | |
| Reach 1: B3 Channel Type | | | | | | | | | | |
| 06/23/09 | 1 | 003 | 4.2 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 2 | 010 | 4.2 | 578 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| Reach 2: Not surveyed | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reach 3: 1 | B4 Chann | el Type | | | | | | | | |
| 06/23/09 | 3 | 020 | 5.6 | 2,942 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 4 | 021 | 6.5 | 2,955 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 5 | 022 | 4.2 | 2,995 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 6 | 024 | 4.2 | 3,052 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 7 | 026 | 4.2 | 3,139 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 8 | 030 | 4.2 | 3,291 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 9 | 033 | 4.2 | 3,357 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 06/23/09 | 10 | 035 | 4.3 | 3,467 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

2009 Butte Creek underwater observations.

| 06/23/09 | 11 | 038 | 4.2 | 3,649 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
|--------------------------|----|-----|-----|-------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Reach 3: B3 Channel Type | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 06/23/09 | 12 | 043 | 5.6 | 3,878 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 13 | 078 | 4.2 | 4,979 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 14 | 081 | 5.6 | 5,128 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 15 | 109 | 4.2 | 5,767 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 16 | 111 | 5.6 | 5,816 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 17 | 133 | 4.2 | 6,673 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 18 | 135 | 5.6 | 6,722 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 19 | 139 | 4.2 | 6,807 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 20 | 145 | 4.4 | 6,995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 06/23/09 | 21 | 149 | 4.2 | 7,113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

DISCUSSION

Butte Creek is a B3 channel type for the first 936 feet of stream surveyed, the next 1,975 feet was not surveyed, a B4 for the next 738 feet, and a B3 channel type for the remaining 5,631 feet. The suitability of B3 and B4 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is as follows: B3 channel types are excellent for plunge weirs, boulder clusters and bank-placed boulders, single and opposing wing-deflectors, and log cover. B4 channel types are excellent for low-stage plunge weirs, boulder clusters, bank placed boulders, single and opposing wing-deflectors, and log cover.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days June 15, 2009 to June 22, 2009 ranged from 55 to 63 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 54 to 74 degrees Fahrenheit. To make conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 13% of the total length of this survey, riffles 46%, and pools 37%. Twenty-nine of the 104 (28%) pools had a maximum residual depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum residual depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low-flow channel width. Installing large wood structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended.

Seventy-five of the 104 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 1 or 2. Twentyone of the pool tail-outs had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. Eight of the pool tail-outs had a rating of 5, which is considered unsuitable for spawning. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. Eighty of the 104 pool tail-outs measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

The mean shelter rating for pools is 23. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats is 3. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in Butte Creek. Boulders are the dominant cover type in pools followed by large woody debris. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats would enhance both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 81%. Reach 1 had a canopy density of 73%, Reach 2 was not surveyed, Reach 3 had a canopy density of 79%, and Reach 4 had a canopy density of 82%. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was 88% and 90%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is sparse, planting endemic species of coniferous and hardwood trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Butte Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- 3) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover in the pools is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover in the pools is desirable.
- 4) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.
- 5) Increase the canopy in Reach 1 and Reach 3 on Butte Creek by planting appropriate native vegetation like willow, alder, redwood, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is affected from upstream. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

| Position (ft.): | Habitat Unit #: | Comments: |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| 0 | 0001.00 | The survey begins at the confluence of South Fork Eel River. |
| 58 | 0003.00 | There are concrete culvert wing structures on the left bank. |
| 68 | 0004.00 | The pool tail crest consists of a concrete wall. Bottom of culvert #001 (Maple Hills Road & Highway 101). |
| 338 | 0005.00 | Out of the influence of South Fork Eel River. There is a concrete culvert wing on the left bank. |
| 363 | 0006.00 | The right bank of the creek consists of a concrete wall that bisects the culvert. |
| 936 | 0019.00 | This unit is not surveyed due to lack of access. The length unsurveyed is Reach 2. |
| 2911 | 0020.00 | The channel type changes to B4. Resume access at the bottom of the unit. |
| 2942 | 0021.00 | The pool tail out consists of a single log. |
| 3433 | 0035.00 | Coon Creek enters from the right bank. A habitat survey of Coon Creek was conducted in 2006. |
| 3467 | 0036.00 | Bridge #001 spans the creek. |
| 3649 | 0039.00 | Reach 3 begins and is a B3 channel type. |
| 4762 | 0070.00 | The pool tailout consists of large woody debris (LWD). |
| 4979 | 0079.00 | Log debris accumulation (LDA) #001 contains 38 pieces of LWD and measures 10' high x 38' wide x 80' long with water flowing through and visible gaps. Retained sediment ranges from sand to small cobble and measures 38' wide x 20' long x 6' deep. Fish are upstream of the LDA. The LDA #001 is associated with a right bank slide measuring roughly 100' long x 100' high. |

