#### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME STREAM INVENTORY REPORT Windsor and Pool Creeks Report Revised April 14, 2006 Report Completed 2000 Assessment Completed 1996

#### INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1996 on Windsor Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the amount and condition of available habitat to fish, and other aquatic species with an emphasis on anadromous salmonids in Windsor Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the salmonid and other aquatic species present and their distribution.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for Chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

#### WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Windsor Creek is a tributary to Mark West Creek, a tributary of the Russian River, located in Sonoma County, California (see Windsor Creek map, page 2). The legal description at the confluence with Mark West Creek is T8N, R9W, S0. Its location is 38 29'25" N. latitude and 122 50'54" W. longitude.

Windsor Creek and its tributaries drain a basin of approximately 26 square miles. Windsor Creek is a third order stream and has approximately 8.2 miles of blue line stream, according to the USGS Sebastopol and Healdsburg 7.5 minute quadrangles. Major tributaries include Pool Creek which was inventoried in 1997 and is included in this report. Summer flow was measured as approximately 3.3 cfs. Elevations range from about 50 feet at the mouth of the creek to 1000 feet in the headwaters. Urban and agricultural areas dominate the watershed, but there are zones of oak-woodland in the upper watershed.

#### METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Windsor Creek follows the methodology presented in the <u>California Salmonid Stream Habitat</u> Restoration Manual (Flosi, et al. 1998). The Sonoma county

Water Agency personnel that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two person team with technical oversight by Bob Coey, Russian River Basin Planner (DFG).

#### HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the <u>California</u> <u>Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual</u>. This form was used in Windsor Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form: flow, channel type, temperatures, habitat type, embeddedness, shelter rating, substrate composition, canopy, and bank composition.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated. Flows were also measured or estimated at major tributary confluences.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1996). This methodology is described in the California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2)entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

3. Temperatures:

Water and air temperatures, and time, are measured by crew members with hand held thermometers and recorded at each tenth unit typed. Temperatures are measured in Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface. Temperatures are also recorded using remote Temperature recorders which log temperature every two hours, 24 hours/day.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered

sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "DRY". Windsor Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. All unit lengths were measured, additionally, the first occurrence of each unit type and a randomly selected 10% subset of all units were completely sampled (length, mean width, mean depth, maximum depth and pool tail crest depth). All measurements were in feet to the nearest tenth.

#### 5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Windsor Creek, embeddedness was visually estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3), 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a rating of "not suitable" (NS)was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

#### 6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All shelter is then classified according to a list of nine shelter types. In Windsor Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the shelter. The shelter rating is calculated for each habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent covered. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300, and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

#### 7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully measured habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were visually estimated using a list of seven size classes.

#### 8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densiometers as described in the California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual, 1994. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Windsor Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated visually into percentages of evergreen or deciduous trees.

#### 9. Bank Composition:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Windsor Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully measured unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

#### BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. Biological inventory is conducted using one or more of three basic methods: 1) stream bank observation, 2) underwater observation, 3) electrofishing. These sampling techniques are discussed in the California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual.

#### DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into <u>Habitat</u>, a dBASE IV data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following tables and appendices:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Shelter by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Vegetative cover and dominant bank composition
- Fish habitat elements by stream reach

Graphics are produced from the tables using Lotus 1,2,3. Graphics developed for Windsor Creek include:

• Level II Habitat Types by % Occurrence and % Total Length

- Level IV Habitat Types by % Occurrence
- Pool Habitat Types by % Occurrence
- Maximum Depth in Pools
- Pool Shelter Types by % Area
- Substrate Composition in Low Gradient Riffles
- Percent Cobble Embeddedness by Reach
- Mean Percent Canopy
- Mean Percent Canopy by Reach
- Percent Bank Composition and Bank Vegetation

#### HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

\* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT \*

The habitat inventory of June 12-25, 1996 was conducted by Sean White and Pam Higgins, Sonoma County Water Agency personnel with data analysis by DFG. The survey began at the confluence with Mark West Creek and extended up Windsor Creek to the Arata Road bridge. The total length of the stream surveyed was 21,672 feet, with an additional 72 feet of side channel. Flow was estimated to be 3.3 cfs during the survey period.

This section of Windsor Creek has two channel types: from the mouth to 20,172 feet a B4 and the upper 1,500 feet an F3.

B4 channel types are moderately entrenched, moderate gradient (2-4%), riffle dominated channels, with infrequently spaced pools, a very stable plan and profile, stable banks and have a predominantly gravel substrate.

F3 channel types are entrenched meandering riffle/pool channels on low gradients (<2%) with a high width/depth ratio and a predominantly cobble substrate.

Water temperatures ranged from  $62^{\circ}F$  to  $66^{\circ}F$  and air temperatures ranged from  $72^{\circ}F$  to  $86^{\circ}F$ .

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of occurrence there were 44% flatwater units, 39% pool units, 9% dry streambed units, and 8% riffle units. Based on total length there were 78% flatwater units, 11% pool units, 9% dry streambed units, and 2% riffle units (Graph 1).

One hundred, ten habitat units were measured and 19% were completely sampled. Thirteen Level IV habitat types were

identified. The data is summarized in Table 2. The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were glides at 30%, runs 14%, root wad scour pools 14% and mid-channel pools 11% (Graph 2). By percent total length, glides made up 75%, dry streambed 9%, mid-channel pools 4%, and root wad scour pools 4%.

Forty-three pools were identified (Table 3). Scour pools were most often encountered at 63%, and comprised 56% of the total length of pools (Graph 3).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. 84% of the 43 pools had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 4). These deeper pools comprised 12% of the total length of stream habitat.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Pool types in general had a mean shelter rating of 49 (Table 1). The main channel pools rated 50, scour pools rated 49, and backwater pools rated 42 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes fish shelter by habitat type. By percent area, the dominant pool shelter types were root masses at 41%, terr. vegetation 22%, small woody debris 19%, and large woody debris 11%. Graph 5 describes the pool shelter in Windsor Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Gravel was the dominant substrate observed in the 1 low gradient riffles measured.

