

SALMON AND STEELHEAD RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

NORTH COAST

BASIN PLANNING PROJECT

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

DRY CREEK, MATTOLE RIVER, 1998

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

SPORT FISH RESTORATION ACT

1998-1999

North Coast Basin Planning Project

NORTH COAST WATERSHED PLANNING and COORDINATION PROJECT

The North Coast Watershed Planning and Coordination Project (NCWPCP), formerly the Basin Planning Project (BPP), was begun in 1991 to develop salmon and steelhead restoration and enhancement programs in North Coast watersheds for the Department of Fish and Game (DFG). The objectives of the project conform with the goals of California's Salmon and Steelhead Restoration and Enhancement Program of 1988. The Restoration Program strives to enhance the status of anadromous salmonid populations and improve the fishing experience for Californians. The program intends to achieve a doubling of the population of salmon and steelhead by the year 2000. The project is supported by the Sport Fish Restoration Act, which uses sport fishermen's funds to improve sport fisheries.

The NCWPCP conducts stream and habitat inventories according to the standard methodologies discussed in the *California Salmonid stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, (Flosi et.al., 1998). Biological sampling is conducted using electrofishing and direct observation to determine species presence and distribution; selected streams are electrofished for population estimates. Some streams are also sampled for sediment composition. Collected information is used for base-line data, public cooperation development, restoration program planning, specific project design and implementation, and for project evaluation.

The Eel River system was identified as the initial basin for project planning activities. Most anadromous tributaries to the Van Duzen, South Fork Eel, Mainstem Eel, Middle Fork Eel, and the North Fork Eel rivers have been inventoried since 1991. Initial field inventory of the Eel River system should be essentially complete in 1996. NCWPCP personnel have also worked in cooperation with the DFG Salmon Restoration Project's staff to inventory streams on the Mattole River, Mendocino Coast, and Humboldt Bay.

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

Dry Creek, Mattole River, 1998

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1998 on Dry Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Dry Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Dry Creek is tributary to the Mattole River, located in Humboldt County, California (Map 1). Dry Creek's legal description at the confluence with Mattole River is T03S R01E S00. Its location is 40°13'48" north latitude and 124°03'46" west longitude. Dry Creek is a third order stream and has approximately 8.8 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Honeydew 7.5 minute quadrangle. Dry Creek drains a watershed of approximately 5.5 square miles. Elevations range from about 410 feet at the mouth of the creek to 2,280 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is privately owned and is managed for timber production and rural residence. Vehicle access exists via Hwy 101 to Honeydew Road. Travel west approximately eight miles to Panther Gap Road. Directions to the mouth of Dry Creek are available through the landowner.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Dry Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi, et. al., 1998) The California Conservation Corps (CCC) Technical Advisors and Watershed Stewards Project/AmeriCorps (WSP/AmeriCorps). Members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the

Dry Creek, 1998

survey reach (Hopelain, 1995). All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth, depth of pool tail crest, dominant substrate composing the pool tail crest, and embeddedness. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are further measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory methodology and datasheet has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This protocol was used in Dry Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Dry Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. Channel dimensions were measured using hip chains, range finders, tape measures, and

Dry Creek, 1998

stadia rods. All units were measured for mean length; additionally, the first occurrence of each unit type and a randomly selected 10% subset of all units were sampled for all features on the sampling form (Hopelain, 1995). Pool tail crest depth at each pool unit was measured in the thalweg. All measurements were in feet to the nearest tenth.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Dry Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Dry Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two respectively. In addition the dominant substrate composing the pool tail outs is recorded for each pool.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densimeters as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Dry Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Dry Creek, 1998

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Dry Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. In Dry Creek fish presence was observed from the stream banks. These sampling techniques are discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

SUBSTRATE SAMPLING

Gravel sampling is conducted using a 9 inch diameter standard McNeil gravel sampler. Sample sites are identified numerically beginning at the most upstream site in the stream. Gravel samples are separated and measured to determine respective percent volume using five sieve sizes (25.4, 12.5, 4.7, 2.37, and 0.85 mm)(Valentine, 1995).