| 5203 | 0085.00 | Tributary #002 enters on the left bank. The first 75' of stream is dry. The temperature downstream of the tributary is 58 degrees Fahrenheit, the temperature of the tributary is 60 degrees Fahrenheit, and the temperature upstream of the confluence is 58 degrees Fahrenheit. The slope of the tributary is 30% and fish are not observed in the 300 feet surveyed. |
|------|---------|---|
| 5258 | 0088.00 | There is erosion on the left bank that is approximately 25' long x 80' high. |
| 5293 | 0090.00 | LDA #002 contains 14 pieces of LWD and measures 8.5' high x 27' wide x 11' long with water flowing through and visible gaps. There is no retained sediment. |
| 5750 | 0109.00 | There is erosion on the right bank that is approximately 50' long x 30' high. |
| 5938 | 0115.00 | LDA #003 contains 10 pieces of LWD and measures 6' high x 20' wide x 15' long with water flowing through visible gaps. Retained sediment ranges from sand to gravel and measures 15' wide x 10' long x 2' deep. Fish are upstream of the LDA. |
| 6081 | 0117.00 | LDA #004 contains 13 pieces of LWD and measures 4' high x 31' wide x 10' long with water flowing visible gaps. Retained sediment ranges from sand to cobble and measures 15' wide x 10' long x 2' deep. Fish are upstream of the LDA. |
| 6117 | 0118.00 | There is a 50' long x 40' high left bank failure that is adding sediment ranging in size from fines to cobble. |
| 6255 | 0124.00 | There is an LDA mid-unit that is approximately 2' high x 50' long x 20' wide. |
| 6703 | 0135.00 | The pool is formed by plunge over a 5' diameter log spanning the channel. |
| 6722 | 0136.00 | LDA #005 contains 12 pieces of LWD and measures 6.5' high x 20' wide x 52' long with water flowing through though there are no visible gaps. Retained sediment ranges from sand to cobble and measures 20' wide x 10' long x 3' deep. |
| 6752 | 0137.00 | This pool has a plunge height of 2.1' and has LWD for tail-out. |
| 7047 | 0147.00 | LDA #006 contains 3 pieces of LWD and measures 6' high x 18' wide x 10' long with water flowing through though there are no visible gaps. Retained sediment appears to be primarily gravel and measures 18' wide |

| | | x 10' long x 3' deep. Fish are upstream of the LDA. The LDA is associated with trees deposited by a landslide on the right bank that measures 50' long x 100' high. |
|------|---------|--|
| 7202 | 0152.00 | There is a left erosion that is approximately 50' high x 50' long. |
| 7377 | 0160.00 | LDA #007 contains 7 pieces of LWD and measures 7.5' high x 29' wide x 20' long with water flowing through though there no visible gaps. Retained sediment ranges from sand to cobble and measures 29' wide x 10' long x 5' deep. |
| 7488 | 0166.00 | Log debris is accumulating on left bank. |
| 7826 | 0178.00 | LDA #008 contains 4 pieces of LWD and measures 5.5' high x 25' wide x 31' long with water flowing through and visible gaps. Retained sediment ranges from sand to cobble and measures 20' wide x 15' long x 3' deep. Fish are upstream of the LDA. |
| 7883 | 0181.00 | LDA #009 contains 9 pieces of LWD and measures 5' high x 12' wide x 30' long with water flowing through visible gaps. Retained sediment ranges from sand to cobble and measures 20' wide x 15' long x 3' deep. |
| 8118 | 0191.00 | The pool tail out is LWD. |
| 8481 | 0202.00 | There is a left bank seep. |
| 8617 | 0205.00 | There is erosion on the left bank that is approximately 20' long x 60' high. |
| 8793 | 0213.00 | Tributary #003 enters on the right bank. The flow is less than 0.1 cfs, and it contributes to less than 1% of the downstream flow. The temperature downstream of the tributary is 55 degrees Fahrenheit, the temperature of the tributary is 57 degrees Fahrenheit, and the temperature upstream of the confluence is 54 degrees Fahrenheit. The slope of the tributary is 25% and fish are not observed in the 200 feet explored. |
| 8891 | 0219.00 | Tributary #004 enters on the left bank. There was no flow in the tributary for the 300' explored. The temperature downstream of the tributary is 54 degrees Fahrenheit and the temperature upstream of the confluence is 57 degrees Fahrenheit. The slope of the tributary is 20% and fish are not observed. |
| 9253 | 0229.00 | End of survey due to a 12' bedrock waterfall. |

