STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

"Lost Lake Creek"

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1997 on an unnamed tributary to Chamberlain Creek, locally known and hereinafter referred to as Lost Lake Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Lost Lake Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Lost Lake Creek is tributary to Chamberlain Creek, tributary to the North Fork Big River, tributary to Big River, located in Mendocino County, California (Map 1). Lost Lake Creek's legal description at the confluence with Chamberlain River is T18N R15W S28. Its location is 39°23'11" north latitude and 123°32'52" west longitude. Lost Lake Creek is a second order stream and has approximately 1.5 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Northspur 7.5 minute quadrangle. Lost Lake Creek drains a watershed of approximately 0.44 square miles. Elevations range from about 550 feet at the mouth of the creek to 1600 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed conifer dominates the watershed. The watershed is within the Jackson Demonstration State Forest and is managed for timber production. Vehicle access exists via Highway 20.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Lost Lake Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi and Reynolds, 1991 rev. 1994). The California Conservation Corps (CCC) Technical Advisors and Watershed Stewards Project/AmeriCorps (WSP/AmeriCorps) Members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach (Hopelain, 1994). All habitat units included in the survey are classified according

to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth, depth of pool tail crest, dominant substrate composing the pool tail crest, and embeddedness. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are further measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Lost Lake Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Lost Lake Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. Channel dimensions were measured using hip chains, range finders, tape measures, and stadia rods. All units were measured for mean length; additionally, the first occurrence of each unit type and a randomly selected 10% subset of all units were sampled for all features on the sampling form. Pool tail crest depth at each pool unit was measured in the

thalweg. All measurements were in feet to the nearest tenth. 5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Lost Lake Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Lost Lake Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two respectively. In addition the dominant substrate composing the pool tail outs is recorded for each pool.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densiometers as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Lost Lake Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% subsample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Lost Lake Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. In Lost Lake Creek fish presence was observed from the stream banks, and one site was electrofished using a Smith-Root Model 12 electrofisher. These sampling techniques are discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Habitat, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Quattro Pro. Graphics developed for Lost Lake Creek include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in the pool tail outs
- Percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type

• Bank vegetation by vegetation type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

The habitat inventory of July 17 and 18, 1997, was conducted by Bethany Reisberger (WSP\AmeriCorps) and Craig Mesman (CCC). The total length of the stream surveyed was 4,898 feet with an additional 17 feet of side channel.

Flow was measured at the bottom of survey reach to be 0.09 cfs on September 10, 1997 with a Marsh-McBirney model 2000 flow meter.

Lost Lake Creek is a G4 channel type for the entire 4,898 feet of stream reach surveyed. G4 channels are entrenched "gully" step-pools and low width/depth ratios on moderate gradients.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period were 56 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 67 to 68 degrees Fahrenheit.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of **occurrence** there were 33% riffle units, 34% flatwater units, 31% pool units, and 2% dry units (Graph 1). Based on total **length** of Level II habitat types there were 32% riffle units, 32% flatwater units, 26% pool units, and 10% dry units (Graph 2).

Ten Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent **occurrence** were low gradient riffles, 27%; step runs, 24%; and mid-channel pools, 18% (Graph 3). Based on percent total **length**, step runs made up 28%, low gradient riffles made up 27%, and dammed pools made up 15%.

A total of 40 pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were most frequently encountered at 60% and comprised 27% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Nine of the 40 pools (23%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. of the 40 pool tail-outs measured, 6 had a value of 1 (15%); 10 had a value of 2 (25%); 9 had a value of 3 (23%); 2 had a value of 4 (5%) and 13 had a value of 5 (33%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate and a value of 5 indicates the tail-out is not suitable for spawning. In Lost Lake Creek, 3 of the 13 pool tail-outs which were valued at 5 had silt/clay/sand or gravel too small to be suitable for spawning as the substrate. The other tail-outs were unsuitable for spawning due to the tail-outs being comprised of large cobble, boulder, bedrock or wood.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter

rating of 6, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 42, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 17 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the scour pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 23. Main channel pools had a mean shelter rating of 14 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Lost Lake Creek. Large woody debris and small woody debris are lacking in nearly all habitat types. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Lost Lake Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Of the five low gradient riffles fully measured three had small cobble as the dominant substrate. Gravel was the dominant substrate observed in 24 of the 40 pool tail-outs measured (60%). Large cobble was the next most frequently observed dominant substrate type and occurred in 17% of the pool tail-outs (Graph 8).