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Habitat, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Quattro Pro. Graphics developed for Dry Creek include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length

Dry Creek, 1998

- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in the pool tail outs
- Percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of September 22, 1998, was conducted by John Wooster and Caroline Jezierski (WSP/Americorps). The total length of the stream surveyed was 8,548 feet with an additional 227 feet of side channel.

Flow was measured at the bottom of the survey reach with a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flowmeter at 1.0 cfs on September 22, 1998.

Dry Creek is an F4 channel type for the entire 8,548 feet of stream reach surveyed. F4 channels are entrenched, meandering, riffle/pool channels on low gradients with high width/depth ratios and gravel-dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 59° - 68° F. Air temperatures ranged from 64° - 81° F.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of occurrence there were 48% riffle units, 20% flatwater units, 31% pool units, and 1% dry units (Graph 1). Based on total length of Level II habitat types there were 74% riffle units, 10% flatwater units, 10% pool units, and 5% dry units.

Six Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were low gradient riffles, 48%; mid-channel pools, 23%; and runs, 17% (Graph 3). Based on percent total length, low gradient riffles made up 74%, mid-channel pools 7%, and runs 7%.

A total of thirty-eight pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were most frequently encountered at 74% and comprised 70% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids

Dry Creek, 1998

increases with depth. Twenty of the 38 pools (53%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 38 pool tail-outs measured, none had a value of 1 (0.0%); one had a value of 2 (3%); 12 had a value of 3 (32%); 24 had a value of 4 (63%) and one had a value of 5 (3%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate and a value of 5 indicates the tail-out is not suitable for spawning. In Dry Creek, one pool tail-out was valued at 5 and unsuitable for spawning due to the tail-out being comprised of bedrock.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 18, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 6, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 15 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the main channel pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 16. Scour pools had a mean shelter rating of 10 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Dry Creek and are extensive. Large and small woody debris are lacking in nearly all habitat types. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Dry Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Gravel was the dominant substrate observed in 25 of the 38 pool tail outs measured (66%). Small cobble was the next most frequently observed dominant substrate type and occurred in 21% of the pool tail outs (Graph 8).

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 36%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 79% and 21%, respectively. Graph 9 describes the canopy in Dry Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 23%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 19%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 30.6% bedrock, 2.8% boulder, 66.7% cobble/gravel, and 0.0% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Grass was the dominant vegetation type observed in 44% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 30.6% of the units surveyed had deciduous trees as the dominant vegetation type, and 2.8% had coniferous trees as the dominant vegetation, including down trees, logs, and root wads (Graph 11).

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

No sites were electrofished during the 1998 steam inventory in Sholes Creek. Young-of-the-year (YOY) salmonids were observed from the streambanks by the surveyors throughout the survey reach.

GRAVEL SAMPLING RESULTS

Dry Creek, 1998

No gravel samples were taken on Dry Creek.

DISCUSSION

Dry Creek is an F4 channel type for the entire 8,548 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of F4 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is good for bank-placed boulders; fair for plunge weirs, single and opposing wing-deflectors, channel-constrictors, and log cover; and poor for boulder clusters.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey day September 22, 1998, ranged from 59° -68° F. Air temperatures ranged from 64° - 81° F. This is a warm water temperature range for salmonids. However, 65° F, if sustained, is near the threshold stress level for salmonids. This does not seem to be the case here, and Dry Creek seems to have temperatures favorable to salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 10% of the total length of this survey, riffles 74%, and pools 10%. The pools are relatively deep, with 20 of the 38 (52.6%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended for locations where their installation will not be threatened by high stream energy, or where their installation will not conflict with the modification of the numerous log debris accumulations (LDA's) in the stream. The LDA's in the system are retaining needed gravel. Any necessary modifications to them should be done with the intent of metering the gravel out to downstream reaches that will trap the gravel for future spawning use. Therefore, gravel retention features may need to be developed prior to any LDA modification.