REFERENCES

Flosi, G., Downie, S., Hopelain, J., Bird, M., Coey, R., and Collins, B. 1998. *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, 3rd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPES

| RIFFLE Low Gradient Riffle High Gradient Riffle | (LGR) (HGR) | [1.1] [1.2] | { 1} { 2} |
|--|--|--|--|
| CASCADE Cascade Bedrock Sheet | (CAS) (BRS) | [2.1] [2.2] | { 3} {24} |
| FLATWATER Pocket Water Glide Run Step Run Edgewater | (POW) (GLD) (RUN) (SRN) (EDW) | [3.1] [3.2] [3.3] [3.4] [3.5] | {21} {14} {15} {16} {18} |
| MAIN CHANNEL POOLS Trench Pool Mid-Channel Pool Channel Confluence Pool Step Pool | (TRP) (MCP) (CCP) (STP) | [4.1] [4.2] [4.3] [4.4] | { 8 } {17} {19} {23} |
| SCOUR POOLS Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool | (CRP) (LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) | [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] | <pre>{22} {10} {11} {11} {12} {20} {9}</pre> |
| BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool | (SCP) (BPB) (BPR) (BPL) (DPL) | [6.1] [6.2] [6.3] [6.4] [6.5] | { 4 } { 5 } { 6 } { 7 } { 13 } |
| ADDITIONAL UNIT DESIGNATIONS Dry Culvert Not Surveyed Not Surveyed due to a marsh | (DRY) (CUL) (NS) (MAR) | [7.0] [8.0] [9.0] [9.1] | |

Table 1 - Summary of Riffle, Flatwater, and Pool Habitat Types

Stream Name: Butte Creek Drainage: Eel River - South Fork LLID: 1238223402219 Survey Dates: 6/15/2009 to 6/22/2009 Confluence Location: Quad: MIRANDA Legal Description: T03SR03ES10 Latitude: 40:13:19.0N Longitude: 123:49:20.0 Habitat Units Fully Habitat Habitat Mean Total Total Mean Mean Mean Mean Estimated Mean Estimated Mean Units Measured Туре Occurrence Length Length Length Width Depth Total Area Total Residual Max Area Volume Pool Vol (%) (ft.) (ft.) (%) (ft.) (ft.) Depth (sq.ft.) (sq.ft.) (cu.ft.) Volume (ft.) (cu.ft.) (cu.ft.) 0 1 CULVERT 0.4 270 270 3.7 28 FLATWATER 13.4 9.1 0.5 0.9 412 11527 5166 9 12.2 35 982 184 1 0 NOSURVEY 0.4 1975 1975 104 104 POOL 45.2 26 2699 36.9 11.6 0.8 1.6 296 30774 383 39831 280 96 19 RIFFLE 41.7 35 3354 45.9 0.4 0.8 375 36031 169 16251 11.6

Mean

Shelter

Rating

3

23

8

| Total | Total Units | Total Length | Total Area | Total Volume | |
|-------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--|
| Units | Fully Measured | (ft.) | (sq.ft.) | (cu.ft.) | |
| 230 | 132 | 9280 | 78332 | 61248 | |

Table 2 - Summary of Habitat Types and Measured Parameters

Stream Name: Butte Creek

Survey Dates: 6/15/2009 to 6/22/2009

Confluence Location: Quad: MIRANDA Legal Description: T03SR03ES10 Latitude: 40:13:19.0N Longitude: 123:49:20.0W

