

SALMON AND STEELHEAD RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

NORTH COAST
BASIN PLANNING PROJECT

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT
SHOLES CREEK, MATTOLE RIVER, 1998

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

SPORT FISH RESTORATION ACT

1998-1999

Scott Downie,
Fish Habitat Supervisor II

NORTH COAST BASIN PLANNING PROJECT

The North Coast Basin Planning Project (BPP) was begun in 1991 to develop salmon and steelhead restoration and enhancement programs in North Coast watersheds for the Department of Fish and Game (DFG). The objectives of the project conform with the goals of California's Salmon and Steelhead Restoration and Enhancement Program of 1988. The Restoration Program strives to enhance the status of anadromous salmonid populations and improve the fishing experience for Californians. The Program's goal has been to achieve a doubling of the population of salmon and steelhead by the year 2000. The BPP is supported by the Sport Fish Restoration Act, which uses sport fishermen's funds to improve sport fisheries.

The project conducts stream and habitat inventories according to the standard methodologies discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi, et al., 1998). Biological sampling is conducted using electrofishing and direct observation to determine species presence and distribution, and some selected streams are electrofished for population estimates. A few streams are also sampled for substrate composition. Collected information is used for base-line data, public cooperation development, restoration program planning, specific project design and implementation, and for project evaluation.

The Eel River system was identified as the initial basin for project planning activities. Most anadromous tributaries to the Van Duzen, South Fork Eel, Mainstem Eel, Middle Fork Eel, and North Fork Eel rivers have been inventoried since 1991. BPP personnel have also completed inventories of most Mattole River tributaries, and a few Mendocino County coastal streams, and tributaries to Humboldt Bay.

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

Sholes Creek, Mattole River

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1998 on Sholes Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Sholes Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Sholes Creek is tributary to the Mattole River, located in Humboldt County, California (Map 1). Sholes Creek's legal description at the confluence with Mattole River is T03S R01E S--. Its location is 40°11'18" north latitude and 124°02'04" west longitude. Sholes Creek is a second order stream and has approximately 6.0 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Honeydew 7.5 minute quadrangle. Sholes Creek drains a watershed of approximately 4.2 square miles. Elevations range from about 520 feet at the mouth of the creek to 1,700 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is privately owned and is managed for rural residence and timber harvest. Vehicle access exists via Briceland/Shelter Cove Road west to the Ettersburg turnoff. At Ettersburg, take the Wilder Ridge Road west approximately 1.8 miles to a private dirt road, which leads toward the mouth of Sholes Creek.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Sholes Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi et.al., 1998). The California Conservation Corps (CCC) Technical Advisors and AmeriCorps Watershed Stewards Project (WSP) Members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach (Hopelain, 1995). All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth, depth of pool tail crest, dominant substrate composing the pool tail crest, and embeddedness. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are further measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Sholes Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Sholes Creek habitat

Sholes Creek

typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. Channel dimensions were measured using hip chains, range finders, tape measures, and stadia rods. All units were measured for mean length; additionally, the first occurrence of each unit type and a randomly selected 10% subset of all units were sampled for all features on the sampling form (Hopelain, 1995). Pool tail crest depth at each pool unit was measured in the thalweg. All measurements were in feet to the nearest tenth.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Sholes Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Sholes Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two respectively. In addition the dominant substrate composing the pool tail outs is recorded for each pool.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densimeters as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Sholes Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately

Sholes Creek

every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Sholes Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. In Sholes Creek fish presence was observed from the stream banks. These sampling techniques are discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

SUBSTRATE SAMPLING

Gravel sampling is conducted using a 9 inch diameter standard McNeil gravel sampler. Sample sites are identified numerically beginning at the most upstream site in the stream. Gravel samples are separated and measured to determine respective percent volume using five sieve sizes (25.4, 12.5, 4.7, 2.37, and 0.85 mm)(Valentine, 1995).

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Habitat, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Quattro Pro. Graphics developed for Sholes Creek

Sholes Creek

include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in the pool tail outs
- Percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of September 17, and 24, 1998, was conducted by John Wooster and Stewart McMorro (WSP). The total length of the stream surveyed was 21,247 feet with an additional 119 feet of side channel.

Flow was measured at the bottom of the survey reach with a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flowmeter at 0.3 cfs on September 17, 1998.

