State of California WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD Minutes, Meeting of February 2, 1960

$\underline{\mathtt{C}} \ \underline{\mathtt{O}} \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{S}}$

Item No	•	Page	No.
1.	Roll Call	1 -	. 2
2.	Introduction of Members and Advisory Committee		2
3.	Approval of Minutes, September 23, 1959		2
4.	Status of Funds	2 -	. 3
5.	Santa Margarita Lake Public Fishing Area, Project No. 117, San Luis Obispo County		14
6.	Coastal Angling Access Program, Project No. 1011, Aliso Creek, Orange County	4 -	. 6
7.	Election of Chairman		6
8.	Casitas Reservoir Warmwater Fishing Area, Project No. 137, Ventura County	7 -	- 10
9•	Isabella Lake Public Fishing Area, Project No. 124, Kern County	11 -	- 12
10.	Hunting Access Program, Project No. 1017, Kings Teak Hunting Access Road, Humboldt County	12 -	- 14
11.	Coastal Lagoon Development Project, Project No. 1015, Disposition of Equipment Purchased for Project	14 -	- 15
12.	Lower Butte Creek Waterfowl Management Area, Project No. 548, Butte County	15 -	. 17
13.	Salton Sea Fisheries Project No. 108, Imperial and Riverside Counties	18 -	. 19
14.	Lake Mendocino Public Fishing Area, Project No. 138, Mendocino County	19 -	- 21
15.	Resolutions	22 -	- 23

Item No.		Page No.
16.	Inland Angling Access Program, Project No. 1013, San Joaquin River Fishing Access Area (Lost Lake), Fresno County	23 - 24
17.	Pardee Reservoir Public Fishing Area, Project No. 131, Amador and Calaveras Counties	24
18.	San Joaquin County	24
19.	Hunting Access Program	24 - 25
Status	s of Allocations and Funds Available thru June 50, 1960	26

State of California WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD Minutes, Meeting of February 2, 1960

Pursuant to the call of the Acting Chairman, the Wildlife Conservation Board met in Room 4061, 722 Capitol Avenue, Sacramento, California, on February 2, 1960. meeting was called to order by Acting Chairman William P. Elser, at 1:45 p.m.

1. Roll Call

PRESENT: William P. Elser

W. T. Shannon John E. Carr

Senator Charles Brown Senator J. William Beard Assemblywoman Pauline L. Davis Assemblyman Frank P. Belotti Assemblyman Lloyd W. Lowrey

R. J. Nesbit C. M. Hart P. A. Douglas June Davis Alpha K. Phelps

Senator Ed C. Johnson

Chairman Member of the Board Member of the Board

Joint Interim Committee Joint Interim Committee Joint Interim Committee Joint Interim Committee Joint Interim Committee

Coordinator Assistant Coordinator Field Agent Secretary Accounting Technician

ABSENT:

Joint Interim Committee

United Press International

OTHERS PRESENT:

Devan L. Shumway Wilson K. Lythgoe Kenneth Sampson Senator J. A. Murdy, Jr. Peter Omicini Paul W. Poulos James M. Campbell

Senator Waverly Jack Slattery Mendocino County Senator A. A. Erhart Ned A. Rogoway F. C. Kimball George Purvis Senator James J. McBride Leland G. Bennett Assemblyman Rex Cunningham

Sacramento Bee Orange County Harbor District Orange County Eureka, California Supervisor, Mendocino County Planning Consultant, Mendocino County Project, Menlo Park, California San Luis Obispo County Planning Department, San Luis Obispo County Supervisor, San Luis Obispo County Ventura River Municipal Water District Ventura County

Ventura River Municipal Water District

Ventura County

Glenn Todd Lance D. Hopper Cecil Phipps Mr. Dixson George D. Difani C. B. Bull Lucile Worth Harry Grafe Bill Harp Victor Blanks Wallace C. Dry Murray Smith, Jr. Alex Calhoun R. D. Calkins Harry Anderson Robert D. Montgomery H. M. Russo Ben Glading Henry A. Hjersman

Ventura River Municipal Water District Public Works Department, Kern County Recreation Commission, Fresno County Asst. County Admin. Off., Fresno County California Wildlife Federation Supervisor, San Joaquin County San Joaquin County Administrative Asst. to Senator Ed Johnson Fish and Game Commission Department of Fish and Game Department of Water Resources

2. Introduction of Members and Advisory Committee

Mr. R. J. Nesbit, Coordinator, introduced the Wildlife Conservation Board and Legislative Advisory Committee members.