| Habitat Units | Units Fully Measured | Habitat Type | Habitat Occurrence (%) | Mean Length (ft.) | Total Length (ft.) | Total Length (%) | Mean Width (ft.) | Mean Depth (ft.) | Max Depth (ft.) | Mean Area (sq.ft.) | Estimated Total Area (sq.ft.) | Mean Volume (cu.ft.) | Estimated Total Volume (cu.ft.) | Mean Residual Pool Vol (cu.ft.) | Mean Shelter Rating | Mean Canopy (%) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| 13 | 5 | LGR | 5.7 | 28 | 360 | 4.9 | 16 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 591 | 7679 | 259 | 3367 | | 12 | 75 |
| 81 | 12 | HGR | 35.2 | 36 | 2954 | 40.4 | 10 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 322 | 26115 | 144 | 11684 | | 2 | 72 |
| 1 | 1 | CAS | 0.4 | 19 | 19 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 120 | 120 | 96 | 96 | | 60 | 100 |
| 1 | 1 | BRS | 0.4 | 21 | 21 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 189 | 189 | 95 | 95 | | 5 | 94 |
| 20 | 5 | RUN | 8.7 | 28 | 556 | 7.6 | 9 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 371 | 7412 | 163 | 3264 | | 1 | 83 |
| 8 | 4 | SRN | 3.5 | 53 | 426 | 5.8 | 9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 463 | 3704 | 211 | 1689 | | 5 | 74 |
| 70 | 70 | MCP | 30.4 | 24 | 1695 | 23.2 | 11 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 260 | 18186 | 290 | 20272 | 206 | 22 | 80 |
| 1 | 1 | CCP | 0.4 | 34 | 34 | 0.5 | 15 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 510 | 510 | 1020 | 1020 | 867 | 0 | 86 |
| 14 | 14 | STP | 6.1 | 41 | 580 | 7.9 | 12 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 433 | 6060 | 559 | 7822 | 332 | 30 | 85 |
| 1 | 1 | LSL | 0.4 | 19 | 19 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 137 | 137 | 82 | 82 | 55 | 5 | 97 |
| 1 | 1 | LSR | 0.4 | 30 | 30 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 300 | 300 | 180 | 180 | 90 | 20 | 89 |
| 15 | 15 | PLP | 6.5 | 21 | 315 | 4.3 | 15 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 360 | 5405 | 685 | 10277 | 591 | 31 | 92 |
| 2 | 2 | DPL | 0.9 | 13 | 26 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 88 | 177 | 89 | 179 | 60 | 15 | 74 |
| 1 | 0 | CUL | 0.4 | 270 | 270 | 3.7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | NS | 0.4 | 1975 | 1975 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | |

LLID: 1238223402219 Drainage: Eel River - South Fork

| Total Units | Total Units Fully Measured | Total Length (ft.) | Total Area (sq.ft.) | Total Volume (cu.ft.) | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 230 | 132 | 9280 | 75993 | 60026 | |

Table 3 - Summary of Pool Types

Stream Name: Butte Creek

Survey Dates: 6/15/2009 to 6/22/2009

Confluence Location: Quad: MIRANDA Legal Description: T03SR03ES10 Latitude: 40:13:19.0N Longitude: 123:49:20.0W

| Habitat Units | Units Fully Measured | Habitat Type | Habitat Occurrence (%) | Mean Length (ft.) | Total Length (ft.) | Total Length (%) | Mean Width (ft.) | Mean Residual Depth (ft.) | Mean Area (sq.ft.) | Estimated Total Area (sq.ft.) | Mean Residual Pool Vol (cu.ft.) | Estimated Total Resid.Vol. (cu.ft.) | Mean Shelter Rating | |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|
| 85 | 85 | MAIN | 82 | 27 | 2309 | 86 | 11.2 | 0.7 | 291 | 24756 | 235 | 19730 | 23 | |
| 17 | 17 | SCOUR | 16 | 21 | 364 | 13 | 14.6 | 1.2 | 344 | 5842 | 530 | 9008 | 29 | |
| 2 | 2 | BACKWATER | 2 | 13 | 26 | 1 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 88 | 177 | 60 | 120 | 15 | |

LLID: 1238223402219

Drainage: Eel River - South Fork

| Total | Total Units Fully | Total Length | Total Area | Total Volume | |
|-------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--|
| Units | Measured | (ft.) | (sq.ft.) | (cu.ft.) | |
| 104 | 104 | 2699 | 30774 | 28859 | |