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 40 pool tail-outs measured, none had a value of 1 or 2; five had a value of 3 (13%); and 35 had a value of 4 (88%). On this scale, a value of one is best for fisheries.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 64%. The mean percentages of deciduous and evergreen trees were 83% and 17%, respectively. Graph 8 describes the canopy for the entire survey.

For the entire stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 91% and the mean percent left bank vegetated was 94%. For the habitat units measured, the dominant vegetation types for the stream banks were: 82% deciduous trees, 14% brush, and 5% evergreen trees. The dominant substrate for the stream banks were: 93% silt/clay/sand, 5% boulder, and 2% bedrock (Graph 10).

7

During the summer of 1997, summer water temperatures were measured using remote temperature recorders placed in pools (see Temperature Summary graphs at end of report). Two recorders were placed in Windsor Creek and logged temperatures every two hours from May 20 to September 10, 1997. The first recorder was placed in Reach 1 near the confluence of Windsor Creek and Mark West Creek and the highest temperature recorded was  $82^{\circ}F$  in July and the lowest temperature recorded was  $61^{\circ}F$  in August. The second recorder was placed in Reach 1 near Bridge #2 and the highest temperature recorded was  $74^{\circ}F$  and the lowest temperature recorded was  $59^{\circ}F$ .

#### HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS FOR POOL CREEK

The habitat inventory of August 12 and 14, 1997 was conducted by Joyce Ambrosius and Miles Leigh(Sonoma County Water Agency) with supervision and analysis by CDFG. The survey began at the confluence with Windsor Creek and extended up Pool Creek to the end of landowner access permission. The total length of the stream surveyed was 10872 feet.

Flows were not measured on Pool Creek.

This section of Pool Creek has two channel types: from the mouth to 5672 feet a B6 and the upper 5200 feet an F5.

B6 channel types are moderately entrenched, moderate gradient (2-4%), riffle dominated channels, with infrequently spaced pools, a very stable plan and profile, stable banks and have a predominantly silt/clay substrate.

F5 channel types are entrenched meandering riffle/pool channels on low gradients (<2%) with a high width/depth ratio and a predominantly sand substrate.

Water temperatures ranged from 66  $^{\circ}$  to 68  $^{\circ}$ F. Air temperatures ranged from 78  $^{\circ}$ F to 80  $^{\circ}$ F.

Based on frequency of **occurrence** there were 44% flatwater units, 33% dry streambed units, and 22% pool units. Based on total **length** there were 81% flatwater units, 18% dry streambed units, and 1% pool units.

Nine habitat units were measured and 56% were completely sampled. Four Level IV habitat types were identified. The most frequent habitat types by percent **occurrence** were glides at 33%, dry streambed 33%, root wad scour pools 22% and runs 11%. By percent total **length**, glides made up 80%, dry streambed 18% and root wad scour pools 1%.

Two pools were identified, both of which were scour pools.

Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. 50% of the pools had a depth of two feet or greater. These deeper pools comprised 2% of the total length of stream habitat.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Pool types had the highest shelter rating at 70 and flatwater rated 15.

By percent area, the dominant pool shelter types were root masses at 50%, large woody debris 30%, and small woody debris 20%.

No mechanical gravel sampling was conducted in 1997 surveys.

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 2 pool tail-outs measured, 2 had a value of 4 (100%). On this scale, a value of one is best for fisheries.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 82%. The mean percentages of deciduous and evergreen trees were 76% and 24%, respectively.

For the entire stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 83% and the mean percent left bank vegetated was 84%. For the habitat units measured, the dominant vegetation types for the stream banks were: 79% brush, 7% grass, 7% deciduous trees, and 7% evergreen trees. The dominant substrate for the stream banks were: 100% silt/clay/sand.

#### BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological surveys were not conducted in Windsor or Pool Creek in 1996 or 1997.

No fish were seen during the stream inventory of Pool Creek.

Historical records reflect no hatchery plants, transfers or known fish rescue operations have occurred in the watershed.

DISCUSSION

Windsor Creek has two channel types: B4 and F3. There are 20,172 feet of B4 channel type in Reach 1.

According to the DFG <u>Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual</u>, B4 channel types are excellent for low-stage plunge weirs, boulder clusters, bank placed boulders, single and opposing wing-deflectors and log cover. They are also good for medium-stage plunge weirs. There are 1,500 feet of F3 channel type in Reach 2. F3 channel types are good for bank-placed boulders as well as single and opposing wing-deflectors. They are fair for low-stage weirs, boulder clusters, channel constrictors and log cover.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days June 12-25, 1996 ranged from  $62^{\circ}F$  to  $66^{\circ}F$  and air temperatures ranged from  $72^{\circ}F$  to  $86^{\circ}F$ . These higher temperatures are near the threshold stress level ( $65^{\circ}F$ ) for salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures need to be monitored for a longer period of time through the critical summer months, and more extensive biological sampling conducted.

Pools comprised 11% of the total length of this survey. In third and fourth order streams a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least three feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. In Windsor Creek, the pools are relatively shallow with 33% having a maximum depth of at least 3 feet. These pools comprised 4% of the total length of stream habitat. However, in coastal coho and steelhead streams, it is generally desirable to have primary pools comprise approximately 50% of total habitat length.

The mean shelter rating for pools was 49. However, a pool shelter rating of approximately 80 is desirable. The relatively moderate amount of pool shelter that now exists is being provided primarily by root masses (41%), terr. vegetation (22%), small woody debris (19%), and large woody debris (11%). Additional log and root wad cover in the pool and flatwater habitats would improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

The one low gradient riffle measured had gravel as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

All of the pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of either 3 or 4. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered best for the needs of salmon and steelhead.

The higher the percent of fine sediment, the lower the probability that eggs will survive to hatch. This is due to the reduced quantity of oxygenated water able to percolate through the gravel, or because of fine sediment capping the redd and preventing fry emergence. In Windsor Creek, spawning habitat is degraded due to high levels of sediment.

The mean percent canopy for the survey was only 64%. This is a slightly low percentage of canopy, since 80 percent is generally considered desirable. Elevated water temperatures could be reduced by increasing stream canopy. The large trees required for adequate stream canopy would also eventually provide a long term source of large woody debris needed for instream structure and bank stability.