3. Approval of Minutes, September 23, 1959

Mr. Nesbit recommended the minutes of the September 23, 1959 meeting be approved.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLY-MAN LOWREY, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE MINUTES OF THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 23, 1959, BE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

4. Status of Funds

The amount allocated to specific projects as of the close of the meeting on September 23, 1959, aggregated \$14,842,738.57.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board and addition addition and addition and addition and addition and addition addition and addition and addition addition addition and addition addition addition addition and addition addition addition addition addition addition addition

a. Fish Hatchery and Stocking Projects	2,529,590.01
c. Flow Maintenance and Stream Improvement Projects d. Screen and Ladder Projects e. State Game Farms f. Other Upland Game Projects g. Waterfowl Management Projects h. General Projects l. Coastal Angling Access Projects 2. Inland Angling Access Projects 302 2. Inland Angling Access Projects Total Allocated to Specific Projects	582,890.87 283,579.31 105,644.49 16,530.84 5,597,548.78 1,022,701.16 1,170.07 1,423.61
	.ansIq tmamqoleveb
Project Evaluation, Property Acquisition and	wil didaen .The io
Engineering Studies	\$14,842,738.57
In addition to the specific allocations above, the	(Sen
following reserves have been established:	
 Colorado River Recreational Development	30,000.00
Operating Costs: FY 47/48 thru 58/59 - Actual	,903.54 ,077.00
Recapitulation: TEMBER TO THE DITTERS OF CHILDREN HE CITY	DO HOTHM
Allocations for Projects	622,980.54
Total Funds Appropriated	750,000.00 365,301.14 30,265.10 230.00 ,145,796.24 ,618,938.41

(At this time, Mr. Nesbit requested permission from the Chairman to consider several Agenda items out of order to permit several persons to appear who had later appointments)

5. Santa Margarita Lake Public Fishing Area, Project No. 117 Request for San Luis Obispo County

Senator A. A. Erhart appeared before the Board to request consideration of a study to be made by the Board Staff of a plan for further development of Santa Margarita Lake in San Luis Obispo County. He introduced Mr. Ned Rogoway, Planning Director, San Luis Obispo County, who gave a brief review of the Santa Margarita Lake Public Fishing Project. He requested the cooperation of the Wildlife Conservation Board Staff to work on additional development plans. Mr. Rogoway explained that it was their intention to return to the Board for further allocation. Assemblyman Lowrey inquired of Mr. Nesbit if we still have a backlog of projects. Mr. Nesbit explained that all projects which have been fully engineered have now been presented to the Board.

(Senator Charles Brown entered at this time)

Senator Erhart summarized by saying, "We are asking that you give permission to your Coordinator, Mr. Nesbit, to work with the County of San Luis Obispo in developing this Master Plan and at some future date, we will again appear before the Board."

> IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILD-LIFE CONSERVATION BOARD COORDINATOR BE INSTRUCTED TO WORK WITH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY ON PLANS FOR SANTA MARGARITA LAKE, WITH SPECIAL INSTRUCTION THAT CARE BE EMPLOYED IN HIS STUDY THAT SUCH PLANS INVOLVE ONLY AREAS WHICH COULD BE APPLIED TO FISHING AND OR HUNTING.

> > Special Project Allocations

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Coastal Angling Access Program, Project No. 1011 \$ 37,000 6. Aliso Creek, Orange County

Mr. Nesbit stated that the Board of Supervisors of Orange County requested an access project to beach areas in the vicinity of Aliso Creek in South Laguna. This area is one of those recommended in our Coastal Angling Access Survey of 1958. The County of Orange owns three acres of beach lands at Aliso Creek with approximately 1,100 feet of ocean frontage. There is no access to this and adjoining public beach lands below mean high tide except by trespassing over private property.

The site is in a section of Southern California coastline where there is excellent fishing but very limited public access. This is partly due to private property controlling access, the extremely high cost of beach lands, and to the presence of precipitous cliffs behind much of the beach area.

In addition to the excellent surf and offshore angling in this area, there is skin diving for lobsters and abalone.

"We have looked over this quite carefully", Mr. Nesbit explained, "and feel it is justified from the standpoint of fishing but I would be remiss if I did not tell you there will be other recreational uses as well."

The overall project would consist of a parking area for approximately 180 cars on the inland side of the Pacific Coast Highway (U. S. 101A), a walkway to the beach, fencing, and sanitary facilities. An existing highway underpass for pedestrians would be utilized.

Orange County will acquire the necessary property and will operate and maintain the project, if authorized. Plans and cost estimates have been made by the Orange County Planning Commission. These have been reviewed by Staff and the Department of Fish and Game Engineering Section. Cost estimates are as follows:

Parking lot and access to ocean

Grading and Paving \$ 19,350	
Drainage 1,300	
Bumper Guards in the Parking Area 1,500	
Safety Fencing Necessary along Walkway 560	
THE TRANSPORT SOUTH OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	\$ 22,710
Restrooms CMA HELT TO THAMP AND DEL MAISONPUA CHA THUOD	
Building Including Fish Cleaning Facility 10,000	
Sewer and water connection 900	¢ 10 000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 10,900
Contingencies, Including Signs, 10%	3,360
	Ψ 30,510

It is proposed the work be done under Section 1350 of the Code and that any unused funds be returned to the Wildlife Restoration Fund. It was recommended by Mr. Nesbit that this project be approved and that \$37,000 be allocated to the Department of Fish and Game from the Wildlife Restoration Fund for construction and that the Department be authorized to proceed with the project. It is further recommended that this authorization be contingent upon the acquisition by the County of the parking area on the inland side of U. S. 101A.

Mr. Shannon stated that Department of Fish and Game recommends favorably on this project. "It is in an area where it is difficult to get beach frontage", he said, "we feel this is a very good project."