Table 4 - Summary of Maximum Residual Pool Depths By Pool Habitat Types

Stream Name: Butte Creek

LLID: 1238223402219 Drainage: Eel River - South Fork

Survey Dates: 6/15/2009 to 6/22/2009

Confluence Location: Quad: MIRANDA Legal Description: T03SR03ES10 Latitude: 40:13:19.0N Longitude: 123:49:20.0W

| Habitat Units | Habitat Type | Habitat Occurrence (%) | < 1 Foot Maximum Residual Depth | < 1 Foot Percent Occurrence | 1 < 2 Feet Maximum Residual Depth | 1 < 2 Feet Percent Occurrence | 2 < 3 Feet Maximum Residual Depth | 2 < 3 Feet Percent Occurrence | 3 < 4 Feet Maximum Residual Depth | 3 < 4 Feet Percent Occurrence | >= 4 Feet Maximum Residual Depth | >= 4 Feet Percent Occurrence |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 70 | MCP | 67 | 19 | 27 | 35 | 50 | 15 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | CCP | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | STP | 13 | 3 | 21 | 8 | 57 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | LSL | 1 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | LSR | 1 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | PLP | 14 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 33 | 3 | 20 | 5 | 33 | 1 | 7 |
| 2 | DPL | 2 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Total | Total < | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total |
|-------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Units | 1 Foot Max | < 1 Foot | 1< 2 Foot | 1< 2 Foot | 2< 3 Foot | 2< 3 Foot | 3< 4 Foot | 3< 4 Foot | >= 4 Foot | >= 4 Foot |
| | Resid. | % Occurrence | Max Resid. | % Occurrence | Max Resid. | % Occurrence | Max Resid. | % Occurrence | Max Resid. | % Occurrence |
| | Depth | | Depth | | Depth | | Depth | | Depth | |
| 104 | 26 | 25 | 49 | 47 | 20 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 |

Mean Maximum Residual Pool Depth (ft.): 1.6

Table 5 - Summary of Mean Percent Cover By Habitat Type

| Stream N | Name: Butte | Creek | | | | | LLID: 123 | 38223402219 | Drainage: | Eel River - So | uth Fork |
|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Survey D | Dates: 6/15/ | 2009 to 6/22/200 |)9 | Dry l | Jnits: 0 | | | | | | |
| Confluer | nce Location: | Quad: MIRA | ANDA | Lega | I Description: | T03SR03ES10 | Latitude: | 40:13:19.0N | Longitude: | 123:49:20.0W | |
| Habitat Units | Units Fully Measured | Habitat Type | Mean % Undercut Banks | Mean % SWD | Mean % LWD | Mean % Root Mass | Mean % Terr. Vegetation | Mean % Aquatic Vegetation | Mean % White Water | Mean % Boulders | Mean % Bedrock Ledges |
| 13 | 5 | LGR | 23 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 81 | 12 | HGR | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | CAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | BRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 96 | 19 | TOTAL RIFFLE | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 63 | 0 |
| 20 | 5 | RUN | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 4 | SRN | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 |
| 28 | 9 | TOTAL FLAT | 25 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 |
| 70 | 70 | MCP | 4 | 18 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 6 |
| 1 | 1 | CCP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 14 | STP | 8 | 17 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 44 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | LSL | 0 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | LSR | 10 | 10 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 15 | PLP | 6 | 10 | 38 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | DPL | 0 | 15 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| 104 | 104 | TOTAL POOL | 5 | 17 | 27 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 4 |
| 1 | 0 | CUL | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | NS | | | | | | | | | |
| 230 | 132 | TOTAL | 6 | 15 | 24 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 36 | 4 |

Table 6 - Summary of Dominant Substrates By Habitat Type

| Stream I | Name: Butte | Creek | | | | LLID: | 1238223402219 | Drainage: | Eel River - South Fork |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Survey [| Dates: 6/15/2 | 009 to 6/22/2 | 2009 | Dry Units: | : 0 | | | | |
| Confluer | nce Location: | Quad: MI | RANDA | Legal Des | scription: T03S | R03ES10 Latitu | de: 40:13:19.0N | Longitude: | 123:49:20.0W |
| Habitat Units | Units Fully Measured | Habitat Type | % Total Silt/Clay Dominant | % Total Sand Dominant | % Total Gravel Dominant | % Total Small Cobble Dominant | % Total Large Cobble Dominant | % Total Boulder Dominant | % Total Bedrock Dominant |
| 13 | 5 | LGR | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81 | 12 | HGR | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 33 | 8 | 8 |
| 1 | 1 | CAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | BRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 20 | 5 | RUN | 0 | 0 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 4 | SRN | 0 | 0 | 50 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 70 | 70 | MCP | 0 | 1 | 86 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | CCP | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 14 | STP | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | LSL | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | LSR | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 15 | PLP | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 |
| 2 | 2 | DPL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 |

