

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

“Center Fork Redwood Creek”

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

The unnamed tributary to Redwood Creek commonly known as, and herein after referred to as, Center Fork Redwood Creek is a tributary to Redwood Creek (Figure 1). Elevations range from 500 feet at the mouth of the creek to 1200 feet in the headwater areas. Center Fork Redwood Creek’s legal description at the confluence with North Fork Redwood is T19N R16W S12. Its location is 39°30'37"N. latitude and 123°35'33"W. longitude according to the USGS Sherwood peak 7.5 minute quadrangle.

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

The habitat inventory of October 28, 1997, was conducted by Dave Wright and Andrew Hepokowski. The total length of surveyed stream in Center Fork Redwood Creek was 2246 feet (0.43 miles, 0.68 km) (Table 1). There were no side channels in this creek.

Flow measurements are available through the Georgia Pacific 1997 Electro-fishing survey of Center Fork Redwood Creek.

Center Fork Redwood Creek consists of one reach: a B4 for the entire length of surveyed stream.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater and pool habitat types. By percent occurrence riffles comprised 22%, flatwater 20% and pools 56% of the habitat types (Graph 1). By percent total length, riffles comprised 10%, flatwater 29% and pools 57% (Graph 2).

Ten Level IV habitat types were identified and are summarized in Table 2. The most frequently occurring habitat types were low gradient riffles, 20%, trench pools, 17% and lateral scour log pools, 17% (Graph 3). The most prevalent habitat types by percent total length were step runs at 25%, step pools at 22% and lateral scour log pools at 15% (Table 2).

Table 3 summarizes main channel, scour and backwater pools, which are Level III pool habitat types. Main channel pools were most often encountered at 57% occurrence and comprised 65% of the total length of pools.

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by Level IV pool habitat types. In second order streams, pools with depths of two feet (0.61 m) or greater are considered optimal for fish habitat. In Center Fork Redwood Creek, 17 of the 23 pools (74%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 4).

Center Fork Redwood Great

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the pool tail-outs measured, 5% had a value of 1, 10% had a value of 2, 57% had a value of 3 and 29% had a value of 4 (Graph 5).

Of the Level II habitat types, pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 87 (Table 1). Of the Level III pool habitat types, scour pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 105 (Table 3).

Of the 23 pools, 43% were formed by large woody debris (LWD): 30% by logs and 13% by root wads (calculated from Table 4).

Table 6 summarizes dominant substrate by Level IV habitat types. Of the low gradient riffles fully measured, 100% had gravel as the dominant substrate (Graph 6).

Mean percent closed canopy was 89%: 58% coniferous trees and 31% deciduous trees. Mean percent open canopy was 11% (Graph 7, calculated from Table 7).

Table 7 summarizes the mean percent substrate/vegetation types found along the banks of the stream. Mean percent right bank vegetated was 60% while mean percent left bank vegetated was 66%. Deciduous trees were the dominant bank vegetation type in 42% of the units fully measured. The dominant substrate composing the structure of the stream banks was silt and clay, found in 58% of the units fully measured.

DISCUSSION

When reviewing Center Fork Redwood Creek data it is important to consider the short distance surveyed. The survey was limited to approximately 2246 feet with only 41 units; therefore, many of the determinations for the indicated parameters were based on only one or two completely measured units. Determinations based on such a limited sample size may lack statistical validity and therefore are of questionable analytical value.

Level II habitat types by percent occurrence and length

Flatwater habitat types comprised a small percentage of the units by both percent occurrence and length at 20% and 29% respectively (Table 1 and Graph 1). These unit types usually do not provide optimal spawning or rearing habitat for salmonids. Riffle habitat units also comprised a small percentage of the stream by both percent occurrence and length at 22% and 10% respectively. Pools however, comprised a large percentage by both percent occurrence and length at 56% and 57% respectively. Riffles usually provide good spawning habitat while pools provide important rearing habitat. In addition, Mundie (1969) reported that invertebrate food production is maximized in riffles while pools provide an optimum feeding environment for coho salmon. In fact, the most productive streams are those consisting of a pool to riffle ratio of approximately one to one (Ruggles 1966).

Center Fork Redwood Great

Pool Depth

According to Flosi and Reynolds (1994), a stream with at least 50% of its total habitat comprised of primary pools is generally desirable. Primary pools are at least two feet deep in first and second order streams and at least three feet deep in third order streams. The information from Graph 4 on maximum depth in pools was used to determine percent of primary pools. Center Fork Redwood Creek, a second order stream, is comprised mainly of deep pools with 74% of the pools having a maximum depth of two feet or greater.

Instream Shelter

Instream shelter ratings are derived from two measurements: instream shelter complexity and instream shelter percent cover. The first is a value rating which provides a relative measure of the quality and composition of the shelter, and the second is a measure of the area of a habitat unit covered by shelter. The various types of instream shelter include LWD, small woody debris, boulders, rootwads, terrestrial vegetation, aquatic vegetation, bedrock ledges and undercut banks. Of the Level II habitat types, pools had the highest shelter rating at 87. Of the Level III habitat types, scour pools had the highest shelter rating at 105. These values are high as shelter values of 80 or higher are considered optimal for good rearing habitat (Flosi and Reynolds 1994).

Large Woody Debris

The presence of large woody debris in streams is a significant component of fish habitat. Woody debris creates areas of low flow, providing a refuge for fish during periods of high flow (Robison and Beschta, 1990). Woody debris also provides cover for fish, lowering the risk of predation. The percent of pools formed by LWD in Center Fork Redwood Creek was 43%. Whether these numbers are high or low, relative to the needs of salmonids is difficult to ascertain since the optimum amount of woody debris in streams has not been specified (Robison and Beschta 1990). However, based on data from Georgia-Pacific's 1995 Aquatic Vertebrate Study, the only coho found in the Ten Mile River Basin were in stream reaches where approximately 50% of pools were formed by large woody debris. Those reaches that did not support coho had a significantly lower percentage of pools formed by large woody debris (Ambrose et al, 1996). This suggests that a low percentage of LWD formed pools could adversely affect juvenile coho populations (C.S. Shirvel 1990).