(Mr. Carr entered at this time)

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND
THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD ALLOCATE \$37,000 FROM
THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH
AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ALISO CREEK ACCESS,
COASTAL ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1011, SUCH
DEVELOPMENT SUBJECT TO ACQUISITION OF LAND BY THE COUNTY,
AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ACQUIRE
SUCH INTERESTS IN LAND FROM THE COUNTY, WITHOUT COST TO
THE STATE, AS MAY BE NECESSARY THEREFOR, AND TO CONSTRUCT
FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS
SUBMITTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY ALLOCATE \$37,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ALISO CREEK ACCESS, COASTAL ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1011, SUCH DEVELOPMENT SUBJECT TO ACQUISITION OF LAND BY THE COUNTY, AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ACQUIRE SUCH INTERESTS IN LAND FROM THE COUNTY, WITHOUT COST TO THE STATE, AS MAY BE NECESSARY THEREFOR, AND TO CONSTRUCT FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS SUBMITTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

7. Election of Chairman and I make an apply snow and beautiful at the

MR. SHANNON MOVED THAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH PAST PROCEDURE AND CUSTOM THE PRESIDENT OF THE FISH AND GAME COMMISSION, MR. WILLIAM P. ELSER, BE APPOINTED CHAIRMAN OF THE WILD-LIFE CONSERVATION BOARD. SECONDED BY MR. CARR.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board Meeting and Meeting February 2, 1960

8. Casitas Reservoir Warmwater Fishing Area, Project No. 137
Ventura County \$ 118,000

Mr. Nesbit presented this project and stated that it is proposed by the Ventura River Municipal Water District with the support of sportsmen and civic organizations. It was previewed at the September 23, 1959 meeting. At the invitation of the District, the site and plans for the proposed project were inspected by several Board members and the Coordinator on November 19, 1959.

Casitas Reservoir is located on Coyote Creek, a tributary of Ventura River, about six miles north of the City of Ventura. It is the principal feature of the Ventura River Project of the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation. The project will furnish supplemental domestic, industrial, and irrigation water to local areas. Ventura River Municipal Water District is the administrative agency for the reservoir site through lease from the Bureau of Reclamation.

The reservoir site is owned by the Bureau of Reclamation and totals 6,745 acres. The maximum surface area of the reservoir will be 2,760 acres which will leave 3,985 acres of shoreline and dry land available for recreation developments. The reservoir has a maximum capacity of 250,000 acre feet. The project safe yield of 28,000 acre feet per year reportedly should not fluctuate the water level of the reservoir by more than approximately 15 feet.

It is expected to provide an excellent warmwater fishery. Impoundment of water and stocking of fish began in 1959. The Department of Fish and Game stocked walleye pike, rainbow trout, largemouth bass, smallmouth bass, channel catfish, threadfin shad and white crappie. Sampling of the fish population in the fall of 1959 indicated that the trout stocking was unsuccessful, but showed excellent reproduction of largemouth bass and white crappie and good growth of channel catfish. Additional stocking of warmwater species is planned and the reservoir is expected to be open to public fishing in the summer of 1961.

Because of its proximity to heavy population areas of Southern California, where fishing waters are not plentiful, Casitas has the potential of becoming one of the most heavily used reservoirs for fishing in California. It is approximately 50 miles from the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area which has a population in excess of 6,000,000. It is considered to be within the use area of an estimated 9,000,000 Southern Californians.

A public use plan for Casitas Reservoir has been prepared by the National Park Service and adopted by the District. Principal uses anticipated are fishing, boating, picnicking and camping. Swimming, water skiing or other activities involving bodily contact with water will not be allowed in the reservoir.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board Meeting Meetin

The public use plan estimates usage of the area at 250,000 visitors per year initially with an increase to 750,000 by 1975. These estimates are based primarily on public usage of Lake Cachuma, which is a similar lake in Santa Barbara County about twice as far from the Los Angeles Metropolitan area.

The District expects to invest \$667,000 in local funds for recreational development at Casitas. This will be mainly for administrative facilities and equipment, landscaping, and camping and picnic areas and development related thereto. The Bureau of Reclamation is investing \$100,000 for basic facilities. This includes roads, bridges, fencing and water mains.

The Wildlife Conservation Board is being asked to expend \$233,350 for development of access to the reservoir for fishing purposes. This will include an access road, a parking area, boat launching ramps, docks, sanitary facilities and signs.

The request calls for an initial expenditure of \$118,000 to provide fishing access to lower water levels of the reservoir. An additional allocation, now estimated at \$115,350 would be required by 1962 or somewhat later, depending upon the time required for the reservoir to fill. These plans were prepared with the assistance of Mr. Phil Douglas, of the Board Staff, and were reviewed by the Engineering Section of the Department of Fish and Game.

Cost estimates are as follows: were the large as abbrevia as before a

Access road and parking \$ Boat launching ramp (upper and lower sections) Boat launching ramp docks (movable) Floating dock	49,000
Sanitary facilities (60 chemical toilets) Signs, title clearance, etc.	7,500

1962 or Later of Thinkson est to see 1962 or Later

Parking area	\$ 87,000
Boat launching ramp (concrete)	28,350
5,000,000. It is considered to be within the	\$ 115,350

Development is proposed in two stages because it probably will take several years for the reservoir to fill. With normal runoff and anticipated withdrawals, in the spring of 1961 the lake level will reach the lower section (elevation 465) of the graveled ramp proposed in the initial development. This graveled ramp would consist of two sections to permit better utilization of the existing terrain. The upper portions of this ramp would serve as an interim parking area for cars and boat trailers until the second stage of development is completed.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board Meeting, William Board Meeti

About 1964 the lake would reach the lower level of the proposed concrete ramp, with normal runoff and anticipated withdrawals. When the lake level nears this stage, the proposed parking area and concrete ramp would be built. Four additional normal years would put the reservoir at full stage (elevation 567) in 1968.

