STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

Mill Creek

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted from September 8 to September 12, 2005 on Mill Creek. The survey began at the confluence with North Fork Mad River and extended upstream 1.1 miles.

The Mill Creek inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Mill Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Mill Creek is a tributary to North Fork Mad River, a tributary to the Mad River, which drains to the Pacific Ocean. It is located in Humboldt County, California (Map 1). Mill Creek's legal description at the confluence with North Fork Mad River is T06N R02E S32. Its location is 40.8644 degrees north latitude and 123.9703 degrees west longitude, LLID number 1239704408644. Mill Creek is a first order stream and has approximately 1.1 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Korbel 7.5 minute quadrangle. Mill Creek drains a watershed of approximately one square mile. Elevations range from about 120 feet at the mouth of the creek to 240 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed hardwood forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is entirely privately owned and is managed for timber production. Vehicle access exists via Highway 299, take the Blue Lake Exit, to Maple Creek Road, to Korbel then turn right on Riverside Road. The second creek is Mill Creek.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Mill Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi et al, 1998). The California Conservation Corps (CCC) Technical Advisors and Watershed Stewards Project/AmeriCorps (WSP) Members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.





SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach. All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth, depth of pool tail crest (measured in the thalweg), dominant substrate composing the pool tail crest, and embeddedness. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement. All pools except step-pools are fully sampled.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Mill Creek to record measurements and observations. There are eleven components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) near the bottom of the stream survey reach using a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flow meter.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity. Channel characteristics are measured using a clinometer, hand level, hip chain, tape measure, and a stadia rod.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1990). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Mill Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted

width. All measurements are in feet to the nearest tenth. Habitat characteristics are measured using a clinometer, hip chain, and stadia rod.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out areas is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Mill Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed not suitable for spawning due to inappropriate substrate like bedrock, log sills, boulders or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide juvenile salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition for prey. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Mill Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two, respectively. In addition, the dominant substrate composing the pool tail-outs is recorded for each pool.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densiometers as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Mill Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or hardwood trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Mill Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from

the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation (including downed trees, logs, and rootwads) was estimated and recorded.

10. Large Woody Debris Count:

Large woody debris (LWD) is an important component of fish habitat and an element in channel forming processes. In each habitat unit all pieces of LWD partially or entirely below the elevation of bankfull discharge are counted and recorded. The minimum size to be considered is twelve inches in diameter and six feet in length. The LWD count is presented by reach and is expressed as an average per 100 feet.

11. Average Bankfull Width:

Bankfull width can vary greatly in the course of a channel type stream reach. This is especially true in very long reaches. Bankfull width can be a factor in habitat components like canopy density, water temperature, and pool depths. Frequent measurements taken at riffle crests (velocity crossovers) are needed to accurately describe reach widths. At the first appropriate velocity crossover that occurs after the beginning of a new stream survey page (ten habitat units), bankfull width is measured and recorded in the appropriate header block of the page. These widths are presented as an average for the channel type reach.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during the stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. Fish presence was observed from the stream banks in Mill Creek. In addition, 9 sites were electrofished using a Smith-Root Model 12 electrofisher. These sampling techniques are discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Stream Habitat 2.0.19, a Visual Basic data entry program developed by Karen Wilson, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission in conjunction with the California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following ten tables:

- Riffle, Flatwater, and Pool Habitat Types
- Habitat Types and Measured Parameters
- Pool Types
- Maximum Residual Pool Depths by Habitat Types
- Mean Percent Cover by Habitat Type
- Dominant Substrates by Habitat Type
- Mean Percent Vegetative Cover for Entire Stream
- Fish Habitat Inventory Data Summary by Stream Reach (Table 8)
- Mean Percent Dominant Substrate / Dominant Vegetation Type for Entire Stream

• Mean Percent Shelter Cover Types for Entire Stream

Graphics are produced from the tables using Microsoft Excel. Graphics developed for Mill Creek include:

- Riffle, Flatwater, Pool Habitat Types by Percent Occurrence
- Riffle, Flatwater, Pool Habitat Types by Total Length
- Total Habitat Types by Percent Occurrence
- Pool Types by Percent Occurrence
- Maximum Residual Depth in Pools
- Percent Embeddedness
- Mean Percent Cover Types in Pools
- Substrate Composition in Pool Tail-outs
- Mean Percent Canopy
- Dominant Bank Composition by Composition Type
- Dominant Bank Vegetation by Vegetation Type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of September 8 to September 12, 2005 was conducted by I. Mikus, S. McSmith, and J. Freewoman (WSP). The total length of the stream surveyed was 5,828 feet.

Stream flow was estimated to be 0.1 cfs during the survey period.