#### DISCUSSION OF POOL CREEK

Pool Creek has two channel types: B6 (5672 ft.) and F5 (5200 ft.).

There are 5672 feet of B6 channel type in Reach 1. According to the DFG <u>Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual</u>, B6 channel types are excellent for bank-placed boulders and log cover. They are also good for low-stage weirs, single and opposing wingdeflectors and channel constrictors.

There are 5200 feet of F5 channel type in Reach 2. According to the DFG <u>Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual</u>, F5 channel types are good for bank-placed boulders and fair for low-stage weirs, single and opposing wing-deflectors, channel constrictors and log cover.

Many site specific projects can be designed within B and F channel types, especially to increase pool frequency, volume and shelter.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days August 12 and 14, 1997 ranged from 66°F to 68°F. Air temperatures ranged from 78°F to 80°F. The warmer water temperatures were recorded in Reach 2. These temperatures, if sustained, are near the threshold stress level (65°F) for salmonids.

It is unknown if this thermal regime is typical. To make any further conclusions, temperatures need to be monitored for a longer period of time through the critical summer months, and\or more extensive biological sampling conducted.

Pools comprised 2% of the total **length** of this survey. In second order streams a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. In Pool Creek, the pools are relatively deep with 50% having a maximum depth of at least two feet. These pools comprised 2% of the total length of stream habitat. In coastal coho and steelhead streams, it is generally desirable to have primary pools comprise approximately 50% of total habitat length.

The mean shelter rating for pools was 70, a pool shelter rating of approximately 80 is desirable. Pool shelter that now exists is being provided primarily by root masses (50%), large woody debris (30%), and small woody debris (20%). Log and root wad cover in the pool and flatwater habitats would improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

No low gradient riffles were observed, which typically provide the gravel and/or small cobble dominant substrates which are ideal for salmonid spawning habitat.

Both pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of either 3 or 4. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered best for the needs of salmon and steelhead.

The higher the percent of fine sediment, the lower the probability that eggs will survive to hatch. This is due to the reduced quantity of oxygenated water able to percolate through the gravel, or because of fine sediment capping the redd and preventing fry emergence. In Pool Creek Reaches 1 and 2, sediment sources should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures taken.

The mean percent canopy for the survey was 82%. This is excellent, since 80 percent is generally considered desirable. However, the riparian buffer is thin or nearly absent in areas with urban development. Riparian removal within the riparian corridor could lead to less stream canopy and channel incision causing bank erosion and higher water temperatures.

#### GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Windsor Creek and Pool Creek should be managed as anadromous, natural production streams.

Recent storms brought down many large trees and other woody debris into the stream, which increased the number and quality of pools since the drought years. This woody debris, if left undisturbed, will provide fish shelter and rearing habitat, and offset channel incision. Many signs of recent and historic tree and log removal were evident in the active channel during our survey. Efforts to increase flood protection or improve fish access in the short run, have led to long term problems in the system. Landowners should be encouraged not to remove woody debris from the stream, except under extreme buildup and only under guidance by a fishery professional.

#### SPECIFIC FISHERY ENHANCEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Access for migrating salmonids should be assessed at all road crossings. Where needed crossings should be replaced or modified to improve fish passage.
- 2. Increase the canopy on Windsor Creek by planting willow, alder, redwood, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reach above the survey section should be assessed for planting and treated as well, since water temperatures throughout are effected from upstream. In Pool Creek (Reach 2), elevated water temperatures need to be addressed as well, and canopy can be increased where shade levels are inadequate. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.
- 3. Map sources of upslope and in-channel erosion, and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine-sediments entering the stream. Near-stream riparian planting along Windsor Creek should be encouraged to provide bank stability and a buffering against agricultural, grazing and urban run-off. Biotechnical approaches should be utilized in both creeks.
- 4. Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number, depth and quality of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion. Many glide habitats could be converted to pools with the addition of large woody

debris.

- 5. Install structures to recruit spawning gravels. Boulder and vortex weirs would reduce stream velocities, recruit gravel and encourage scour.
- 6. Conduct biological sampling in Pool and Windsor Creeks.

#### PROBLEM SITES AND LANDMARKS - WINDSOR CREEK SURVEY COMMENTS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

HABITAT	STREAM	COMMENTS
UNIT #	LEN (FT	.)
9.00		WOODEN BRIDGE (#1); 42.8w/9.2h/15.8
		NEXT UNIT AFTER BRIDGE #1
22.00	1204	LARGE LOG JAM ACROSS CREEK (X 3' HIGH FROM WATER
39.00	2338	ENDED SURVEY SECTION HERE (100 YDS
		FROM BOUNDARY OF ACCESS O.K. APN
		066-280-03
40.00	2838	MCLAUGHLIN PROPERTY ONLYBOUNDARY TO BOUNDARY
41.00	2938	START @ ANDERSON PROPERTY BOUNDARY
45.00	3043	POOL CREEK (TRIB-L/B)
47.00	9515	FROM X 75' UPSTREAM OF POOL CREEK
		CONFLUENCE TO BRIDGE #2 (WINDSOR
		RD.) HEAVY CHANNEL VEGETATION.
		bRIDGE #2 (48'L/10.3H/53.0W)
		APPROX. 4,000'OF UNIT FROM WINDSOR
		RD. BRIDGE DOWNSTREAM = FLOOD
		CONTROL CHANNEL. *POND TURTLE
48.00	9546	BEGIN @ UPSTREAM OF WINDSOR BRIDGE
		#2
49.00	15646	UPSTREAM OF RAILROAD TRACKS BOULDER
		WEIR ACROSS STREAMBED.
50.00	15740	BEHIND BROOKS CREEK DEVELOPMENT (WINDSOR)
54.00	15929	LOTS OF FISH HERE:
71.00	16992	CANOPY - 90% BAY
74.00	17115	CANOPY - 100% BAY
77.00	17330	GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURE; CEMENT
		DROP TO POOL SURFACE - 5.6 FT.
78.00	17580	CHANNELIZED AREA (250 FT. FROM

BEGIN TO NATALIE RD., DOWNSTREAM OF BROOKS RD.)
NATALIE RD. BRIDGE #3- CEMENT
(24'W/7.5'H); TWIN CULVERT- 53.0 L;
RT. BANK- METAL CULVERT- 3.5' DIA.
WET BUT NO FLOWING WATER
CEMENT BRIDGE-
67.0'L/10.0'H/12.0'W- BROOKS RD. #4
END AT BRIDGE #5 (ARATA RD.)
UNIT FROM DOWNSTREAM BOUNDARY OF
BOLMAN PROPERTY TO UPSTREAM OF
BRIDGE #- SUBSTRATE IS SM. & LG.
COBBLE & GRAVEL. LGR & HGR AREA.