Under these conditions, the graveled ramp would be utilized during the 1961 - 1964 period and in the future whenever drought conditions and increased demand for water lower the level of the lake.

In 1959 the schedule of fees was as follows:

One day permit - any boat	\$ 1.00
Annual license	
Boats 12'-15' in length	5.00
Boats over 15' in length	7.50

No charges will be made for facilities provided by the State.

In their application, the Ventura River Municipal Water District indicated willingness to operate and maintain the angling access facilities, if authorized and constructed by the Wildlife Conservation Board.

Mr. Nesbit recommended that \$118,000 be allocated to the Department of Fish and Game from the Wildlife Restoration Fund for the purpose of developing these public fishing access facilities at this reservoir; that construction be done under Section 1350 of the Fish and Game Code; and that any unused balance be returned to the Wildlife Restoration Fund.

Mr. Shannon stated, "All available evidence indicates that Casitas Reservoir will provide an excellent warmwater fishery. The location guarantees heavy use. The Department recommends favorably on this project."

Assemblyman Lowrey inquired if he were correct in believing the only thing being considered now was \$118,000. Mr. Nesbit replied that this was correct.

Assemblywoman Davis stated that she had the opportunity of viewing this possible development and said she felt it certainly warrants favorable consideration. She further stated, "I think all the things pointed out to us when we took this trip are very valuable to the entire State. I do want to comment that perhaps this is the time to recognize the need for some General Fund money for the programs of this Board. They are doing a wonderful job and I think we should take this into consideration or give it some thought at this time."

Senator Beard added that he would like to support the suggestion of Assemblywoman Davis. He further stated that he had viewed this proposed

development, and that he was impressed with the interest shown by the District and the Board of Supervisors. "They are going to make this a real public use project", he said, "and I feel it is an excellent one."

Assemblyman Lowrey raised the question of the Department of Fish and Game acquiring interest in land and water. It was his thought that such language would provide too free a hand for acquisition. After a discussion on the necessary proprietary interest in lands and water for State projects, Senator Beard suggested that projects where land and water rights are required by purchase, such purchase be spelled out in detail and should receive Board approval. A general concurrence was voiced by all members.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD ALLOCATE \$118,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CASITAS RESERVOIR WARMWATER FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 137, AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ACQUIRE SUCH INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY THEREFOR, AND TO CONSTRUCT FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS SUBMITTED, BUT THAT SUCH AUTHORIZATION DOES NOT OBLIGATE THE FUNDS NEEDED FOR THE SECOND STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT.

be done under Section 1350 of the Mark and Come Code; and that any water

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. I BE BELLE LEGGE BESONE WILDER OF BESONE

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR, THAT
THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY ALLOCATE \$118,000
FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CASITAS
RESERVOIR WARMWATER FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 137, AND
AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ACQUIRE SUCH
INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY THEREFOR, AND TO CONSTRUCT
FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS SUBMITTED, BUT THAT SUCH AUTHORIZATION DOES NOT OBLIGATE THE
FUNDS NEEDED FOR THE SECOND STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT.

of the ob PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. Of slideriev grevers girt slide does ev gods

Senator McBride appeared before the Board in support of the project and thanked the Board for the action taken at this time.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board Con February 2, 1960

Isabella Lake Public Fishing Area, Project No. 124 Change in Scope 9. Kern County

This project was approved on May 17, 1957, and \$200,005 was allocated. Original plans called for filter plants to purify water from the lake. At the request of the County of Kern, the plans were amended at the April 30, 1958 meeting deleting the filter plants and substituting wells and water storage tanks.

By letter, dated January 14, 1960, the County made the following request:

"The Kern County Board of Supervisors has authorized a change in scope of the Isabella Reservoir project and has requested this department to submit the following proposal to your honorable board for your consideration:

- 1. Delete water storage tanks and appurtenant facilities at Well Site #3 (old Isabella Road boat launching area) and at Well Site #2 (boat launching area on Highway 178). (See attached plan)
- 2. Add two permanent type restrooms (identical to ones already constructed as part of program). One proposed restroom is to be located at the old Isabella Road boat launching area and one at Paradise Cove on Highway 178. (See attached plan)

"We wish to point out that we are not seeking additional funds. There are sufficient funds remaining in the project balance allegate providing the water storage tanks are deleted. We feel the additional permanent type restrooms are more necessary at this time than the water storage tanks. The restrooms are to be located in areas of very heavy use. Should it be necessary to provide water storage facilities at the two well sites in the future the county will assume the responsibility.

"We hope your honorable board will approve the requested change in scope of the Isabella project and we wish to thank you for your consideration."

Mr. Nesbit stated that he and Mr. Wallace Dry, Department of Fish and Game Engineer, investigated this request and believe it to be logical and that such change would provide an enhancement to the project for the fishermen. The fishing has been better than anticipated and use is heavy. The need for additional sanitary facilities is far greater than the need for additional water storage provided by the tanks. The yield of drilled wells is adequate to operate pressure systems without large storage tanks.

