

# STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

## DeHaven Creek

### WATERSHED OVERVIEW

DeHaven Creek is a tributary to the Pacific Ocean (Figure 1). Elevations range from 0 feet at the mouth of the creek to 1,800 feet in the headwater areas. DeHaven Creek's legal description at the confluence with the Pacific Ocean is 21N 17W S19. Its location is 39°39'4"N. latitude and 123°47'0"W. longitude according to the USGS Westport 7.5 minute quadrangle. DeHaven Creek drains a watershed of approximately 5,207 acres.

### HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

The habitat inventory of September 13, 1996 through October 4, 1996, was conducted by Diana Hines and Dave Wright. The total length of surveyed stream in DeHaven Creek was 26,434 feet (5.0 miles, 8.0 KM) (Table 1). Side channels comprised 109 feet of this total.

Flow measured at the mouth of DeHaven Creek on October 4, 1996 was 1.65 cubic feet per second (cfs).

DeHaven Creek consists of one reach: a B3 for the entire 26,325 feet of creek.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater and pool habitat types. By percent occurrence, riffles comprised 21%, flatwater 40% and pools 38% of the habitat types (Graph 1). By percent total length, riffles comprised 20%, flatwater 59% and pools 21% (Graph 2).

Seventeen Level IV habitat types were identified and are summarized in Table 2. The most frequently occurring habitat types were low gradient riffles 21%, step runs 20% and mid-channel pools 17% (Graph 3). The most prevalent habitat types by percent total length were step runs at 41%, low gradient riffles at 20%, and runs at 10% (Table 2).

Table 3 summarizes main, scour and backwater pools which are Level III pool habitat types. Scour pools were most often encountered at 50% occurrence and comprised 52% of the total length of pools.

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by Level IV pool habitat types. In third order streams, pools with depths of three feet (0.91 m) or greater are considered optimal for fish habitat. In DeHaven Creek, 15 of the 149 pools (10%) had a depth of three feet or greater (Graph 4).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the pool tail-outs measured, none had a value of 1, none had a value of 2, 16% had a value of 3 and 84% had a value of 4 (Graph 5).

## **DeHaven Creek**

Of the Level II habitat types, pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 42 (Table 1). Of the Level III pool habitat types, backwater pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 85 (Table 3).

Of the 149 pools, 28% were formed by large woody debris (LWD): 20% by logs and 7% by root wads (calculated from Table 4).

Table 6 summarizes dominant substrate by Level IV habitat types. Of the low gradient riffles fully measured, 54% had gravel as the dominant substrate (Graph 6).

Mean percent closed canopy was 89%: 12% coniferous trees and 77% deciduous trees. Mean percent open canopy was 11% (Graph 7, calculated from Table 7).

Table 7 summarizes the mean percent substrate/vegetation types found along the banks of the stream. Mean percent right bank vegetated was 82% while mean percent left bank vegetated was 84%. Deciduous trees were the dominant bank vegetation type in 72% of the units fully measured. The dominant substrate composing the structure of the stream banks was cobble/gravel, found in 66% of the units fully measured.

## **DISCUSSION**

The information gathered in the process of habitat typing will provide Georgia-Pacific with baseline data on the current condition of this creek and the available habitat for salmonids. These data can be used to identify components of the habitat which are in need of enhancement so appropriate conditions for DeHaven Creek can be obtained over time.

### **Level II habitat types by percent occurrence and length**

Flatwater habitat types comprised a high percentage of the units by both percent occurrence and length at 40% and 59% respectively (Table 1 and Graph 1). These unit types usually do not provide optimal spawning or rearing habitat for salmonids. Riffle habitat units comprised a moderate percentage of the stream by both percent occurrence and length at 21% and 20% respectively. Pools also comprised a moderate percentage by both percent occurrence and length at 38% and 21% respectively. Riffles usually provide good spawning habitat while pools provide important rearing habitat. In addition, Mundie (1969) reported that invertebrate food production is maximized in riffles while pools provide an optimum feeding environment for coho. In fact, the most productive streams are those consisting of a pool to riffle ratio of approximately one to one (Ruggles 1966).