#### PROBLEM SITES AND LANDMARKS - POOL CREEK SURVEY COMMENTS

HABITAT UNIT # 1	STREAM LEN (FT.	
1.00	1980	Start at confluence. Dry to end of Anderson's
7.00	5673	3400' Windsor Rd crossing, 1490'Rd. crossing. Large Trib, lumber in creek.
8.00	10873	Complete unit thru Windsor golf course. Approximate measurements.
9.00	10873	Unit walked on edge, no exact measurements. Creek dry- end of access
OF SURVEY***	ł	

\*\*\*END OF SURVEY\*\*\*\*

February 9, 2001 I:/mondo3/data/stream-projects/windsor.apr





е m р е r а t u r е

F



е m р е r а t

Т

u r е F Drainage: Mark West Creek

WINDSOR CREEK

Survey Dates: 06/12/96 to 06/25/96 Table 1 - SUMMARY OF RIFFLE, FLATWATER, AND POOL HABITAT TYPES

HABITAT	STINU	HABITAT	HABITAT	MEAN	TOTAL	TOTAL PERCENT	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	BSTIMATED	MEAN I	MEAN ESTIMATED	MEAN	MEAN
STINU	FULLY	TYPE	PERCENT	LENGTH	LENGTH	TOTAL	HIDIM	DEPTH	ARBA	TOTAL	VOLUME	TOTAL	RESIDUAL	SHELTER
	MEASURED		OCCURRENCE	(ft.)	(ft.)	HIDNET	(ft.)	(ft.)	(sq.ft.)	AREA	AREA (cu.ft.)	VOLUME	POOL VOL	RATING
										(sq.ft.)		(cu.ft.)	(cu.ft.)	
ہ Wii	5	RIFFLE	- Cl	52	470	0	7.2	0.3	717	6451	214	1926	٥	
nd *	m	FLATWATER	44	355	17025	78	10.0	0.9	3262	156570	5902	283294	0	
ې \$0	14	POOL	39	55	2382	11	16,9	1.8	1036	44550	1934	83182	1443	49
ہ r Cı	0	DRY	9	137	1867	σ	12.2	0.0	2447	24473	0	a	0	
	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL LENGTH					TOTAL AREA	TC	TOTAL VOL.		
UNITS	STINU				(ft.)					(sg. ft.)		(cu. ft.)		
ਸ਼੍ਰੋ Fables Graphs Map Completed 1996 9 4 of 21	21				21744					232044		368402		