Mr. Nesbit recommended the scope be changed to include two new sanitary facilities and to delete two water storage tanks providing this change in scope is done within the existing allocation.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COM-MITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CHANGE THE SCOPE OF THE ISABELLA LAKE PUBLIC FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 124, IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS AS PRESENTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. A RECEIVE SOURCE STREET STEEL SET

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY CHANGE THE SCOPE OF THE ISABELLA LAKE FUBLIC FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 124, IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS AS PRESENTED. (Samuel Pand) of subject the day design

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Lance Hopper, Department of Public Works, Bakersfield, appeared before the Board. He thanked the Board for their assistance in making this lake one of California's really fine warmwater fishing lakes. He stated that use now exceeds a million man days per year. He estimated that this summer will see the completion of the Wildlife Conservation Board project at Isabella Lake.

Hunting Access Program, Project No. 1017 \$ 28,000 10. Kings Peak Hunting Access Road, Humboldt County

The Department of Fish and Game and the County of Humboldt proposed this project to provide access to hunting and fishing in a large block of public lands in the locality of Kings Peak in southern Humboldt County.

The Kings Peak area comprises the largest block of public lands in this vicinity, totaling about 21,000 acres. The area contains a considerable deer population and the potential of being appreciably improved for deer by brush manipulation. Also, the public lands touch on the Pacific Ocean in at least two places and furnish possible access to excellent surf, offshore, and abalone fishing. Because of the high recreational values, the Department of Fish and Game has requested from the Bureau of Land Management withdrawal of these lands for recreational purposes.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board Wildlife Wildlife Conservation Board William Board W

At present, these public lands are lightly used because they are largely inaccessible. The only public access now is to the southeast corner of the area by means of a road built by the Department of Fish and Game in cooperation with Humboldt County. This road leaves the Shelter Cove - Honeydew County road approximately five airline miles north of Shelter Cove and extends about seven miles northwest into the area. Additional roads are needed to afford full access to the public lands. Travel in the area is very difficult without developed roads or trails because of the very steep and brushy terrain.

The proposed project is to construct approximately 27 miles of access road, all on public lands. This will extend the existing road from the southeast corner of the area to the northwest corner, and will provide six lateral roads which will permit travel to vantage points for most of the area. Three of the lateral roads will terminate on the ends of ridges overlooking the ocean. From these points, it will be possible to hike approximately one half mile over public lands of very steep terrain to the beach for fishing.

The access roads proposed are considered as probably an initial step in development of the area. In addition to fulfilling minimum needs for recreational use and management purposes, they will enable surveys to be made for additional development, which has been difficult previously because of the inaccessibility of the area. This will include exploring the feasibility of bringing access roads down the very steep slopes to the coastline at some future date.

Estimated costs for the roads are based on previous experience in building the seven miles of road now providing limited access to the area. Roads will be mainly on ridge tops which will minimize construction and maintenance costs. In addition, the estimate includes funds for parking turnouts, culverts, and road signs. Humboldt County has indicated willingness to maintain the roads if constructed.

Mr. Nesbit recommended this project be approved and that \$28,000 be allocated to the Department of Fish and Game from the Wildlife Restoration Fund for construction of the project and that the Department be authorized to proceed with the project.

Mr. Hart, Assistant Coordinator of the Board, pointed out the existing road and where the proposed roads would be, as well as the type of terrain covered.

Fish and Came, explained that most of this equippent was acquired at the

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board Board

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND
THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD ALLOCATE \$28,000 FROM
THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH
AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE KINGS PEAK HUNTING
ACCESS, HUNTING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1017, AND
AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ACQUIRE
SUCH INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THIS PROJECT,
AND TO CONSTRUCT FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE
WITH PLANS SUBMITTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR,
THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY ALLOCATE
\$28,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE
DEPARIMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE
KINGS PEAK HUNTING ACCESS, HUNTING ACCESS PROGRAM,
PROJECT NO. 1017, AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARIMENT OF FISH
AND GAME TO ACQUIRE SUCH INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY
FOR THIS PROJECT, AND TO CONSTRUCT FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS SUBMITTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

11. Coastal Lagoon Development Project, Project No. 1015 Disposition of Equipment Purchased for Project

The Southern California Lagoon Study project was approved by the Board on August 8, 1957. Certain equipment was purchased for this study. The study was conducted by contract with the University of California at Riverside. They have recently informed us that the field work is complete and the equipment purchased can be transferred back to the custody of the State.

Mr. Nesbit recommended the transfer of this equipment to the Department of Fish and Game for their use with the understanding that if such equipment is sold, the revenue revert to the Wildlife Restoration Fund.