### **Pool Depth**

According to Flosi and Reynolds (1994), a stream with at least 50% of its total habitat comprised of primary pools is generally desirable. Primary pools are at least two feet deep in first and second order streams and at least three feet deep in third order streams. The information from

## **DeHaven Creek**

Graph 4 on maximum depth in pools was used to determine percent of primary pools. DeHaven Creek, a third order stream, is comprised mainly of shallow pools with 10% of the pools having a maximum depth of three feet or greater.

## **Instream Shelter**

Instream shelter ratings are derived from two measurements: instream shelter complexity and instream shelter percent cover. The first is a value rating which provides a relative measure of the quality and composition of the shelter, and the second is a measure of the area of a habitat unit covered by shelter. The various types of instream shelter include LWD, small woody debris, boulders, root wads, terrestrial vegetation, aquatic vegetation, bedrock ledges and undercut banks. Of the Level II habitat types, pools had the highest shelter rating at 42. Of the Level III habitat types, backwater pools had the highest shelter rating at 85. The first value is low while the second is high as shelter values of 80 or higher are considered optimal for good rearing habitat (Flosi and Reynolds 1994).

## **Large Woody Debris**

The presence of large woody debris in streams is a significant component of fish habitat. Woody debris creates areas of low flow, providing a refuge for fish during periods of high flow (Robison and Beschta, 1990). Woody debris also provides cover for fish, lowering the risk of predation. The percent of pools formed by LWD in DeHaven Creek was 28%. Whether these numbers are high or low, relative to the needs of salmonids is difficult to ascertain since the optimum amount of woody debris in streams has not been specified (Robison and Beschta 1990). However, based on data from Georgia-Pacific's 1995 Aquatic Vertebrate Study, the only coho salmon found in the Ten Mile River Basin were in stream reaches where approximately 50% of pools were formed by large woody debris. Those reaches that did not support coho salmon had a significantly lower percentage of pools formed by large woody debris (Ambrose et al, 1996). This suggests that a low percentage of LWD formed pools could adversely affect juvenile coho populations (C.S. Shirvel 1990).

The above LWD analysis pertains only to pools formed by logs or root wads as described in Flosi and Reynolds (1994): lateral scour pool-log enhanced, lateral scour pool-rootwad enhanced, backwater pool-log formed and backwater pool-rootwad formed. Other pools containing LWD as a component were not included in the calculation. For example, plunge pools may be formed by boulders, bedrock or LWD, but are not described as such by habitat unit types. Therefore, the LWD formed pool calculation is limited to four pool types and does not quantify the amount of LWD in DeHaven Creek.

## **Canopy**

There are two important benefits of canopy cover in coastal streams. Canopy keeps stream temperatures cool as well as providing nutrients in the form of leaf litter and organic material (Bilby 1988). This leaf litter, organic material, and their associated nutrients are utilized as a food source by benthic macroinvertebrates (aquatic insects). The macroinvertebrates, in turn, are major food sources for most fish species in forested areas (Gregory et al., 1987). Mean percent

## **DeHaven Creek**

canopy cover for the DeHaven Creek was 89%. This is high since a canopy cover of 80% or higher is considered optimum (Flosi and Reynolds, 1994).

Deciduous trees occupied a larger portion of the canopy than did coniferous trees. Coniferous trees comprised only 12% of the canopy. The significance of this is that wood from alders and most other deciduous species deteriorates more rapidly than wood from coniferous species (Sedell, *et al.* 1988). Therefore, less LWD would be available in the future for fish cover and LWD formed pools in this creek and others dominated by deciduous species.

## **Embeddedness**

High embeddedness values (silt levels), such as those found in DeHaven Creek, have been associated with many negative impacts to salmonids. These negative impacts can be observed in important environmental components of salmonid habitat, such as pool habitats, dissolved oxygen levels and water temperatures.

The impact high silt levels have on pool habitat is that they fill in and eventually eliminate pools. As already mentioned, pools provide important habitat for rearing salmonids.

High silt levels also impact oxygen levels in the water. They do so by reducing water circulation within the substrate, thus lowering the oxygen levels needed by salmonid eggs (Sandercock, 1991). This can hinder the survival of the eggs deposited in redds, as well as the survival of juvenile salmonids.

Water temperature is impacted by high silt levels in several ways. Hagans et al (1986) reported the following impacts to water temperatures: 1) the loss of a reflective bottom; 2) darker sediment (as opposed to clean gravels) storing heat from direct solar radiation which is then transferred to the water column; and 3) a reduction in the flow of water through the substrate interstitial spaces thereby exposing more of the water column to direct solar radiation.

