#### STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

## East Fork Honeydew Creek

#### INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1996 on East Fork Honeydew Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in East Fork Honeydew Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species. There is no known record of adult spawning surveys having been conducted on East Fork Honeydew Creek.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

#### WATERSHED OVERVIEW

East Fork Honeydew Creek is tributary to Honeydew Creek, tributary to the Mattole River, located in Humboldt County, California. East Fork Honeydew Creek's legal description at the confluence with Honeydew Creek is T03S R01W S13. Its location is 40°12'21" North latitude and 124°07'11" West longitude. East Fork Honeydew Creek is a first order stream and has approximately 5.3 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Honeydew 7.5 minute quadrangle. East Fork Honeydew Creek drains a watershed of approximately 5.3 square miles. Summer base flow is approximately 0.5 cubic feet per second (cfs) at the

mouth, but over 20 cfs is not unusual during winter storms. Elevations range from about 500 feet at the mouth of the creek to 1,600 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is primarily under the management of the Bureau of Land Management and is managed for diverse recreation. Vehicle access exists via Wilder Ridge Road from Ettersburg towards Honeydew. Follow the unimproved road that goes west after you cross Honeydew Creek. Follow the road for approximately 2.1 miles until it crosses a tributary to East Fork Honeydew Creek. Walk downstream approximately 500 feet until you reach the mouth of East Fork Honeydew Creek.

#### METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in East Fork Honeydew Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi and Reynolds, 1994). The Pacific Coast Fisheries, Wildlife, and Wetlands Restoration Association (PCFWWRA) members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). East Fork Honeydew Creek personnel were trained in May, 1996, by Scott Downie and Ruth Goodfield. This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

#### SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach (Hopelain, 1994). All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are further measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

## HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in East Fork Honeydew Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

#### 1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated.

# 2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

# 3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit.

The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

#### 4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". East Fork Honeydew Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. Channel dimensions were measured using hip chains, range finders, tape measures, and stadia rods. All units were measured for mean length; additionally, the first occurrence of each unit type and a randomly selected 10% subset of all units were sampled for all features on the sampling form (Hopelain, 1995). Pool tail crest depth at each pool unit was measured in the thalweg. All measurements were taken in feet to the nearest tenth.

### 5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In East Fork Honeydew Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3), 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a rating of "not suitable" (value 5) was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

### 6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In East Fork Honeydew Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

## 7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant (1), and sub-dominant (2) substrate elements were ocularly estimated from a list of seven size classes.

#### 8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densiometers as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, 1994. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In East Fork Honeydew Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated

ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

#### 9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In East Fork Honeydew Creek, the dominant composition type (options 1-4) and the dominant vegetation type (options 5-9) of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

### BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. In East Fork Honeydew Creek fish presence was observed from the stream banks. This sampling technique is discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

### SUBSTRATE SAMPLING

Gravel sampling is conducted using a 9 inch diameter standard McNeil gravel sampler. Sample sites are identified numerically beginning at the most upstream site in the stream. Gravel samples are separated and measured to determine respective percent volume using five sieve sizes: 25.4, 12.5, 4.7, 2.37, and 0.85 mm (Valentine, 1995).

### DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into *Habitat*, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Lotus 1,2,3. Graphics developed for East Fork Honeydew Creek include:

Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in low gradient riffles
- Percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

### HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

\* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT \*

The habitat inventory of August 12 to 15, 1996, was conducted by Dave Smith and Ray Bevitori (PCFFWRA). The total length of the stream surveyed was 15,231 feet with an additional 884 feet of side channel.

Flow was estimated to be 0.5 cfs during the survey period.

East Fork Honeydew Creek is an F2 channel type for the entire 15,231 feet of stream reach surveyed. F2 channels are entrenched, meandering, riffle/pool channels on low gradients with high width/depth ratios and boulder-dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from  $60^{\circ}$  to  $70^{\circ}$  Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from  $65^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}$  F.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of occurrence there were 48% riffle units, 31% flatwater units, and 21% pool units (Graph 1). Based on total length of Level II habitat types there were 43% riffle units, 38% flatwater units, and 19% pool units (Graph 2).

Seven Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were low gradient riffles, 46%; runs, 20%; and mid-channel pools 19% (Graph 3). Based on percent total length, low gradient riffles made up 43%, step runs 23%, and mid-channel pools 17%.

A total of forty-nine pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were most frequently encountered at 92% and comprised 95% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. All of the 49 pools (100%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 49 pool tail-outs measured, none had a value of 1 (0%); 13 had a value of 2 (27%); 34 had a value of 3 (69%); none had a value of 4 (0%); and two had a value of 5 (0%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Pool habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 65, and flatwater habitats had a mean shelter rating of 51 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the scour pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 99. Main channel pools had a mean shelter rating of 62 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in East Fork Honeydew Creek and are extensive. Large and small woody debris are lacking in nearly all habitat types. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in East Fork Honeydew Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Gravel was the dominant substrate observed in four of the five low gradient riffles measured (80%). Small cobble was the next most frequently observed dominant substrate type and occurred in 20% of the low gradient riffles (Graph 8).