Drainage: Mark Webt Creek

N TOTAL N TOTAL B VOLUME VOLUME VOLUME SET 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Ci AC	5 F F F	MRAW MRAW ARBA 201.ft. 905 57 4678 3355 3355 3355 651 1651 1651 1651 1651	ТАХТ И ПО Н Н Н Н Н Н Н Н Н Н Н Н Н	М МЕАN МЕАN МЕАN МЕАN МЕАN МЕАN МЕАN МЕА	мвал мвал н ртн f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f	TOTAL TOTAL * * 1000 000000000000000000000000000000	TOTAL TOTAL ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft.	MEAN MEAN ft. ft. 21 493 493 493 493 459 459 459 459 459 187 187	HABHTTRE HABHTTRE HABHTTRE HABBHTTRE	HABITAT TYPB LGR HGR GLD RUN RUN RUN RCN RCN LGR CCP CCP CCP CCP LSL LSL LSR PLP PLP DPL DPL DPL	UNTIS FULLY MBASURED MBASURED MBASURED MBASURED MBASURED	Windsor Creek Tables Graphs Assessment Completed 19 Page 5 of 21
OTAL VOL		AREA						LENGTH				TetoT.	ISI
OTAL, VOL.		AREA						LENGTH				TOTAL	hs
		24473	2447	0.0	0.0	13	Q,	<u>a</u>	187	σ	DRY	C1	਼ apl
		1713	857	4.0	2.3	24	0	65	6 9	64	DPL	0	∾ Gr
		51	51		0.8	٢-	0	00	œ	1	BPL	H	, s
		2778	926	-	5°.3	21	1	136	4 U	m	PLP	7	۔ ole
		14514	968	1. E	1.7	16	4	882	59	14	LSR	с	<sub>ء:</sub> ۲al
		3292	658	4.1	1.7	15	Ч	210	다 각	Ω	LSL	7	ء k
		1433	358	2.8	1.5	13	Ч	109	27	4	CRP	0	ee
		961	961	3.3	1.8	20	a	49	49	1	CCP	1	⊣ Cre
		19808	1651	다. 다	2.0	18	4	924	27	11	MCP	m	ז ס <b>ר (</b>
		5028	335	1.4	0.4	9	Э	754	50	14	RUN	4	12 SO
		Н	4678	5.0	т.т	12	75	16271	493	0 10	GLD	64	ू nd
		114	57	0.7	0.4	m	0	41	21	ы	HGR	Ч	∾ Wi
	ĺ		905	0.7	е. о	8	01	429	61	ę	LGR	-	
			sg.ft.	τ. Ψ	Ļц.	ft.	ъ¥	έt.	ft.	d٩			#
ESI		EBT.										MEASURED	
	MOTON 1		ARBA	DEPTH	DEPTH	HICIM	LENGTH	LENGTH	BNGTH		TYPE	FULLY	STINU
			MEAN	MUMIXAN	MEAN P	MEAN	TOTAL	TOTAL	MEAN	HABITAT	HABITAT	UNITS	HABITAT
	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	MEAN VOLUME cu.ft. 269 269 149 3229 1731 1182 1731 1182 1731 1182 1731 1182 1731 1182 1731 1667 1182 1667 1667 1667 1667 1667 1667 1678 1667 1678 1678	TOTAL MEAN AREA VOLUME EST, Cu.ft. 6337 269 114 22 154374 8686 5028 149 19808 3229 961 1731 1433 601 3292 1182 14514 1667 3292 1182 14514 1667 3292 1182 14514 1667 3292 1182 1731 1731 2778 2039 51 41 1713 1615 8173 1615 8173 1615	TOTAL MEAN AREA VOLUME EST. eq.ft. cu.ft. 6337 269 114 22 154374 8686 5028 149 1433 601 3292 1182 1433 601 3292 1182 1433 601 3292 1182 1433 601 3292 1182 1431 1667 2778 2039 51 41 1713 1615 24473 0	MRANN TOTAL MRANN ARBA TOTAL MRAN ARBA VOLUMB BST, 905 6337 269 57 114 22 4678 154374 8686 335 5028 149 1651 19808 3229 961 961 1731 658 1433 601 658 3292 1433 961 1731 857 1713 1667 926 2778 2039 51 51 41 857 1713 1647 32447 24473 0	MAXIMUM MEAN TOTAL MEAN DEFTH AREA AREA VOLUME EST. ft. pg.ft. ag.ft. cu.ft. 0.7 905 6337 269 0.7 57 114 22 5.0 4678 154374 8686 1.4 335 5028 149 4.4 1651 19808 3229 4.4 1651 19808 3229 3.3 961 961 1731 2.8 358 1433 601 4.1 658 3292 1182 3.7 968 14514 1667 3.5 926 2778 2039 1.5 51 51 41 4.0 857 1713 1615 0.0 2447 24473 0	MEAN MAXIMUM         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN           DEPTH         DEPTH         AREA         AVEA         MEAN           ft.         ft.         ft.         sq.ft.         sq.ft.         u.ft.           ft.         ft.         sq.ft.         sq.ft.         sg.ft.         cu.ft.           0.3         0.7         905         6337         269           0.4         0.7         505         6337         269           1.1         5.0         4678         154374         8686           0.4         1.4         335         5028         149           2.0         4.4         1651         19808         3229           1.1         3.3         961         1731         1731           1.5         2.8         3258         1433         601           1.7         3.7         961         1731         1667           1.7         3.7         968         14514         1667           2.3         3.5         926         2778         2039           0.8         1.7         51         41         2           2.3         3.5         526         239 <td< td=""><td>MEAN         MEAN         <th< td=""><td>TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN</td><td>MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MENN         MENN</td><td>MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN</td><td>CAL         MEAN         MEAN</td><td>HABITATMABITATMEANTOTALMEANMAXINUUNMEANMAXINUUNMEANMEANMEANTYPEOCCURRENCEENOTHLE</td></th<></td></td<>	MEAN         MEAN <th< td=""><td>TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN</td><td>MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MENN         MENN</td><td>MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN</td><td>CAL         MEAN         MEAN</td><td>HABITATMABITATMEANTOTALMEANMAXINUUNMEANMAXINUUNMEANMEANMEANTYPEOCCURRENCEENOTHLE</td></th<>	TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN	MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MENN	MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         MEAN         TOTAL         MEAN         MEAN	CAL         MEAN         MEAN	HABITATMABITATMEANTOTALMEANMAXINUUNMEANMAXINUUNMEANMEANMEANTYPEOCCURRENCEENOTHLE

Table 3 - SUMMARY OF POOL TYPES

Drainage: Mark West Creek

Survey Dates: 06/12/96 to 06/25/96

LATITUDE: 38°29'25" LONGITUDE: 122°50'54" Confluence Location: QUAD: SEBAS/HEAL LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TENROW

STINU	HABITAT UNITS	HABITAT	HABITAT	MEAN	TOTAL	TOTAL PERCENT	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	TOTAL	MEAN	TOTAL		MEAN
	FULLY	TYPE	PERCENT	LENGTH	LENGTH	TOTAL	MIDIM	DEPTH	AREA	AREA	VOLUME	VOLUME	RESIDUAL	<b>JUAL</b>
	MEASURED	0	OCCURRENCE			LENGTH				EST.		EST.		POOL VOL.
				(ft.)	(ft.)		(ft.)	(ft.)	(sg.ft.)	<pre>(ft.) (ft.) (aq.ft.) (aq.ft.) (cu.ft.) (cu.ft.) (cu.ft.)</pre>	(cu,ft.)	(cu.ft.)	(cu.f	с.)
Wi	-:46	MAIN	ог	7 E	973	41	18.2	2.0	1598	20769	3113	40474	0	2014
nd As	6	SCOUR	63	49	1336	56	16.1	1.7	815	22017	1461	39438	11	1187
sor	4	BACKWATER	4	24	73	m	18.4	1.8	588	1764	1090	3270	σ <b>γ</b>	561
Cre ssm	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL LENGTH				Ľ	TOTAL AREA		TOTAL VOL.		
	STINU				(ft.)					(sg.ft.)		(cu.ft.)		
় k Tables Graphs Ma nt Completed 1996 age 6 of 21					01 00 01 01 01 01					- C - U - T - T - T - T - T		. 58158		

Drainage: Mark West Creek

Survey Dates: 06/12/96 to 06/25/96 Table 4 - SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM POOL DEPTHS BY POOL HABITAT TYPES