Table 7 - Summary of Mean Percent Canopy for Entire Stream

| Stream Name: | Butte Creek | | | | | LLID: 1238223402219 | Drainage: | Eel River - South Fork |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Survey Dates: | 6/15/2009 to 6 | /22/2009 | | | | | | |
| Confluence Lo | cation: Quad: | MIRANDA | Legal | Description: | T03SR03ES10 | Latitude: 40:13:19.0N | Longitude: | 123:49:20.0W |
| Mean Percent Canopy | Mean Percent Conifer | Mean Percent Hardwood | Mean Percent Open Units | Mean Right Bank % Cover | t Mean Left Bank % Cover | | | |
| 81 | 27 | 73 | 0 | 88 | 90 | | | |

Note: Mean percent conifer and hardwood for the entire reach are means of canopy components from units with canopy values greater than zero.

Open units represent habitat units with zero canopy cover.

Table 8 - Fish Habitat Inventory Data Summary

| Stream Name: Butte Creek | | LLID: 1238223402219 | Drainage: Eel River - South Fork |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Survey Dates: 6/15/2009 to 6/22/2009 | Survey Length (ft.): 9280 | Main Channel (ft.): 9253 | Side Channel (ft.): 27 |
| Confluence Location: Quad: MIRANDA | Legal Description: T03SR03ES | S10 Latitude: 40:13:19.0N | Longitude: 123:49:20.0W |

Summary of Fish Habitat Elements By Stream Reach

| STREAM REACH: 1 | | |
|--|---|---|
| Channel Type: B3 | Canopy Density (%): 73.0 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 13.9 |
| Reach Length (ft.): 936 | Coniferous Component (%): 35.6 | Pool Frequency (%): 22.2 |
| Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 14.8 | Hardwood Component (%): 64.4 | Residual Pool Depth (%): |
| BFW: | Dominant Bank Vegetation: Coniferous Trees | < 2 Feet Deep: 50 |
| Range (ft.): 16 to 52 | Vegetative Cover (%): 89.0 | 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 50 |
| Mean (ft.): 36 | Dominant Shelter: Undercut Banks | 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: 0 |
| Std. Dev.: 18 | Dominant Bank Substrate Type: Cobble/Gravel | >= 4 Feet Deep: 0 |
| Base Flow (cfs.): 1.1 | Occurrence of LWD (%): 6 | Mean Max Residual Pool Depth (ft.): 1.8 |
| Water (F): 59 - 59 Air (F): 62 - 64 | LWD per 100 ft.: | Mean Pool Shelter Rating: 29 |
| Dry Channel (ft): 0 | Riffles: 1 | |
| | Pools: 5 | |
| | Flat: 2 | |
| Pool Tail Substrate (%): Silt/Clay: 0 Sand | d: 0 Gravel: 25 Sm Cobble: 75 Lg Cobble: 0 | Boulder: 0 Bedrock: 0 |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 50.0 2. | 25.0 3. 25.0 4. 0.0 5. 0.0 | |

STREAM REACH: 2

| Channel Type: NA | Canopy Density (%): | Pools by Stream Length (%): 0.0 | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Reach Length (ft.): 1975 | Pool Frequency (%): 0.0 | | | |
| Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): | Residual Pool Depth (%): | | | |
| BFW: | Dominant Bank Vegetation: | < 2 Feet Deep: | | |
| Range (ft.): 16 to 16 | Vegetative Cover (%): 0.0 | 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: | | |
| Mean (ft.): 16 | Dominant Shelter: | 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: | | |
| Std. Dev.: 0 | Dominant Bank Substrate Type: | >= 4 Feet Deep: | | |
| Base Flow (cfs.): 1.1 | Occurrence of LWD (%): | Mean Max Residual Pool Depth (ft.): | | |
| Water (F): 59 - 59 Air (F): 64 - 64 | LWD per 100 ft.: | Mean Pool Shelter Rating: | | |
| Dry Channel (ft): 0 | Riffles: | | | |
| | Pools: | | | |
| | Flat: | | | |
| Pool Tail Substrate (%): Silt/Clay: Sand | d: Gravel: Sm Cobble: Lg Cobble: | Boulder: Bedrock: | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 2. | 3. 4. 5. 0.0 | | | |