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 69%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 91% and 9%, respectively. Graph 9 describes the canopy in East Fork Honeydew Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 68%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 66%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 35.6% bedrock, 9.3% boulder, 33.9% cobble/gravel, and 19.5% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Grass was the dominant vegetation type observed in 3% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 89.8% of the units surveyed had deciduous trees as the dominant vegetation type, and 5.1% had coniferous trees as the dominant vegetation, including down trees, logs, and root wads (Graph 11).

## BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

No sites were electrofished in East Fork Honeydew Creek in 1996. Young-of-the-year and juvenile salmonids were observed from the streambanks by the survey crew.

## GRAVEL SAMPLING RESULTS

No gravel samples were taken on East Fork Honeydew Creek.

#### DISCUSSION

East Fork Honeydew Creek is an F2 channel type for the entire 15,231 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of F2 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is described as fair for low-stage weirs, single and opposing wing-deflectors, and log cover; and poor for medium-stage weirs.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days August 12 to 14, 1996, ranged from 60 to 70 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 65 to 90 degrees Fahrenheit. This range is above the optimum water temperature range for salmonids. A temperatures of 70°, if sustained, is near the threshold stress level for salmonids. However, East Fork Honeydew Creek is supporting a salmonid population. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 38% of the total length of this survey, riffles 43%, and pools 19%. The pools are relatively deep, with all of the 49 (100%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended for locations where their installation will not be threatened by high stream energy, or where their installation will not conflict with the modification of the numerous log debris accumulations (LDA's) in the stream.

Thirty-four of the 49 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. None had a 1 rating. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. In East Fork Honeydew Creek, sediment sources should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

The mean shelter rating for pools was moderate with a rating of 65. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was slightly lower at 51. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The relatively small amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, white water contributes a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats are needed to improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

All of the low gradient riffles measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant

substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 69%. This is a relatively moderate percentage of canopy. In general, re-vegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was moderate at 68% and 66%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- East Fork Honeydew Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites, like the site at 5331', should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.
- 3) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures

are at the upper range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.

- 4) Increase the canopy on East Fork Honeydew Creek by planting willow, alder, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is effected from upstream. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.
- 5) The road system should be surveyed for present and potential sources of erosion and corrective measures taken.
- 6) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable and in some areas the material is locally available.
- 7) Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.

### PROBLEM SITES AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and measured from the beginning of the survey reach.

O' Begin survey at confluence with Honeydew Creek. Channel type is an F2 for the entire 15231' of stream surveyed.

1093' Spring on right bank (RB).

2291' Stream restoration site; log structures.

2705'Failure on RB; 80' long x 60' high.

2818' Stream restoration site; log structures seem to be keeping the stream in the channel and protecting the banks.

4042'Dry tributary enters from the left bank (LB).

4139' Large failure on RB; contributing materials directly to the stream.

5084'Spring on LB; 66°F.

5331' Large failure on RB; 200' long x 90' high.

```
6014' Failure on RB; 80' long x 50' high.
```

6066'Failure on LB; 120' long x 100' high. Contributing fines to the creek.

6895'Large failure on LB; 150' long x 90' high.

7167' Spring on LB; 57°F.

8003'Large failure on LB; 400' long x 500' high.

8279'Stream restoration project site; log constrictors. Appears to be in good working condition.

9594'Spring on RB; 58°F.

9594'Spring on LB; 64°F.

11064' Spring on LB; 57°F.

11683' Spring on LB; 58°F.

11749' Large failure on RB; 200' long x 300' high.

11806' Spring on LB.

12761' Spring on RB; 61°F.

- 14831' Vertical drop of 3' in stream elevation. Does not appear to be a barrier for migrating salmonids.
- 15231' Stream flow is intermittent; less and less fish habitat available. YOY and juvenile salmonids were observed from the streambanks throughout the survey. End of survey.

#### References

- Flosi, G., and F. Reynolds. 1994. California salmonid stream habitat restoration manual, 2nd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.
- Hopelain, J. 1995. Sampling levels for fish habitat inventory, unpublished manuscript.

  California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento,
  California.
- Valentine, B. 1995. Stream substrate quality for salmonids: guidelines for sampling, processing, and analysis, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Santa Rosa, California.

# $\underline{\text{LEVEL III}}$ and $\underline{\text{LEVEL IV}}$ HABITAT TYPE KEY

HABITAT TYPE	LETTER	NUMBER
RIFFLE		
Low Gradient Riffle High Gradient Riffle	[LGR] [HGR]	1.1 1.2
CASCADE		
Cascade Bedrock Sheet	[CAS] [BRS]	2.1 2.2
FLATWATER		
Pocket Water Glide Run Step Run Edgewater	[POW] [GLD] [RUN] [SRN] [EDW]	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS		
Trench Pool Mid-Channel Pool Channel Confluence Pool Step Pool	[TRP] [MCP] [CCP] [STP]	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4
SCOUR POOLS		
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool	[CRP] [LSL] [LSR] [LSBk] [LSBo] [PLP]	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6
BACKWATER POOLS		
Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool	[SCP] [BPB] [BPR] [BPL] [DPL]	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5