He explained, in answer to Assemblyman Lowrey's inquiry, that the field work is complete but the final accounting and the project report has not been completed. Assemblyman Lowrey raised the question of the necessity of such purchases of equipment. Mr. Harry Anderson, Deputy Director, Department of Fish and Game, explained that most of this equipment was acquired at the time of the Salton Sea project, in 1953, and was surplus equipment. Very little, if any, was purchased for this project. "It is pretty well worn out", Mr. Anderson said.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board School Sch

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, SECONDED BY SENATOR
BEARD, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE
WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD TRANSFER THE SURPLUS ITEMS
FROM THE COASTAL LAGOON DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, PROJECT
NO. 1015, TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR USE AS
NEEDED WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT SHOULD THESE ITEMS
BE NEEDED FOR FUTURE BOARD PROJECTS, THEY ARE TO BE
RETURNED, AND IF SOLD, THE REVENUE SHOULD ACCRUE TO THE
WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR,
THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY TRANSFER
THE SURPLUS ITEMS FROM THE COASTAL LAGOON DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1015, TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH
AND GAME FOR USE AS NEEDED WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT
SHOULD THESE ITEMS BE NEEDED FOR FUTURE BOARD PROJECTS,
THEY ARE TO BE RETURNED, AND IF SOLD, THE REVENUE
SHOULD ACCRUE TO THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

12. Lower Butte Creek Waterfowl Management Area, Project No. 548 Butte County

Mr. Nesbit presented this project and stated that the Director of the Department of Fish and Game advised the Board this project is now in need of the third allocation. Development work on this project is entering its third year following approval of the development plan by the Board on November 15, 1957. The work was scheduled to be carried out over a three year period with allocations of funds to be made for each year. However, no money was to be spent on ditch pumps and related heavy construction until such time as water rights were firmly established through legal channels.

Work scheduled for the first two years of the project, except that affected by the above restriction in regard to water rights, has been completed or is in progress. The State Water Rights Board has advised that additional rights to surface water for the area are involved in the Sacramento River water rights determinations which have not been settled to date.

For the third year of the project, the Department of Fish and Game recommends that development be authorized and funds allocated as follows:

Ditch construction; H-I, T-U, Well and pump units; Unit No. Gravel for roads Materials and Supplies Equipment		\$ 8,248 13,800 10,900 12,596 2,825
CHART SHOULD THEOR THEMS	Sub-total	\$ 48,369
	Contingencies	4,837
	Total	\$ 53,206

The above request for development funds is in accord with the approved plan and restrictions, except for deletion of \$1,415 for minor items of equipment which are no longer needed.

What will consist of a fourth phase of development work will remain held in abeyance because of the water rights question. The planned amounts for ditch pumps and heavy construction work in this category total \$78,169.

If this requested allocation of \$53,206 is approved, Mr. Nesbit said, total allocations to date for the current development project will be \$244,514. This is \$90,755 less than the \$335,269 total estimated for the approved plan.

Mr. Nesbit informed the Board that Director Shannon had some further recommendations and clarifications. Mr. Shannon explained that the project was approved in 1957. "We followed the instructions of the Board", he said, "and are now in need of more money to continue development." Mr. Shannon spoke of the support this area has received from sportsmen and farmers as well.

Assemblyman Lowrey inquired of Department of Fish and Game personnel as to the size and length of the various ditches. It was his understanding from the November 15, 1957 Wildlife Conservation Board meeting that the Department was to obtain in writing an agreement with Reclamation District 833. Such agreement was to provide for the use of 833 canals for drainage water diverted into them above the amounts permitted the Department, as a member of this District.

Assemblyman Lowrey expressed concern that the Department was subjecting itself to criticism and perhaps a law suit if drainage water was diverted into these canals without such agreement. Mr. Shannon and staff members, Glading, Russo, and Montgomery, assured the Board that water now being drained into 833 canals was permitted since the Department was a member of that District and that water from adjoining areas was not being drained into 833.

The question of the status of the application to divert surplus water was discussed. Mr. Nesbit pointed out that none of this requested allocation of \$53,206 was proposed for use in connection with the water rights pending before the State Water Rights Board. Funds for this development are contingent upon those rights, he said, and would be requested if and when such rights are perfected.

Assemblyman Belotti and Senator Beard expressed their concern as to the clarity of understanding with the Reclamation Districts over the use of the drainage waters. Assemblywoman Davis recommended this item be put over until the next meeting or until these misunderstandings have been cleared.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BEARD, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HOLD OVER THE REQUEST FOR THE THIRD PHASE ALLOCATION OF \$53,206 FOR THE LOWER BUTTE CREEK WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT AREA, PROJECT NO. 548, UNTIL THE DRAINAGE AGREEMENT IS CLARIFIED.

Mr. Shannon inquired of his staff as to the consequences, regarding development and personnel, of such a delay. Mr. Glading said it would cause an interruption in the development program but no personnel would have to be released.

In answer to an inquiry from Chairman Elser, Mr. Nesbit said it was his understanding that before this project should be presented to the Board again, a written agreement with Reclamation District 833 should be reached. A general concurrence on the part of the Board members substantiated this conclusion.

Chairman Elser instructed the Coordinator to arrange a meeting in April if this project could be readied for reconsideration.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR,
THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY HOLD
OVER THE REQUEST FOR THE THIRD PHASE ALLOCATION OF
\$53,206 FOR THE LOWER BUTTE CREEK WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT AREA, PROJECT NO. 548, UNTIL THE DRAINAGE
AGREEMENT IS CLARIFIED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

13. Salton Sea Fisheries Project No. 108 Imperial and Riverside Counties

\$ 4,000

Mr. Nesbit presented this project and said the Board approved a project to assist in establishing a sport fishery in the Salton Sea on April 16, 1953, and by later allocations continued the work under contract with the University of California at Los Angeles.