MAX DPTH TYPE	HABITAT HABITAT	<1 FOCT	<1 FOOT	1-<2 FT.	1-<2 FOOT 2-<3 FT.	3-<3 FT.	2-<3 FOOT	3-<4 FT.	3-<4 FOOT	>=4 FEET	>=4 FEET
	PERCENT	MAXINUN	PERCENT	MAXIMUM	PERCENT	MUMIXEM	PERCENT	MAXIMUM	PERCENT	MAXIMUM	PERCENT
MEASURED	OCCURRENCE	DEPTH O	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	DEPTH (	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	DEPTH	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	DEPTH	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	DEPTH O	DEPTH OCCURRENCE
12 MCP	58	0	0	0	a	5	ទ	m	LQ C¥	N	L't
1 I CCP	Q	0	a	a	0	a	Q	4	100	0	
t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	۵	a	0	~1	50	64	50	a	Q	Q	
2 TST 2 SC	12	a	0	0	40	64	40	0	C	-1	20
or (	3 2	a	a	01	13	σ	60	4	5.5	a	
re Cre sm	۲.	a	0	0	a	t	99	61	67	a	
1 BPL	0	0	0	r-t	100	0	٥	0	0	a	
k.	5	0	0	o	0	Ч	50	0	0	Ч	50

Drainage: Mark West Creek

Survey Dates: 06/12/96 to 06/25/96

Table 5 - Summary of Shelter by Habitat Type

Į

LATITUDE: 38°29'25" LONGITUDE: 122°50'54" Confluence Location: QUAD: SEBAS/HEAL LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TENREW

15	STINU	UNITS	HABITAT	% TOTAL	% TOTAL % TOTAL	TOTAL	& TOTAL	\$ TOTAL	& TOTAL	& TOTAL	& TOTAL	\$ TOTAL
MEASU	JRED .	MEASURED SHELTER	TYPB	UNDERCUT	GWD	LWD	ROOT	TERR.	AQUATIC	WHITE	BOULDERS	BEDROCK
	E	MEASURED		BANKS			MASS V	MASS VEGETATION	VEGETATION	WATER		LEDGES
		4	LGR	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	G	0
V	0	5	HGR	0	0	a	0	0	0	a	0	0
Vir	66	ся М	GLD	10	10	10	6 6	31	O	0	0	0
וd: ∆כ	15	15	RUN	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0
SO	12	12	MCP	5	24	13	43	15	Т	0	0	0
r C	t,	1	CCP	0	0	0	06	10	0	0	0	0
Cre	<b>1</b> 1	***	CRP	11	0	0	11	23	0	0	54	0
eł	ъ	сı	LSL	0	19	17	23	38	0	0	ũ	0
ς Τ t C	15	15	LSR	σ	•1*	ณ	52	28	m	a	0	0
at	m	m	PLP	4	21	60	28	2.9	O	0	σ	0
ble	H	-+	EPL	10	03	0	0	0	a	0	ũ	0
S	7	64	DPL	0	33	17	62	2.7	٥	0	o	0
Gra	10	ot	DRY	0	o	0	o	0	٥	0	0	0
phs 1990	110	109		ى س	16	11	0 भ	5	-	0	-	0
Map S												
SIOOA	ന സ	43		4	19	11	41	5	1	O	01	a
VILY												

Drainage: Mark West Creek

Survey Dates: 06/12/96 to 06/25/96

Table 6 - SUMMARY OF DOMINANT SUBSTRATES BY HABITAT TYPE

WINDSOR CREEK

SUB	SUBSTRATE	HABITAT TYPE	% TOTAL SILT/CLAY	% TOTAL %	% TOTAL GRAVEL	% TOTAL SM COBBLE	& TOTAL LG COBBLE	% TOTAL BOULDER	% TOTAL BEDROCK
ME	MEASURED		DOMINANT	DOMINANT	DOMINANT	DOMINANT	DOMINANT	TNANINOQ	TNANIMOD
	-	LGR	0	٥	100	0	٥	a	0
	1	HGR	0	0	a	0	100	0	0
	М	GLD	a	33	67	0	a	0	0
	1	RUN	0	100	0	0	a	0	0
	4	MCP	a	75	25	0	a	0	0
	1	CCP	0	100	0	a	a	0	0
	64	CRP	a	100	0	Q	a	0	0
	64	LSL	0	50	50	0	a	0	0
	Ċ	LSR.	0	67	33	a	Ö	0	0
	m	ΡLΡ	0	67	с С	0	a	0	0
	1	BPL	0	0	100	a	a	0	0
	0	DPL	a	0	O	0	O	0	0
råp ed 1	0	DRY	٥	0	100	0	0	0	0

Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Percent	Percent	Percent	Right bank	Left Bank
Canopy	Evergreen	Deciduous	% Cover	% Cover
64.40	16.98	83.02	91.14	

APPENDIX A. Summary of Mean Percent Vegetative Cover for Entire Stream

#### APPENDIX B.

Mean Percentage of Dominant Substrate

Dominant Class of Substrate	Number Units Right Bank	Number Units Left Bank	Percent Total Units
Bedrock	1	0	2.27
Boulder	1	1	4.55
Cobble/Gravel	0	0	0
Silt/clay	20	21	93.18

#### Mean Percentage of Dominant Vegetation

Dominant Class of Vegetation	Number Units Right Bank	Number Units Left Bank	Percent Total Units
Grass	0	0	0
Brush	3	3	13.64
Deciduous Trees	18	18	81.82
Evergreen Trees	1	1	4.55
No Vegetation	0	0	0

STREAM NAME: WINDSOR CREEK SAMPLE DATES: 06/12/96 to 06/25/96 SURVEY LENGTH: MAIN CHANNEL: 21672 ft. SIDE CHANNEL: 72 ft. LOCATION OF STREAM MOUTH: USGS Quad Map: SEBAS/HEAL Latitude: 38°29'25" Legal Description: T8NR9W Longitude: 122°50'54"

#### SUMMARY OF FISH HABITAT ELEMENTS BY STREAM REACH

STREAM REACH 1 (Units 1-107) Channel Type: B4 Mean Canopy Density: 64% Main Channel Length: 20172 ft.Evergreen Component: 17%Side Channel Length: 72 ft.Deciduous Component: 83% Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width: 9.6 ft. Pools by Stream Length: 12% Pool Mean Depth: 1.8 ft. Pools >=2 ft. Deep: 84% Base Flow: 3.3 cfs Pools >=3 ft. Deep: 33% Base Flow: 3.3 CISPools >=3 It. Deep: 33%Water: 62-66°F Air: 72-86°FMean Pool Shelter Rtn: 49Dom. Bank Veg.: Deciduous TreesDom. Shelter: Root massesBank Vegetative Cover: 93%Occurrence of LOD: 23% Dom. Bank Substrate: Silt/Clay/Sand Dry Channel: 367 ft. Embeddness Value: 1. 0% 2. 0% 3. 13% 4. 88% 5. 0% STREAM REACH 2 (Units 108-108) Channel Type: F3 Mean Canopy Density: 0% Main Channel Length: 1500 ft.Evergreen Component: 0%Side Channel Length: 0 ft.Deciduous Component: 0% Riffle/Flatwater Mean Width: 0.0 ft. Pools by Stream Length: 0% Pool Mean Depth: 0.0 ft.