Sholes Creek is a B4 channel type for the entire 21,247 feet of stream reach surveyed. B4 channels are moderately entrenched, meandering, riffle/pool channels on 2-4% gradients with moderate width/depth ratios and gravel-dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 54 to 61° F. Air temperatures ranged from 57 to 73° F.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of occurrence there were 35% riffle units, 31% flatwater units, 32% pool units, and 3% dry units (Graph 1). Based on total length of Level II habitat types there were 30% riffle units, 45% flatwater units, 22% pool units, and 2% dry units (Graph 2).

Nine Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were low gradient riffles, 35%; mid-channel pools, 30%; and step runs, 19% (Graph 3). Based on percent total length, step runs made up 35%, low gradient riffles 30%, and mid-channel pools 22%.

A total of one hundred one pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were most

Sholes Creek

frequently encountered at 98% and comprised 98% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Seventy-two of the 101 pools (72%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 101 pool tail-outs measured, none had a value of 1 (0%); twenty-four had a value of 2 (24%); sixty-nine had a value of 3 (69%); six had a value of 4 (5%) and two had a value of 5 (2%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate and a value of 5 indicates the tail-out is not suitable for spawning.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 22, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 18, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 38 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the main channel pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 39. Scour pools had a mean shelter rating of 30 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Sholes Creek and are extensive. Large woody debris is lacking in nearly all habitat types. Small woody debris is present in most habitat types but is not abundant. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Sholes Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Gravel was the dominant substrate observed in seventy-one of the ninety-nine pool tail outs measured (72%). Small cobble was the next most frequently observed dominant substrate type and occurred in 20% of the pool tail outs (Graph 8).

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 78%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 86% and 14%, respectively. Graph 9 describes the canopy in Sholes Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 63%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 67%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 12.2% bedrock, 0.0% boulder, 86.6% cobble/gravel, and 1.2% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Grass was the dominant vegetation type observed in 2% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 95.1% of the units surveyed had deciduous trees as the dominant vegetation type, and 2.4% had coniferous trees as the dominant vegetation, including down trees, logs, and root wads (Graph 11).

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

Sholes Creek

No sites were electrofished during the 1998 stream inventory in Sholes Creek. Salmonids were observed from the streambanks by the surveyors throughout the survey reach.

GRAVEL SAMPLING RESULTS

No gravel samples were taken on Sholes Creek.

DISCUSSION

Sholes Creek is a B4 channel type for the entire 21,247 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of B4 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is excellent for low-stage plunge weirs, boulder clusters, bank-placed boulders, single and opposing wing-deflectors, and log cover.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days September 17 and 24, 1998, ranged from 54 to 61° F. Air temperatures ranged from 57 to 73° F. This is a good water temperature range for salmonids. However, 65° F, if sustained, is near the threshold stress level for salmonids. This does not seem to be the case here, and Sholes Creek seems to have temperatures favorable to salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 45% of the total length of this survey, riffles 30%, and pools 22%. The pools are relatively deep, with seventy-three of the 101 (72.3%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended for locations where their installation will not be threatened by high stream energy, or where their installation will not conflict with the modification of the numerous log debris accumulations (LDA's) in the stream. The LDA's in the system are retaining needed gravel. Any necessary modifications to them should be done with the intent of metering the gravel out to downstream reaches that will trap the gravel for future spawning use. Therefore, gravel retention features may need to be developed prior to any LDA modification.

None of the 101 pool tail-outs measured had an embeddedness rating of 1. Ninety-three of the pool tail-outs had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. Two of the pool tail-outs had a rating of 5 or were considered unsuitable for spawning. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a

Sholes Creek

rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. In Sholes Creek, sediment sources should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

The mean shelter rating for pools was low with a rating of 38. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was slightly lower at 18. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The relatively small amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, small woody debris contributes a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats are needed to improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

Ninety-one of the ninety-nine pool tail outs measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 78%. This is a relatively high percentage of canopy. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was moderate at 63% and 67%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Sholes Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable.
- 3) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.
- 4) Active and potential sediment sources related to the road system need to be identified, mapped, and treated according to their potential for sediment yield to the stream and its tributaries.
- 5) Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number

Sholes Creek

of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.