Summary of Fish Habitat Elements By Stream Reach

| STREAM REACH: 3 | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Channel Type: B4 | Canopy Density (%): 78.6 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 37.1 | | | | |
| Reach Length (ft.): 738 | Coniferous Component (%): 18.5 | Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 | | | | |
| Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 13.5 | Hardwood Component (%): 81.5 | Residual Pool Depth (%): | | | | |
| BFW: | Dominant Bank Vegetation: Hardwood Trees | < 2 Feet Deep: 67 | | | | |
| Range (ft.): 16 to 30 | Vegetative Cover (%): 88.8 | 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 33 | | | | |
| Mean (ft.): 29 | Dominant Shelter: Large Woody Debris | 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: 0 | | | | |
| Std. Dev.: 3 | Dominant Bank Substrate Type: Cobble/Gravel | >= 4 Feet Deep: 0 | | | | |
| Base Flow (cfs.): 1.1 | Occurrence of LWD (%): 37 | Mean Max Residual Pool Depth (ft.): 1.6 | | | | |
| Water (F): 59 - 62 Air (F): 60 - 68 | LWD per 100 ft.: | Mean Pool Shelter Rating: 23 | | | | |
| Dry Channel (ft): 0 | Riffles: 1 | | | | | |
| | Pools: 8 | | | | | |
| | Flat: 3 | | | | | |
| Pool Tail Substrate (%): Silt/Clav: 0 Sand: 0 Gravel: 22 Sm Cobble: 67 Lg Cobble: 0 Boulder: 0 Bedrock: 11 | | | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1, 66.7 2, 22.2 3, 0.0 4, 0.0 5, 11.1 | | | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 | | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 | | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 | | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 Hardwood Component (%): 73.1 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 BFW: | 22.23.0.04.0.05.11.1Canopy Density (%):82.3Coniferous Component (%):26.9Hardwood Component (%):73.1Dominant Bank Vegetation:Hardwood Trees | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): < 2 Feet Deep: 74 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 BFW: Range (ft.): 16 to 52 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 Hardwood Component (%): 73.1 Dominant Bank Vegetation: Hardwood Trees Vegetative Cover (%): 88.7 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): < 2 Feet Deep: 74 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 16 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 BFW: Range (ft.): 16 to 52 Mean (ft.): 24 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 Hardwood Component (%): 73.1 Dominant Bank Vegetation: Hardwood Trees Vegetative Cover (%): 88.7 Dominant Shelter: Boulders | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): < 2 Feet Deep: 74 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 16 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: 9 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 BFW: Range (ft.): 16 to 52 Mean (ft.): 24 Std. Dev.: 8 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 Hardwood Component (%): 73.1 Dominant Bank Vegetation: Hardwood Trees Vegetative Cover (%): 88.7 Dominant Shelter: Boulders Dominant Bank Substrate Type: Cobble/Gravel | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): < 2 Feet Deep: 74 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 16 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: 9 >= 4 Feet Deep: 1 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 BFW: Range (ft.): 16 to 52 Mean (ft.): 24 Std. Dev.: 8 Base Flow (cfs.): 1.1 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 Hardwood Component (%): 73.1 Dominant Bank Vegetation: Hardwood Trees Vegetative Cover (%): 88.7 Dominant Shelter: Boulders Dominant Bank Substrate Type: Cobble/Gravel Occurrence of LWD (%): 18 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): < 2 Feet Deep: 74 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 16 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: 9 >= 4 Feet Deep: 1 Mean Max Residual Pool Depth (ft.): 1.6 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 BFW: Range (ft.): 16 to 52 Mean (ft.): 24 Std. Dev.: 8 Base Flow (cfs.): 1.1 Water (F): 55 - 63 Air (F): 54 - 74 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 Hardwood Component (%): 73.1 Dominant Bank Vegetation: Hardwood Trees Vegetative Cover (%): 88.7 Dominant Shelter: Boulders Dominant Bank Substrate Type: Cobble/Gravel Occurrence of LWD (%): 18 LWD per 100 ft.: | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): < 2 Feet Deep: 74 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 16 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: 9 >= 4 Feet Deep: 1 Mean Max Residual Pool Depth (ft.): 1.6 Mean Pool Shelter Rating: 23 | | | | |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 66.7 2. STREAM REACH: 4 Channel Type: B3 Reach Length (ft.): 5604 Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width (ft.): 9.5 BFW: Range (ft.): 16 to 52 Mean (ft.): 24 Std. Dev.: 8 Base Flow (cfs.): 1.1 Water (F): 55 - 63 Air (F): 54 - 74 Dry Channel (ft): 0 | 22.2 3. 0.0 4. 0.0 5. 11.1 Canopy Density (%): 82.3 Coniferous Component (%): 26.9 Hardwood Component (%): 73.1 Dominant Bank Vegetation: Hardwood Trees Vegetative Cover (%): 88.7 Dominant Shelter: Boulders Dominant Shelter: Boulders Dominant Bank Substrate Type: Cobble/Gravel Occurrence of LWD (%): 18 LWD per 100 ft.: Riffles: 5 | Pools by Stream Length (%): 40.8 Pool Frequency (%): 47.4 Residual Pool Depth (%): < 2 Feet Deep: 74 2 to 2.9 Feet Deep: 16 3 to 3.9 Feet Deep: 9 >= 4 Feet Deep: 1 Mean Max Residual Pool Depth (ft.): 1.6 Mean Pool Shelter Rating: 23 | | | | |