The above LWD analysis pertains only to pools formed by logs or root wads as described in Flosi and Reynolds (1994): lateral scour pool-log enhanced, lateral scour pool-rootwad enhanced, backwater pool-log formed and backwater pool-rootwad formed. Other pools containing LWD as a component were not included in the calculation. For example, plunge pools may be formed by boulders, bedrock or LWD, but are not described as such by habitat unit types. Therefore, the LWD formed pool calculation is limited to four pool types and does not quantify the total amount of LWD in Center Fork Redwood Creek.

Center Fork Redwood Great

Canopy

There are two important benefits of canopy cover in coastal streams. Canopy keeps stream temperatures cool as well as providing nutrients in the form of leaf litter and organic material (Bilby 1988). This leaf litter, organic material, and their associated nutrients are utilized as a food source by benthic macroinvertebrates (aquatic insects). The macroinvertebrates, in turn, are major food sources for most fish species in forested areas (Gregory et al., 1987). Mean percent canopy cover for the Center Fork Redwood Creek was 89%. This is relatively high since a canopy cover of 80% or higher is considered optimum, Flosi and Reynolds (1994).

Coniferous trees occupied a larger portion of the canopy than did deciduous trees at 58% of the canopy. The significance of this is that wood from alder and most other deciduous species deteriorates more rapidly than wood from coniferous species (Sedell, *et al.* 1988). Therefore, more LWD would be available in the future for fish cover and LWD formed pools in this creek and others dominated coniferous species.

Embeddedness

High embeddedness values (silt levels), such as those found in Center Fork Redwood Creek, have been associated with many negative impacts to salmonids. These negative impacts can be observed in important environmental components of salmonid habitat, such as pool habitats, dissolved oxygen levels and water temperatures.

The impact high silt levels have on pool habitat is that they fill in and eventually eliminate pools. As already mentioned, pools provide important habitat for rearing salmonids.

High silt levels also impact oxygen levels in the water. They do so by reducing water circulation within the substrate, thus lowering the oxygen levels needed by salmonid eggs (Sandercock, 1991). This can hinder the survival of the eggs deposited in redds, as well as the survival of juvenile salmonids.

Water temperature is impacted by high silt levels in several ways. Hagans et al (1986) reported the following impacts to water temperatures: 1) the loss of a reflective bottom; 2) darker sediment (as opposed to clean gravels) storing heat from direct solar radiation which is then transferred to the water column; and 3) a reduction in the flow of water through the substrate interstitial spaces thereby exposing more of the water column to direct solar radiation.

Another means by which water temperatures are increased is through the widening of stream channels: over time, high silt levels increase the substrate surface level of the creek, resulting in a wider, shallower stream channel (Flosi and Reynolds 1994). In shallow streams more surface area is exposed to the sun relative to the volume of water, leading to an increase in solar heating which in turn leads to higher water temperatures.

Substrates embedded with silt in varying degrees were given corresponding values as follows: 0-25%= value 1, 26 - 50% = value 2, 51 - 75% = value 3 and 76 - 100% = value 4. According to Flosi and Reynolds (1994), creeks with embeddedness values of two or higher are considered to

Center Fork Redwood Great

have poor quality fish habitat. In Center Fork Redwood Creek, 95% of the pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness values of two or more.

It is important to consider, however, that the above embeddedness values were obtained in the summer during low flow conditions. In winter and spring, flows are usually higher due to the rainy season and the lowered evapotranspiration of the trees. This higher flow can carry away some of the previously deposited silt to sites further downstream. Therefore, embeddedness values may fluctuate throughout the year along different sections of the stream.

Substrate

In Center Fork Redwood Creek, 100% of the low gradient riffles had gravel as the dominant substrate. The relatively high concentration of gravel in riffles indicates that there is a sufficient amount of substrate available as potential spawning habitat in this creek. While this creek had sufficient substrate for spawning in the riffles surveyed, the overall percentage of riffles in the surveyed portions of the creek was low at only 22% (Table 1). Subsequently, there may be a lack of sufficient spawning habitat. Another point to consider is that regardless of the amount of substrate or spawning habitat available, this habitat may not be suitable for salmonids if it is highly embedded.

Overall, Center Fork Redwood Creek appears to have a relatively high percentage of primary and LWD formed pools, good canopy cover, and high shelter values, all of which indicate good salmonid rearing habitat. Unfortunately, the indicators for substandard spawning habitat, high embeddedness values and a paucity of low gradient riffles, were also present.

Georgia-Pacific will attempt to maintain a healthy environment for salmonids in this creek through sound management practices and restoration and enhancement projects.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Center Fork Redwood Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production watershed.
- 2) Log debris accumulations retaining large quantities of fine sediment should be modified if necessary, over time, to avoid excessive sediment loading in downstream reaches.
- 3) Sources of stream bank erosion should be mapped and prioritized according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediment entering the stream. In addition, sediment sources related to road systems need to be identified, mapped and treated according to their potential for sediment yield to the watershed.

Center Fork Redwood Great

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

Position

(ft): Comments:

17	Begin survey at confluence with Redwood Creek.
199	Large debris accumulation (LDA) measures 20' long x 6' wide x 10' high. Possible impediment to fish migration.
1255	Beginning of Center Fork Redwood Creek marsh.
1376	Confluence with East Branch Center Fork Redwood.Creek.
1526	Marsh.

Center Fork Redwood Great