Embeddness Value: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

> Windsor Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 11 of 21

# Windsor Creek

### Level II Habitat Types







Windsor Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 12 of 21











### Percent Cobble Embeddedness by Reach



Value 1 = <25% Value 2 = 25-50% Value 3 = 51-75% Value 4 = >76%

Windsor Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 18 of 21

		-
Cro	nh	7
Gla	pri	1



## WINDSOR CREEK Percent Canopy By Reach



Graph 9

Windsor Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 20 of 21

# Windsor Creek

### Percent Bank Composition







Windsor Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 21 of 21

February 9, 2001 I:/mondo3/data/stream-projects/windsor.apr



Windsor Creek

Drainage: Windsor Creek, Mark West Creek, Russian River

Survey Dates: 08/12/97 to 08/14/97 Table 1 - SUMMARY OF RIFFLE, FLATWATER, AND POOL HABITAT TYPES Confluence Location: QUAD: Healdsburg LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TOBNR09WS23 LATITUDE: 38°31'20" LONGITUDE: 122°49'50"

HABITAT	UNITS	HABITAT	HABITAT	MEAN	TOTAL	TOTAL PERCENT	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	ESTIMATED		MEAN ESTIMATED	MEAN	MEAN
UNITS	FULLY	TYPE	PERCENT	LENGTH	LENGTH	TOTAL	WIDTH	DEPTH	AREA	TOTAL	VOLUME	TOTAL	RESIDUAL	SHELTER
	MEASURED		OCCURRENCE	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.) LENGTH	(ft.)	(ft.)	(sq.ft.)	AREA	AREA (cu.ft.)	VOLUME	POOL VOL	RATING
										(sq.ft.)		(cu.ft.)	(cu.ft.) (cu.ft.)	
4	4	FLATWATER	44	2192	8769	81	18.5	1.5	62434	249737	****	645597	0	15
2	-	POOL	22	55	110	F	18.7	1.1	1050	2101	1191	2382	2 419	20
м а. С	0	DRY	33	665	1994	18	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL LENGTH					TOTAL AREA		TOTAL VOL.		
NITS	UNITS				(ft.)					(sq. ft.)		(cu. ft.)		
▲ Tables Graphs Map nt Completed 1996 age 2 of 9	ſ				10872					251838		64.7979		

Drainage: Windsor Creek, Mark West Creek, Russian River

Table 2 - SUMMARY OF WABITAT TYPES AND MEASURED PARAMETERS

Survey Dates: 08/12/97 to 08/14/97

Confluence Location: QUAD: Healdsburg LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TOBNR09WS23 LATITUDE: 38°31'20" LONGITUDE: 122°49'50"

HABITAT	UNITS	FABITAT	HABITAT	MEAN	TOTAL	TOTAL	MEAN	MEAN M	MEAN MAXIMUM	MEAN	TOTAL	MEAN	TOTAL	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
UNITS	FULLY	TYPE	OCCURRENCE 1	LENGTH	LENGTH	LENGTH	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA		AREA VOLUME	VOLUME	VOLUME RESIDUAL SHELTER	SHELTER	CANOPY
	MEASURED										EST.		EST.	EST. POOL VOL RATING	RATING	
*			*	ft.	ft.	×	ft.	Ħ.	ft.		sq.ft.	sq.ft. sq.ft. cu.ft.	cu.ft.	cu.ft.		24
3	3	(CLD	33	2907	8720	80	ន	1.9	4.0	83167	83167 249500	****	645550	0	20	22
F	-	RUN	1	65	49	0	S	0.2	0.4	237	237	47	47	0	0	60
2	-	LSR	22	55	110	-	61	1.1	2.7	1050	2101	1191	2382	419	20	85
M	0	DRY	33	665	1994	18	0	0°0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	93
OTAL	TOTAL				TENGTH						AREA		TOTAL VOL.			1
NLTS	UNITS				(ft.)						(sq.ft)		(cu.ft)			
▲ Tables Graphs Ma	м				10872						251837		67.979			

Drainage: Windsor Creek, Mark West Creek, Russian River

Table 3 = SUMMARY OF POOL TYPES

Survey Dates: 08/12/97 to 08/14/97

Confluence Location: QUAD: Mealdsburg LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TO8NR09WS23 LATITUDE: 38°31'20" LONGITUDE: 122°49'50"

۱

MEAN SHELTER RATING	20	
MEAN RESIDUAL POOL VOL. (cu.ft.)	419	
TOTAL VOLUME EST. (cu.ft.)	2382	101AL VOL. (cu.ft.) 2382
MEAN VOLUME (cu.ft.)	1191	
TOTAL AREA EST. (sq.ft.)	2101	TOTAL AREA (sq.ft.) 2101
MEAN MEAN MEAN TOTAL MEAN TOTAL MEAN WIDTH DEPTH AREA AREA VOLUME RESIDUAL EST. EST. POOL VOL (ft.) (sq.ft.) (sq.ft.) (sq.ft.) (sq.ft.) (sq.ft.)	1050	22
MEAN DEPTH (ft.)	1.1	
MEAN WIDTH (ft.)	18.7	
TOTAL PERCENT ENGTH TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)	100	
TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)	110	TOTAL LENGTH (ft.) 110
MEAN LENGTH (ft.)	55	101A
HABITAT PERCENT OCCURRENCE	100	
HABITAT TYPE	SCOUR	
UNITS FULLY MEASURED	-	TOTAL
HABITAT UNITS	∼ Pc A	ol Creek Tables Graphs Map ssessment Completed 1996 Page 4 of 9