| | Fla | it: 3 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Pool Tail Substrate (%): Silt/Clay: 0 | Sand: 0 | Gravel: 33 | Sm Cobble: 42 | Lg Cobble: 9 | Boulder: 9 | Bedrock: 8 |
| Embeddedness Values (%): 1. 37.4 | 2. 33.0 | 3. 17.6 | 4. 4.4 5 | 5. 7.7 | | |

Table 9 - Mean Percentage of Dominant Substrate and Vegetation

| Stream Name: E | Butte Cr | eek | | | | LLID: 12382 | 223402219 | Drainage: | Eel River - South Fork |
|-----------------|----------|----------|---------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|
| Survey Dates: 6 | 6/15/200 | 9 to 6/2 | 22/2009 | | | | | | |
| Confluence Loca | ition: | Quad: | MIRANDA | Legal Description: | T03SR03ES10 | Latitude: 4 | 0:13:19.0N | Longitude: | 123:49:20.0W |

2

Mean Percentage of Dominant Stream Bank Substrate

| Dominant Class of Substrate | Number of Units Right Bank | Number of Units Left Bank | Total Mean Percent (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bedrock | 17 | 22 | 14.8 |
| Boulder | 24 | 14 | 14.4 |
| Cobble / Gravel | 62 | 65 | 48.1 |
| Sand / Silt / Clay | 29 | 31 | 22.7 |

Mean Percentage of Dominant Stream Bank Vegetation

| Dominant Class of Vegetation | Number of Units Right Bank | Number of Units Left Bank | Total Mean Percent (%) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Grass | 2 | 1 | 1.1 |
| Brush | 19 | 20 | 14.8 |
| Hardwood Trees | 64 | 69 | 50.4 |
| Coniferous Trees | 45 | 39 | 31.8 |
| No Vegetation | 2 | 3 | 1.9 |

Total Stream Cobble Embeddedness Values:

Table 10 - Mean Percent of Shelter Cover Types For Entire Stream

StreamName: Butte Creek

Drainage: Eel River - South Fork LLID: 1238223402219

Survey Dates: 6/15/2009 to 6/22/2009

Confluence Location: Quad: MIRANDA

Legal Description: T03SR03ES10 Latitude: 40:13:19.0N Longitude: 123:49:20.0W

| | Riffles | Flatwater | Pools |
|----------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| | | | |
| UNDERCUT BANKS (%) | 6 | 25 | 5 |
| SMALL WOODY DEBRIS (%) | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| LARGE WOODY DEBRIS (%) | 4 | 7 | 27 |
| ROOT MASS (%) | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| TERRESTRIAL VEGETATION (%) | 14 | 0 | 1 |
| AQUATIC VEGETATION (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WHITEWATER (%) | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| BOULDERS (%) | 63 | 66 | 33 |
| BEDROCK LEDGES (%) | 0 | 0 | 4 |

BUTTE CREEK 2009 HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE



BUTTE CREEK 2009 HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT TOTAL LENGTH





BUTTE CREEK 2009 HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE



BUTTE CREEK 2009 POOL TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE



BUTTE CREEK 2009 MAXIMUM DEPTH IN POOLS



BUTTE CREEK 2009 PERCENT EMBEDDEDNESS



BUTTE CREEK 2009 MEAN PERCENT COVER TYPES IN POOLS



BUTTE CREEK 2009 SUBSTRATE COMPOSITION IN POOL TAIL-OUTS



BUTTE CREEK 2009 MEAN PERCENT CANOPY



BUTTE CREEK 2009 DOMINANT BANK COMPOSITION IN SURVEY REACH



BUTTE CREEK 2009 DOMINANT BANK VEGETATION IN SURVEY REACH





Reach 2, Not Surveyed

Reach 4, B3 Channel Type