None of the 38 pool tail-outs measured had an embeddedness rating of 1. Thirty-six of the pool tail-outs had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. One of the pool tail-outs had a rating of 5 or was considered unsuitable for spawning. A rating of 1, with cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. In Dry Creek, sediment sources should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

The mean shelter rating for pools was low with a rating of 15. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was slightly lower at 6. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The relatively small/large amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, whitewater contributes a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats are needed to improve both summer and winter

Dry Creek, 1998

salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

Thirty-three of the 38 pool tail outs measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 36%. This is a relatively low percentage of canopy. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was low at 23% and 19%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Dry Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- 3) Increase the canopy on Dry Creek by planting willow, alder, redwood, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is effected from upstream. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.
- 4) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.
- 5) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable.
- 6) Active and potential sediment sources related to the road system need to be identified, mapped, and treated according to their potential for sediment yield to the stream and its tributaries.
- 7) Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.

Dry Creek, 1998

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

- 0' Begin survey at confluence with Mattole River. Channel type is an F4 for the entire 8548' of stream surveyed.
- 256' Gravel embankment on both sides of creek; 5' high.
- 1176' Young-of-the-year (YOY) salmonids observed from the streambanks by surveyors.
- 2070' Large debris accumulation (LDA) in stream channel; 10' long x 30'wide x 15' high.
- 2091' Failure on right bank (RB); 130' long x 50' high.
- 4149' LDA in stream channel.
- 4220' Large trees in stream channel; retaining some gravel.
- 5313' Dry tributary enters from left bank (LB).
- 5860' Failure on RB; 100' long x 75' high.
- 6032' Failure on RB; 530' long x 150' high. Slide appears to still be active, and is contributing fines directly to the stream channel.
- 6097' Failure on LB; 100' long x 75' high.
- 6647' Dry tributary enters from LB. Failure on RB begins; 150' high.
- 7196' Failure on LB; 100' long x 75' high.
- 7572' Dry tributary enters from LB.
- 7741' Failure on RB ends.
- 8548' Stream has absolutely no surface flow. Surveyors walked upstream approximately 500' to forks, and observed no signs of surface flow in either fork. End of survey.

REFERENCES

Dry Creek, 1998

Flosi, G., and F. Reynolds. 1994. *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual, 2nd edition*. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

Hopelain, J. 1995. Sampling levels for fish habitat inventory, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento, California.

Valentine, B. 1995. Stream substrate quality for salmonids: guidelines for sampling, processing, and analysis, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Santa Rosa, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPE KEY

HABITAT TYPE	LETTER	NUMBER
RIFFLE		
Low Gradient Riffle	[LGR]	1.1
High Gradient Riffle	[HGR]	1.2
CASCADE		
Cascade	[CAS]	2.1
Bedrock Sheet	[BRS]	2.2
FLATWATER		
Pocket Water	[POW]	3.1
Glide	[GLD]	3.2
Run	[RUN]	3.3
Step Run	[SRN]	3.4
Edgewater	[EDW]	3.5
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS		
Trench Pool	[TRP]	4.1
Mid-Channel Pool	[MCP]	4.2
Channel Confluence Pool	[CCP]	4.3
Step Pool	[STP]	4.4
SCOUR POOLS		
Corner Pool	[CRP]	5.1
Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced	[LSL]	5.2
Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced	[LSR]	5.3
Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	[LSBk]	5.4
Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed	[LSBo]	5.5
Plunge Pool	[PLP]	5.6
BACKWATER POOLS		
Secondary Channel Pool	[SCP]	6.1
Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed	[BPB]	6.2
Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	[BPR]	6.3
Backwater Pool - Log Formed	[BPL]	6.4
Dammed Pool	[DPL]	6.5