This work has been completed and enough evidence is at hand to state that a good fishery for corvina and sargo has developed. Spot catches were made by the local fishermen in locations known only to a few; namely, around sunken obstacles and the Navy targets.

On October 9, 1958, the Board allocated \$3,500 to create artificial reefs at selected locations in Salton Sea. Permission was secured to place 100 auto bodies at each of three chosen locations on the bottom of Salton Sea. The Atomic Energy Commission materially aided your staff in the placing of these 300 autos through the use of their barge, heavy equipment and personnel.

These three reefs are marked by buoys and the reports of local wardens and sportsmen indicate that these reefs are effective in attracting gamefish populations and thereby assisting the fishermen in harvesting this resource from the 345 square mile Sea.

A continuation of this project is proposed. New techniques of placing the reefs and new reef materials are expected to be tried. Suitable vegetation may be introduced to improve habitat.

Cost estimates are as follows:

Reef material	\$ 1,200
Placement and transportation of reef materials	2,300
Buoys and marker supplies	500
	\$ 4,000

This proposal by the Board staff has the approval of the Department of Fish and Game.

Mr. Nesbit recommended this project be approved; that \$4,000 be allocated to the Salton Sea Fisheries Project No. 108; and that the Department of Fish and Game and the Wildlife Conservation Board Staff be authorized to continue this project as outlined above.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BEARD, SECONDED BY SENATOR
BROWN, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND
THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD ALLOCATE \$4,000 FROM
THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF ARTIFICIAL
HALTAT FOR THE SALTON SEA FISHERIES PROJECT, PROJECT
NO. 108, AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME
TO ACQUIRE SUCH INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY THEREFOR,
AND TO CONTINUE THIS PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS SUBMITTED.

Minutes of Mesian, Vildist Conservation Board

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR,
THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY ALLOCATE
\$4,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF
ARTIFICIAL HABITAT FOR THE SALTON SEA FISHERIES PROJECT,
PROJECT NO. 108, AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH
AND GAME TO ACQUIRE SUCH INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY
THEREFOR, AND TO CONTINUE THIS PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY IN
ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS SUBMITTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

14. Lake Mendocino Public Fishing Area, Project No. 138 Mendocino County

\$ 105,000

This project is proposed by the Board of Supervisors, as well as several organizations and sportsmen of Mendocino County. The project was considered initially at a meeting of May 17, 1957, at which time the Board instructed the staff to work with Mendocino County people to develop plans and cost estimates and present them to the Board at a later meeting. Board members Senator Johnson, Assemblymen Lowrey and Belotti, and former member Mr. Warne, along with Mr. Nesbit, inspected the site on September 24, 1959.

Lake Mendocino is formed by Coyote Dam on the east fork of the Russian River, about five miles north of Ukiah. The dam was completed and the reservoir was in full operation in December of 1958. The lake impounded is approximately three miles long and one mile wide, with a surface area of nearly 1,900 acres. Total storage capacity is 122,500 acre feet.

Primary purposes of the dam are flood control and water conservation. Of the total reservoir capacity, 48,000 acre feet are for flood control, 70,000 for water conservation, and 4,500 are reserved for siltation. What water is

needed from the storage for water conservation will be released for domestic and industrial use, irrigation, and augmentation of low summertime stream flow in the Russian River.

Director Shannon, Department of Fish and Game, reported the reservoir is expected mainly to provide a warmwater fishery with some trout fishing near the inflow from the river.

Mendocino County has jurisdiction over the reservoir area for recreational use under license from the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. If a Wildlife Conservation Board project is developed, the County will operate and maintain the facilities.

A plan for recreation development and management of Lake Mendocino has been prepared by the County. The Wildlife Conservation Board has been requested to provide the facilities needed for fishing access.

A breakdown of facilities requested and cost estimates is as follows:

Access Road - approximately 3,300 feet - per plans \$	20,000
Parking Area - approximately 2 acres	27,000
Boat Launching Ramp - per plans	20,000
Comfort Station - permanent type	9,000
Sewage Disposal System - per plans Was MANA MANA MANA MANA	2,500
Chemical Toilets - 24 units	8,400
Domestic Water Supply . YJEUONINAMU GRE	8,000

Signs,	Title	Costs,	etc.	600
Conting	gencies	5 616 91		9,500
Total			\$	105,000

Mr. Nesbit recommended favorable consideration and that funds be allocated for this purpose to the Department of Fish and Game from the Wildlife Restoration Fund.

Mr. Poulos, of the County Board of Supervisors, Mendocino County, spoke in favor of the project. He said the County of Mendocino would construct the project, if approved, on a reimbursement basis (Section 1350 of the Fish and Game Code), and that the County would agree to maintain the facilities. He presented two petitions containing several hundred signatures from such people as the Rod and Gun Club, Izaak Walton League, and from the boating industry who were in favor of the project. He introduced Mr. James Campbell, Planning Consultant, who showed a map of the lake area and discussed it in detail. He explained the County now has jurisdiction of this Federal land for recreational development. The Master Plan, he explained, was adopted by the County of Mendocino and was submitted to the Corps of Engineers and was approved by them.

