

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

Red Mountain Creek

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1996 on Red Mountain Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Red Mountain Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species. There is no known record of adult spawning surveys having been conducted on Red Mountain Creek.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Red Mountain Creek is tributary to the North Fork Eel River, tributary to the Eel River, located in Trinity County, California. Red Mountain Creek's legal description at the confluence with the North Fork Eel River is T05S R08E S05. Its location is 40°03'45" North latitude and 123°18'15" West longitude. Red Mountain Creek is a second order stream and has approximately 7.1 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Long Ridge and Black Rock Mountain 7.5 minute quadrangles. Red Mountain Creek drains a watershed of approximately 20 square miles. Summer base flow is approximately 0.5 cubic feet per second (cfs) at the mouth, but over 10 cfs is not unusual during winter storms. Elevations range from about 1,440 feet at the mouth of the creek to 3,280 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is primarily National Forest, and is managed for timber production and rangeland. Speak to the personnel at Six Rivers National Forest for the best access to the mouth of Red Mountain Creek.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Red Mountain Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi and Reynolds, 1994). The Pacific Coast Fisheries, Wildlife, and Wetlands Restoration association (PCFWWRA) members that conducted the inventory were trained in

Red Mountain Creek

standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). Red Mountain Creek personnel were trained in May, 1996, by Scott Downie and Ruth Goodfield. This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach (Hopelain, 1994). All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are further measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Red Mountain Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are taken at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both

Red Mountain Creek

temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Red Mountain Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. Channel dimensions were measured using hip chains, range finders, tape measures, and stadia rods. All units were measured for mean length; additionally, the first occurrence of each unit type and a randomly selected 10% subset of all units were sampled for all features on the sampling form (Hopelain, 1995). Pool tail crest depth at each pool unit was measured in the thalweg. All measurements were taken in feet to the nearest tenth.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Red Mountain Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3), 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a rating of "not suitable" (NS) was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Red Mountain Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types.

Red Mountain Creek

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two respectively.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densimeters as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, 1994. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Red Mountain Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Red Mountain Creek, the dominant composition type (options 1-4) and the dominant vegetation type (options 5-9) of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. In Red Mountain Creek fish presence was observed from the stream banks. This sampling technique is discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

SUBSTRATE SAMPLING

Gravel sampling is conducted using a 9 inch diameter standard McNeil gravel sampler. Sample sites are identified numerically beginning at the most upstream site in the stream. Gravel samples are separated and measured to determine respective

Red Mountain Creek

percent volume using five sieve sizes: 25.4, 12.5, 4.7, 2.37, and 0.85 mm (Valentine, 1995).

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into *Habitat*, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Lotus 1,2,3. Graphics developed for Red Mountain Creek include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in low gradient riffles
- Percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of August 20, 1996, was conducted by Greg Mullins and Frank Humphrey (PCFWWRA). The total length of the stream surveyed was 7,937 feet with an additional 226 feet of side channel.

Flow was estimated to be 0.5 cfs during the survey period.

Red Mountain Creek is an F2 channel type for the first 6,730 feet, and a B2 for the remaining 1,207 feet of stream reach

Red Mountain Creek

surveyed. F2 channels are entrenched, meandering, riffle/pool channels on low gradients with high width/depth ratios and boulder-dominant substrates. B2 channels are moderately entrenched, moderate gradient, riffle-dominated streams with stable banks and boulder-dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 61 to 70 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 53 to 82 degrees Fahrenheit.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of **occurrence** there were 34% pool units, 33% flatwater units, and 32% riffle units (Graph 1). Based on total **length** of Level II habitat types there were 43% flatwater units, 29% riffle units, and 28% pool units (Graph 2).

Twelve Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent **occurrence** were mid-channel pools, 23%; low gradient riffles, 17%; and pocket water, 16% (Graph 3). By percent total **length**, low gradient riffles made up 21%, step runs 20%, and pocket water 17%.

A total of fifty-one pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were most frequently encountered at 75% and comprised 70% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Forty-five of the 51 pools (88%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 51 pool tail-outs measured, 37 had a value of 1 (72%); 11 had a value of 2 (22%); 3 had a value of 3 (6%); and none had a value of 4 (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Pool habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 30, and flatwater habitats had a mean shelter rating of 23 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the scour pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 31. Main channel pools had a mean shelter rating of 30 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Red Mountain Creek and are extensive. Large and small woody debris are lacking in nearly all habitat types. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Red Mountain

Red Mountain Creek

Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Boulder was the dominant substrate observed in four of the five low gradient riffles measured (80%). Gravel was the next most frequently observed dominant substrate type and occurred in 20% of the low gradient riffles (Graph 8).

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 44%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 98% and 2%, respectively (Graph 9).

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 21%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 19%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 11.4% bedrock, 80.0% boulder, 7.1% cobble/gravel, and 1.4% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Brush was the dominant vegetation type observed in 31% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 62.9% of the units surveyed had deciduous trees as the dominant vegetation type, including down trees, logs, and root wads (Graph 11).