Pool Creek						a	rainage: W	lindsor Cree	k, Mark W	Drainage: Windsor Creek, Mark West Creek, Russian River	ussian Riv	1
able 4 -	SUMMARY OF	Table 4 - SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM POOL	OOL DEPTHS	. DEPTHS BY POOL HABITAT TYPES	BITAT TYPE		urvey Date	Survey Dates: 08/12/97 to 08/14/97	<sup>7</sup> to 08/14,	197		
onfluence	Location	QUAD: Hea	ldsburg	Confluence Location: QUAD: Healdsburg LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TO8NRO9WS23 LATITUDE: 38°31'20" LONGITUDE: 122°49'50"	IPTION: 10	IBNR094S23	LATITUDE	38°31+20"	LONGITU	DE: 122°49'5	0	
UNITS	HABITAT	HABITAT	<1 FOOT	<1 FOOT <1 FOOT 1-<2 FT, 1-<2 FOOT 2-<3 FT, 2-<3 FOOT 3-<4 FT, 3-<4 FOOT >=4 FEET MAXIMUM DEDICUT MAXIMUM DEDICUT MAXIMUM DEDICUT MAXIMUM DEDICUT MAXIMUM	1-<2 FT. 1	1-<2 FOOT	-<2 FOOT 2-<3 FT.	2-<3 FOOT	3-<4 FT.	3-<4 F00T	>=4 FEET	>=4 FEET DEDCENT
MEASURED		DCCURRENCE	DEPTH	20	DEPTH		-	ŭ	DEPTH	5	DEPTH	20
2	2 LSR	100	0	0	-	50	-	50	0	0	0	0
Pool Cr Asses												

Pool Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 5 of 9

Drainage: windsor Creek, Mark West Creek, Russian River

Table 5 - Summary of Shelter by Habitat Type

Survey Dates: 08/12/97 to 08/14/97

Confluence Location: QUAD: Healdsburg LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TOBNR09WS23 LATITUDE: 38°31'20" LONGITUDE: 122°49'50"

k Total k Total Boulders Bedrock Ledges		0 0	0 0	0	0
% TOTAL WHITE WATER	0	00	0		5
% TOTAL AQUATIC VEGETATION	0	0 0	0	o	0
OTAL % TOTAL ROOT TERR. MASS VEGETATION	41	0 0	0	41	0
X TOTAL X TOTAL X TOTAL SWD LWD ROOT MASS	0	50 0	0	0	20
% TOTAL	6	30 0	0	σ	30
X TOTAL SWD	50	20 0	0	20	20
X TOTAL UNDERCUT BANKS	0	0 0	0	0	0
HABITAT TYPE	GLD	RUN	DRY		
UNITS SHELTER MEASURED	£		2	~	-
UNITS UNITS MEASURED SHELTER MEASURED	Μ	~~~~ F	∽ Pool Ass		ables Graphs Ma t Completed 1990 ge 6 of 9

Table 6 - SUMMARY OF DOMINANT SUBSTRATES BY HABITAT TYPE

Drainage: Windsor Creek, Mark West Creek, Russian River

Survey Dates: 08/12/97 to 08/14/97

LONGI TUDE: 122°49'50" LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TOBNR09WS23 LATITUDE: 38°31'20" Confluence Location: QUAD: Healdsburg

	67 0 0 100 0 50 0	TOTAL HABITAT UNITS	UNITS SUBSTRATE MEASURED	НАВІТАТ ТҮРЕ	% TOTAL SILT/CLAY DOMINANT	% TOTAL SAND DOMINANT	<pre>% TOTAL fsravel dominant</pre>	% TOTAL SM COBBLE DOMINANT	% TOTAL LG COBBLE DOMINANT	X TOTAL BOULDER DOMINANT	% TOTAL BEDROCK DOMINANT
0 000 2 Drv 100 2 Drv 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		3	M	GLD	67	0	33	0	0	0	0
000 2 DRY 50 0	2 1000 2 100 20 100	Ŧ	-	RUN	a	0	100	0	0	0	0
0 2 DRY 50 0	o S bi Cree	Рос Аз	-	LSR	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cree	ර් C sse	2	DRY	50	0	50	0	0	0	0

່ "Pີ່ool Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 7 of 9

Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Percent	Percent	Percent	Right bank	Left Bank
Canopy	Evergreen	Deciduous	% Cover	% Cover
82.14	24.29	75.71	82.86	84.29

APPENDIX A. Summary of Mean Percent Vegetative Cover for Entire Stream

#### APPENDIX B.

Mean Percentage of Dominant Substrate

Dominant Class of Substrate	Number Units Right Bank	Number Units Left Bank	Percent Total Units
Bedrock	0	0	0
Boulder	0	0	0
Cobble/Gravel	0	0	0
Silt/clay	7	7	100

#### Mean Percentage of Dominant Vegetation

Dominant Class of Vegetation	Number Units Right Bank	Number Units Left Bank	Percent Total Units
Grass	1	0	7.14
Brush	б	5	78.57
Deciduous Trees	0	1	7.14
Evergreen Trees	0	1	7.14
No Vegetation	0	0	0

Pool Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 8 of 9 STREAM NAME: Pool Creek SAMPLE DATES: 08/12/97 to 08/14/97 SURVEY LENGTH: MAIN CHANNEL: 10872 ft. LOCATION OF STREAM MOUTH: USGS Quad Map: Healdsburg Legal Description: T08NR09WS23 Longitude: 122°49'50"

#### SUMMARY OF FISH HABITAT ELEMENTS BY STREAM REACH

Base Flow: 0.0 cfsPools >=2 It. Deep: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*Water: 68-68°F Air: 80-80°FPools >=3 ft. Deep: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*Dom. Bank Veg.: BrushDom. Shelter Rtn: 0Bank Vegetative Cover: 40%Dom. Shelter: Small Woody DebrisDom. Bank Substrate: Silt/Clay/SandDry Channel: 0 ft.Embeddness Value: 1.2.3.4.

Pool Creek Tables Graphs Map Assessment Completed 1996 Page 9 of 9