Another means by which water temperatures are increased is through the widening of stream channels: over time, high silt levels increase the substrate surface level of the creek, resulting in a wider, shallower stream channel (Flosi and Reynolds, 1994). In shallow streams more surface area is exposed to the sun relative to the volume of water, leading to an increase in solar heating which in turn leads to higher water temperatures.

Substrate embedded with silt in varying degrees were given corresponding values as follows: 0-25%= value 1, 26 - 50% = value 2, 51 - 75% = value 3 and 76 - 100% = value 4. According to Flosi and Reynolds (1994), creeks with embeddedness values of two or higher are considered to have poor quality fish habitat. In DeHaven Creek, 100% of the pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness values of two or more.

It is important to consider, however, that the above embeddedness values were obtained in the summer during low flow conditions. In winter and spring, flows are usually higher due to the rainy season and the lowered evapotranspiration of the trees. This higher flow can carry away

## **DeHaven Creek**

some of the previously deposited silt to sites further downstream. Therefore, embeddedness values may fluctuate throughout the year along different sections of the stream.

### **Substrate**

In DeHaven Creek, 54% of the low gradient riffles had gravel as the dominant substrate. The relatively high concentration of gravel in riffles indicates that there is a sufficient amount of substrate available as potential spawning habitat in this creek. It is important to consider is that regardless of the amount of substrate or spawning habitat available, this habitat may not be suitable for salmonids if it is highly embedded.

Overall, DeHaven Creek appears to have sufficient canopy and substrate for spawning. However, this stream also appears to have a low percentage of primary and LWD formed pools as well as high embeddedness values.

Georgia-Pacific recognizes that there are areas of DeHaven Creek in need of enhancement, and where feasible will attempt to restore those areas over time as part of its long term management plan. The company will also attempt to facilitate a healthy environment for salmonids in this creek through sound management practices.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1) Dehaven Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production watershed.
- 2) Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the depth of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.
- 3) Log debris accumulations retaining large quantities of fine sediment should be modified if necessary, over time, to avoid excessive sediment loading in downstream reaches.
- 4) Sources of stream bank erosion should be mapped and prioritized according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediment entering the stream. In addition, sediment sources related to road systems need to be identified, mapped and treated according to their potential for sediment yield to the watershed.

## **COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS**

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

## DeHaven Creek

Position (ft):	Comments:
106	Ranch along right bank with llamas, donkeys and horses which all have access to the creek.
1191	Three young-of-the-year (YOY) salmonids observed.
1874	Bridge at end of unit.
2255	Tributary entering right bank at end of unit.
2973	Bridge crossing at 17', numerous two inch salmonids observed.
3622	YOY observed.
3725	YOY observed.
3972	Numerous two and three inch salmonids observed.
5456	Martin Creek enters on the right bank at end of unit. Not accessible to fish.
5785	Road crossing at 139'. Channel type is a B3.
6418	Bridge crossing at 187'.
6922	Approximately twenty two-inch long salmonids observed.
7950	Log jam measures 4' high x 30' wide x 20' long.
8012	Log jam measures 40' wide x 8' high x 48' long.
8084	Log jam, mostly deciduous trees, measures 40' wide x 8' high x 48' long.
8787	Approximately 20 YOY observed.
9296	Approximately 15 two inch salmonids observed.
10773	Anderson tributary enters on right bank.
12643	Left bank tributary.
13487	North Fork DeHaven Creek enters on the right bank.
14508	Left bank tributary at end of unit.

## DeHaven Creek

- 16131 Log jam measures 20' long x 30' wide x 8' high. It is a possible barrier to salmonids.
- 17979 Right bank failure measures 119' long x 40' high. Log jam measures 120' long x 10' wide x 12' high.
- 22090 Right bank tributary at 154'.
- 22209 Right bank tributary at 38'.
- 22982 Log jam measures 60' long x 40' wide x 12' high. It is a possible barrier.
- 23274 Right bank failure measures 100' long x 60' high.
- 23427 Confluence with Scudder Gulch at end of unit.
- 24642 Left bank tributary at 89'.
- 26137 Ten foot bedrock waterfall into 6' deep pool, possible barrier.
- 26325 End of survey. Diminished habitat. No fish were observed above the waterfall at 26,137 feet.

DeHaven Creek