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

Young-of-the-year (YOY) and juvenile salmonids were observed from the stream banks on Red Mountain Creek during the stream habitat survey of August 20, 1996, and appeared to be abundant.

GRAVEL SAMPLING RESULTS

No gravel samples were taken on Red Mountain Creek.

DISCUSSION

Red Mountain Creek is an F2 channel type for the first 6,730 feet of stream surveyed and a B2 for the remaining 1,207 feet. The suitability of F2 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is fair for low-stage weirs, single and opposing wing-deflectors, and log cover; and poor for medium-stage weirs. The suitability of B2 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is excellent for low- and medium-stage plunge weirs, single and opposing wing deflectors, and bank cover.

Water temperatures recorded on the survey day August 20, 1996, ranged from 61 to 70 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 53 to 82 degrees Fahrenheit. This is a fair water

Red Mountain Creek

temperature range for salmonids. Red Mountain Creek seems to have temperatures that approach the threshold stress level for salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 43% of the total **length** of this survey, riffles 29%, and pools 28%. The pools are relatively deep, with 45 of the 51 (88%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended for locations where their installation will not be threatened by high stream energy, or where their installation will not conflict with the modification of any log debris accumulations (LDA's) in the stream. Some LDA's in the system are retaining needed gravel. Any necessary modifications to them should be done with the intent of metering the gravel out to downstream reaches that will trap the gravel for future spawning use. Therefore, gravel retention features may need to be developed if LDA modification is needed.

Three of the 51 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. Thirty-seven had a 1 rating. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. In Red Mountain Creek, sediment sources should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

The mean shelter rating for pools was low with a rating of 30. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was slightly lower at 23. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The relatively small amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, bedrock ledges and whitewater contribute a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats are needed to improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

Four of the five low gradient riffles sampled had large cobble or boulders as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered poor for spawning salmonids.

Red Mountain Creek

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 44%. This is a relatively low percentage of canopy. In general, re-vegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was low at 21% and 19%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Red Mountain Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- 3) Increase the canopy on Red Mountain Creek by planting willow, alder, redwood, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is effected from upstream. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.
- 4) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable and in some areas the material is locally available.
- 5) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites, like the site at 3120', should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.

PROBLEM SITES AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and measured from the beginning of the survey reach.

Red Mountain Creek

- 0' Begin survey at confluence with North Fork Eel River. Channel type is an F2 for the first 6730' of stream surveyed.
- 1465' Slope failure on left bank (LB), approximately 300' long x 150' high. Contributing sediment directly to the stream.
- 2827' Several 6-8 inch steelhead rainbow trout observed by surveyors
- 3120' Slope failure on LB, approximately 250' long x 120' wide - contributing large amounts of sediment in creek
- 3883' Spring enters stream from right bank (RB).
- 5926' Several steelhead rainbow trout observed in this pool.
- 6730' Channel type changes from an F2 to a B2 for the remaining 1207' of stream surveyed.
- 6981' Large spring enters from RB - 66°F.
- 7650' Active failure on the LB, approximately 300' long x 100' high. Contributing sediment to stream.
- 7937' Slide on LB continues up the stream channel. Flow is almost non-existent. Permission for survey access was denied by the upstream property owner (Travis Ranch). End of survey.

References

- Flosi, G., and F. Reynolds. 1994. California salmonid stream habitat restoration manual, 2nd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.
- Hopelain, J. 1995. Sampling levels for fish habitat inventory, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento, California.
- Valentine, B. 1995. Stream substrate quality for salmonids: guidelines for sampling, processing, and analysis, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Santa Rosa, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPE KEY

HABITAT TYPE	LETTER	NUMBER
RIFFLE		
Low Gradient Riffle	[LGR]	1.1
High Gradient Riffle	[HGR]	1.2
CASCADE		
Cascade	[CAS]	2.1
Bedrock Sheet	[BRS]	2.2
FLATWATER		
Pocket Water	[POW]	3.1
Glide	[GLD]	3.2
Run	[RUN]	3.3
Step Run	[SRN]	3.4
Edgewater	[EDW]	3.5
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS		
Trench Pool	[TRP]	4.1
Mid-Channel Pool	[MCP]	4.2
Channel Confluence Pool	[CCP]	4.3
Step Pool	[STP]	4.4
SCOUR POOLS		
Corner Pool	[CRP]	5.1
Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced	[LSL]	5.2
Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced	[LSR]	5.3
Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	[LSBk]	5.4
Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed	[LSBo]	5.5
Plunge Pool	[PLP]	5.6
BACKWATER POOLS		
Secondary Channel Pool	[SCP]	6.1
Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed	[BPB]	6.2
Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	[BPR]	6.3
Backwater Pool - Log Formed	[BPL]	6.4
Dammed Pool	[DPL]	6.5