Mill Creek is an E5 channel type for 5,828 feet of the stream surveyed. E5 channels are low gradient, meandering riffle/pool stream with low width/depth ratio and little deposition; very efficient and stable; high meander width ratio; sand channel.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 52 to 54 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 49 to 59 degrees Fahrenheit.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of occurrence there were 51% flatwater units, 31% pool units, 16% riffle units and 2% culvert units (Graph 1). Based on total length of Level II habitat types there were 83% flatwater units, 10% riffle units, 6% pool units and 1% culvert units (Graph 2).

Nine Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were 23% run units, 23% step run units and 22% mid-channel pool units (Graph 3). Based on percent total length the three following habitat types were; 50% step run units, 29% run units and 9% low gradient riffle units.

A total of 37 pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were the most frequently encountered, at 70%, and comprised 76% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum residual pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. None of the 37 pools had a residual depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 37 pool tail-outs measured, seven had a value of 3 (19%); 28 had a value of 4 (76%); two had a value of 5 (5%); (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the best spawning conditions and a value of 4 the worst. Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed not suitable for spawning due to inappropriate substrate such as bedrock, log sills, boulders, or other considerations.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 5, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 4, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 39 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the main channel pools had a mean shelter rating of 29, scour pools had a mean shelter rating of 63 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Small woody debris is the dominant cover type in Mill Creek. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Mill Creek. Small woody debris is the dominant pool cover type followed by terrestrial vegetation.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Graph 8 depicts the dominant substrate observed in pool tail-outs. A sand substrate type was observed in 54% of pool tail-outs and gravel observed in 46% of pool tail-outs.

The mean percent canopy density for the surveyed length of Mill Creek was 97%. The mean percentages of hardwood and coniferous trees were 90% and 10%, respectively. Three percent of the canopy was open. Graph 9 describes the mean percent canopy in Mill Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 98%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 100%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 100% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Hardwood trees were the dominant vegetation type observed in 78% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 18% of the units surveyed had coniferous trees as the dominant vegetation type, and 4% had brush as the dominant vegetation (Graph 11).

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

Nine sites were electrofished for species composition and distribution in Mill Creek on October 24, 2005. The water temperature taken during the electrofishing period 09:45 to 13:40 was 52 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 60 to 62 degrees Fahrenheit. The sites were sampled by T. Tollefson (DFG), and H. Sgalitzer and S. Wilson (WSP).

The sample reach comprised the first 5,828 feet of the stream. The reach sites yielded five young-of-the-year steelhead/rainbow trout (SH/RT), five age 1+ SH/RT and one age 2+ SH/RT.

The following chart displays the information yielded from these sites:

Date	Site #	Hab. Unit #	Hab. Type	Approx. Dist. from mouth (ft.)	Coho		SH/RT		
					YOY	1+	YOY	1+	2+
Reach 1 Channel Type E5									
10/24/05	1	001-008	1.1, 1.2, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4	0	0	0	0	2	0
10/24/05	2	010-016	3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.2, 5.6	331	0	0	1	1	0
10/24/05	3	018	5.6	633	0	0	0	0	0
10/24/05	4	020	4.2	721	0	0	1	0	1
10/24/05	5	024	4.2	967	0	0	1	0	0
10/24/05	6	026	5.6	1,026	0	0	1	1	0
10/24/05	7	056	3.4	2,512	0	0	0	1	0
10/24/05	8	117	3.3	5,775	0	0	1	0	0
10/24/05	9	UNK	UNK	>5,828	0	0	0	0	0

2005 Mill Creek e-fish observations.

DISCUSSION

Mill Creek is an E5 channel type for the entire 5,828 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of E5 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is as follows: good for bank placed boulders.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days September 8 to September 12, 2005 ranged from 52 to 54 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 49 to 59 degrees Fahrenheit. To make any conclusions, temperatures need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling needs to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 83% of the total length of this survey, riffles 10%, and pools 6%. The pools are shallow, with none of the 37 pools having a maximum residual depth greater than two feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a

primary pool is defined to have a maximum residual depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width.

None of the 37 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 1 or 2. Thirty five of the pool tail-outs had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. Two of the pool tail-outs had a rating of 5, which is considered not suitable for spawning. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. Sediment sources in Mill Creek should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

Twenty of the 37 (54%) pool tail-outs had sand and as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered unsuitable for spawning salmonids.

The mean shelter rating for pools was 39. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was 4. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by small woody debris in Mill Creek. Small woody debris is the dominant cover type in pools followed by terrestrial vegetation. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats would enhance both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 97%. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was 98% and 100%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is sparse, planting endemic species of coniferous and hardwood trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Mill Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- 3) Conduct a fish passage assessment on the culverts located at 256' and 551' to determine if they meet DFG/NMFS fish passage criteria. If they do not meet fish passage criteria replace these crossings to provide unimpeded fish passage.
- 4) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover in the pools is from small woody debris. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover in the pools is desirable.

5) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

Position (ft):	Habitat unit #:	Comments:
0	001	Start survey at the confluence with North Fork Mad River. Channel type is an E5.
256	009	Two culverts side by side at the county road. Both are 3' in diameter. Possible velocity barrier at high flows.
551	016	Two culverts side by side. Both are 3' in diameter. Possible velocity barrier at high flows.
633	018	1.7' high plunge. Third electrofishing site.
1,026	026	2.3' high plunge. Right bank erosion site measures 12' high x 20' long.
1,264	030	Juvenile salmonids observed from the bank.
1,326	032	Road fords creek, lightly used.
2,463	053	Right bank erosion, adding fine sediment.
2,801	061	Left bank seep, not flowing but wet.
3,769	080	1' high plunge.
3,899	087	Left bank tributary. Accessible to fish.
5,775	117	Right bank erosion, blocking fish passage and causing the stream to go subsurface.
5,793	118	Stream forks into two channels, low flow in each fork. End of survey.

REFERENCES

Flosi, G., Downie, S., Hopelain, J., Bird, M., Coey, R., and Collins, B. 1998. *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, 3rd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

McCain, M., D. Fuller, L. Decker and K. Overton. 1990. Stream habitat classification and inventory procedures for northern California. FHC Currents. No.1. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region.

Rosgen, D.L., 1994. A Classification of Natural Rivers. Catena, Vol 22: 169-199, Elsevier Science, B. V. Amsterdam.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPES

RIFFLE			
Low Gradient Riffle	(LGR)	[1.1]	{ 1 }
High Gradient Riffle	(HGR)	[1.2]	{ 2 }
CASCADE			
Cascade	(CAS)	[2.1]	{ 3 }
Bedrock Sheet	(BRS)	[2.2]	{24}
FLATWATER Desket Water		[2]1]	(21)
Pocket Water	(POW)	[3.1]	{21}
Glide	(GLD)	[3.2]	{14}
Run	(RUN)	[3.3]	{15}
Step Run	(SRN)	[3.4]	{16}
Edgewater	(EDW)	[3.5]	{18}
MAIN CHANNEL DOOLS			
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS Trench Pool		FA 11	(0)
	(TRP)	[4.1]	$\{8\}$
Mid-Channel Pool	(MCP)	[4.2]	{17}
Channel Confluence Pool	(CCP)	[4.3]	{19} (22)
Step Pool	(STP)	[4.4]	{23}
SCOUR POOLS			
SCOUR POOLS	(CPP)	[5 1]	<i>1</i> 221
Corner Pool	(CRP)	[5.1]	{22} {10}
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced	(LSL)	[5.2]	{10}
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced	(LSL) (LSR)	[5.2] [5.3]	{10} {11}
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4]	{10} {11} {12}
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5]	<pre>{10} {11} {12} {20}</pre>
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4]	{10} {11} {12}
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5]	<pre>{10} {11} {12} {20}</pre>
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6]	<pre>{10} {11} {12} {20} {9}</pre>
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6]	<pre>{10} {11} {12} {20} {9} {4}</pre>
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [6.1] [6.2]	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \end{cases} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [6.1] [6.2] [6.3]	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR) (BPL)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [6.1] [6.2] [6.3] [6.4]	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} \\ \{7\} \\ \end{cases} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [6.1] [6.2] [6.3]	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR) (BPL)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [6.1] [6.2] [6.3] [6.4]	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} \\ \{7\} \\ \end{cases} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR) (BPL) (DPL)	[5.2] $[5.3]$ $[5.4]$ $[5.5]$ $[5.6]$ $[6.1]$ $[6.2]$ $[6.3]$ $[6.4]$ $[6.5]$	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} \\ \{7\} \\ \end{cases} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool ADDITIONAL UNIT DESIGNATIONS Dry	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR) (BPL) (DPL) (DRY)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [6.1] [6.2] [6.3] [6.4] [6.5] [7.0]	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} \\ \{7\} \\ \end{cases} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool ADDITIONAL UNIT DESIGNATIONS Dry Culvert	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR) (BPL) (DPL) (DPL)	[5.2] $[5.3]$ $[5.4]$ $[5.5]$ $[5.6]$ $[6.1]$ $[6.2]$ $[6.3]$ $[6.3]$ $[6.4]$ $[6.5]$ $[7.0]$ $[8.0]$	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} \\ \{7\} \\ \end{cases} $
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool BACKWATER POOLS Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool ADDITIONAL UNIT DESIGNATIONS Dry	(LSL) (LSR) (LSBk) (LSBo) (PLP) (SCP) (BPB) (BPR) (BPL) (DPL) (DRY)	[5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [6.1] [6.2] [6.3] [6.4] [6.5] [7.0]	$ \{10\} \\ \{11\} \\ \{12\} \\ \{20\} \\ \{9\} \\ \{4\} \\ \{5\} \\ \{6\} \\ \{7\} \\ \end{cases} $