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 93%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 8% and 92%, respectively. Graph 9 describes the canopy in Lost Lake Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 80.5%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 77%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 7.1% bedrock, 2.4% boulder, 69.1% cobble/gravel, and 21.4% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Coniferous trees were the dominant vegetation type observed in 61.9% of the units surveyed, including down trees, logs, and root wads Graph 11).

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

One site was electrofished on September 10, 1997, in Lost Lake Creek. The site was sampled by Tara Cooper and Craig Mesman (CCC).

The site sampled included habitat units 20 through 22, a low gradient riffle and lateral scour pool - boulder formed approximately 318 feet from the confluence with Chamberlain Creek. The site yielded 3 steelhead, 2 salamanders, and 1 sculpin.

DISCUSSION

Lost Lake Creek is a G4 channel type for the entire 4,898 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of G4 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is as follows: good for bank placed boulders; fair for weirs, opposing wing deflectors, and log cover; and poor for boulder clusters, single wing deflectors, and log cover.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days July 17 and 18, 1997 was 56 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 67 to 68 degrees Fahrenheit. This is a good water temperature range for salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling

would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 32% of the total **length** of this survey, riffles 32%, and pools 26%. The pools are relatively shallow, with only 9 of the 40 (22.5%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended.

Six of the 40 pool tail-outs measured had an embeddedness rating of 1. Eleven of the pool tailouts had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. Thirteen of the pool tail-outs had a rating of 5 or were considered unsuitable for spawning. Three of the 13 were unsuitable for spawning due to the dominant substrate being silt/sand/clay or gravel being too small to be suitable. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. In Lost Lake Creek, sediment sources should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

The mean shelter rating for pools was low with a rating of 17. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was slightly better at 42. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The relatively small amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats are needed to improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

Twenty-nine of the 40 pool tail outs measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 93%. This is a relatively high percentage of canopy. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was moderate at 80.5% and 77.3%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Lost Lake Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and

meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.

- 3) Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.
- 4) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable.
- 5) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.
- 6) Active and potential sediment sources related to the road system need to be identified, mapped, and treated according to their potential for sediment yield to the stream and its tributaries.

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

Position	
(ft):	Comments:
0'	Begin survey at confluence with Chamberlain Creek, approximately 16,914 feet from the confluence of Chamberlain Creek and North Fork Big River. Channel type is a G4.
318'	Electrofishing site.
1,658'	Left bank erosion, 20' high x 50' long.
1,873'	Left bank erosion, 20' high x 40' long, contributing fines.
2,363'	Right bank erosion, 20' high x 50' long.
2,473'	Log debris accumulation (LDA), 12' wide x 5.5' high x 6.5' long and retaining 5.5' of gravel.
2,649'	Tributary enters from the right bank. There is a 4' jump at the confluence. The tributary is steep and narrow. Located 85' upstream is a 1.5' diameter culvert, under Road 252, that is 4.5' above the ground. No flow is going through the culvert, the water percolates under the culvert.

3,092'	Right bank dry tributary.
3,232'	Five foot jump, retaining 5' of gravel.
3,688'	Three foot jump into the lake. Roots and soil form a dammed pool forming a lake.
3,698'	Lake. Could not determine mean or maximum depth.
4,445'	Log Debris Accumulation (LDA), channel above the LDA is dry. There are multiple dry channels, standing redwood trees are buried in gravel.
4,898'	End of survey. Channel is again confined to one channel, but the creek remains dry and aggraded.

REFERENCES

Flosi, G., and F. Reynolds. 1994. California salmonid stream habitat restoration manual, 2nd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

Hopelain, J. 1995. Sampling levels for fish habitat inventory, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPE KEY

HABITAT TYPE	LETTER	NUMBER
RIFFLE Low Gradient Riffle High Gradient Riffle	[LGR] [HGR]	1.1 1.2
CASCADE Cascade Bedrock Sheet	[CAS] [BRS]	2.1 2.2
FLATWATER Pocket Water Glide Run Step Run Edgewater	[POW] [GLD] [RUN] [SRN] [EDW]	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS Trench Pool Mid-Channel Pool Channel Confluence Pool Step Pool	[TRP] [MCP] [CCP] [STP]	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4
SCOUR POOLS Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool	[CRP] [LSL] [LSR] [LSBk] [LSBo] [PLP]	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6
BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool	[SCP] [BPB] [BPR] [BPL] [DPL]	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5