- 6) There are several log debris accumulations present on Sholes Creek that are retaining large quantities of sediment. The modification of these debris accumulations is desirable, but must be done carefully, over time, to avoid excessive sediment loading in downstream reaches.
- 7) Increase the canopy on Sholes Creek by planting willow, alder, redwood, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is effected from upstream. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.
- 8) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

- 0' Begin survey at confluence with Mattole River. Channel type is a B4 for the entire 21,247' of stream surveyed.
- 1375' Dry tributary enters from right bank (RB).
- 1609' Failure on RB; 125'L x 200'H. Contributing fines directly to the stream channel.
- 4233' Tributary enters from left bank (LB); barely flowing.
- 4723' Dry tributary enters from right bank (RB).
- 6110' Large debris accumulation (LDA) in stream channel; 10'L x 30'W x 8'H. Not a barrier to fish migration.

Sholes Creek

- 6322' Failure on RB; 100'L x 50'H.
- 6646' LDA in channel; 10'L x 40'W x 6'H. Not a barrier.
- 7070' Dry tributary enters from LB.
- 7833' Access to stream via foot trail on RB.
- 8154' Bedrock plunge of 5' is choked with large woody debris. Potential barrier due to obstructed jump and sheer bedrock walls on either side.
- 8370' Numerous young-of-the-year (YOY) salmonids observed from the streambanks by surveyors.
- 8763' Dry tributary enters from LB.
- 9225' Failure on RB; 125'L x 200'H. Contributing fines directly to the stream channel.
Contributing fines directly to the stream channel.
- 9264' LDA in channel; 10'L x 50'W x 12'H. Retaining gravel upstream for approximately 40'; causing flow to go subsurface.
- 9709' Several rootwads in channel forming extended mid-channel pools.
- 9748' Flow rapidly diminishing between residual pools.
- 10320' Failure on RB; 40'L x 40'H.
- 12300' Dry tributary enters from RB.
- 13630' LDA in channel; 100'L x 40'W x 5'H. Retaining gravel upstream; causing flow to go subsurface.
- 14195' Dry tributary enters from RB.
- 14971' LDA in channel; 25'L x 15'W x 10'H. Retaining gravel.
- 17488' LDA in channel; 114'L x 50'W x 10'H. Retaining gravel upstream; causing flow to go subsurface.
- 17989' Sholes Creek forks. East fork is dry for 100', and ends in a 15' bedrock plunge.
Survey continues up the west fork.
- 18598' LDA in channel; 30'L x 50'W x 20'H; forming step pools. Not a barrier.
- 18915' LDA in channel; 20'L x 30'W x 10'H. Not a barrier.
- 19055' LDA in channel; 113'L x 30'W x 15'H. Retaining gravel upstream; causing flow to

Sholes Creek

go subsurface.

20622' Dry tributary enters from LB.

21247' End of survey. No landowner permission. Fish observed up to this point.

REFERENCES

Flosi, G., S. Downie, J. Hopelain, M. Bird, R. Coey, and B. Collins. 1998. *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual, 3rd edition*. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

Hopelain, J. 1995. Sampling levels for fish habitat inventory, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento, California.

Valentine, B. 1995. Stream substrate quality for salmonids: guidelines for sampling, processing, and analysis, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Santa Rosa, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPE KEY

HABITAT TYPE	LETTER	NUMBER
RIFFLE		
Low Gradient Riffle	[LGR]	1.1
High Gradient Riffle	[HGR]	1.2
CASCADE		
Cascade	[CAS]	2.1
Bedrock Sheet	[BRS]	2.2
FLATWATER		
Pocket Water	[POW]	3.1
Glide	[GLD]	3.2
Run	[RUN]	3.3
Step Run	[SRN]	3.4
Edgewater	[EDW]	3.5
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS		
Trench Pool	[TRP]	4.1
Mid-Channel Pool	[MCP]	4.2
Channel Confluence Pool	[CCP]	4.3
Step Pool	[STP]	4.4
SCOUR POOLS		
Corner Pool	[CRP]	5.1
Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced	[LSL]	5.2
Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced	[LSR]	5.3
Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	[LSBk]	5.4
Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed	[LSBo]	5.5
Plunge Pool	[PLP]	5.6
BACKWATER POOLS		
Secondary Channel Pool	[SCP]	6.1
Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed	[BPB]	6.2
Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	[BPR]	6.3
Backwater Pool - Log Formed	[BPL]	6.4
Dammed Pool	[DPL]	6.5