In answer to an inquiry by Senator Brown, Mr. Campbell said the number of fishermen was not very high in the census figures this year. "We believe", he said, "most fishermen were local people because the lake is only a year old and there wasn't much advertising." There were, he estimated, 10,000 people over one weekend; however, he expects large usage from the Bay area as it is only a $2\frac{1}{2}$ hour drive from San Francisco to this lake.

Chairman Elser inquired as to how much has been spent for recreational development on the project to date. Mr. Campbell said it was between \$30,000 and \$35,000. He explained further that the County is in the picture rather substantially. The County does not, he said, have sufficient money to spend much in any one year. The Corps of Engineers have spent \$340,000 this year primarily on recreation type of project. This is their final expenditure authorized for Mendocino Lake.

Director Shannon, Department of Fish and Game, said the Department favors the project and thinks eventually it will develop into a warmwater fishery.

Chairman Elser inquired as to availability of duck hunting on the lake, to which Mr. Campbell answered there was a moderate amount of waterfowl hunting available.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVIS, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD ALLOCATE \$105,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF LAKE MENDOCINO PUBLIC FISH-AND AREA, PROJECT NO. 138, AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ACQUIRE SUCH INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY, AND TO CONSTRUCT FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS SUBMITTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY ALLOCATE \$105,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF LAKE MENDOCINO PUBLIC FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 138, AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ACQUIRE SUCH INTERESTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY, AND TO CONSTRUCT FACILITIES SUBSTANTIALLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS SUBMITTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Senator Waverly Jack Slattery was introduced. He spoke briefly and thanked the Board for the action taken.

15. Resolutions:

Motions were unanimously passed that the following Resolutions be made a part of the minutes and the Coordinator was instructed to forward copies to Mr. Richards and Mr. Warne.

WHEREAS Mr. T. H. Richards, Jr., retired as Chairman of the Wildlife Conservation Board on January 1, 1960; and

WHEREAS Mr. Richards, through his sound judgment and experience as a businessman, administrator, and sportsman, has executed his duties as a member of the Wildlife Conservation Board, and its Chairman, in an outstanding manner; and

WHEREAS Mr. Richards has displayed unbiased opinions and full cooperation in carrying out the Board's policies and procedures, and has contributed greatly to the enhancement of better fishing, hunting and recreation generally throughout our rapidly growing State;

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that we, the Members of the Wildlife Conservation Board, the Joint Interim Committee, and the Board Staff, convey to Mr. Richards our sincere appreciation; and

FURTHER, that this Resolution be made a part of the official minutes of this Board and that a copy of this Resolution be furnished Mr. Richards.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR, THAT

PIANS SUBMITTED.

> WHEREAS Mr. William E. Warne left the Wildlife Conservation Board on January 1, 1960, due to being appointed Director of the State Department of Agriculture; and

WHEREAS Mr. Warne, although a member of the Board only a comparatively short time, with his considerable experience in administration and development of natural resources, aided materially in adopting and carrying out the programs of the Board; and

WHEREAS Mr. Warne's sound judgment and impartial actions were appreciated by all;

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that we, the members of the Wildlife Conservation Board, the Joint Interim Committee, and the Board Staff, convey to Mr. Warne our sincere appreciation for his contributions to the work of the Board;

FURTHER, that this Resolution be made a part of the official minutes of this Board and that a copy of this Resolution be furnished to Mr. Warne.

Municipal Utility District had requested an amendment to the agreement

Inland Angling Access Program, Project No. 1013 Change of Scope 16. San Joaquin River Fishing Access Area (Lost Lake), Fresno County

February 2, 1960

Mr. Nesbit stated this project was approved and the money allocated August 8, 1957, and December 11, 1958. On December 29, 1959, bids were opened and the low bid was \$8,000 in excess of the total allocation. The County of Fresno, which is building the project under 1350 of the Fish and Game Code, has proposed the deletion of some items and thereby change the scope. They would then rebid and hope for a bid within the allocation.

In addition, the anticipated use is greater than originally expected and they therefore have asked to substitute some permanent type sanitary facilities for the ones initially approved. No additional allocation is requested. Mr. Nesbit recommended the Board authorize this change.

Mr. Nesbit introduced Mr. Cecil Phipps, Chairman of the Fresno County Recreation Committee, and Mr. Dixson, Assistant County Administrative Officer.

Both spoke briefly and Mr. Dixson explained that the plans presented would reduce the length of the road by 1,600 feet but that the County would provide a minimum standard access road at a later date. He further described a reduction in the drinking water system and said the County would, at their expense and at a later date, extend the system if necessary.

In answer to Mr. Shannon's question, Mr. Dixson said these changes would not affect the overall use of the project.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. CARR, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY CHANGE THE SCOPE OF THE SAN JOAQUIN RIVER FISHING ACCESS AREA (LOST LAKE), INLAND ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1013, IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANS AS PRESENTED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

(Mr. Carr left at this time)

17. Pardee Reservoir Public Fishing Area, Project No. 131 Amador and Calaveras Counties

Mr. Nesbit presented an informational item on the above project. No action was necessary on the part of the Board but it was explained that East Bay Municipal Utility District had requested an amendment to the agreement between the Department of Fish and Game and the District. This amendment would permit the District to close the facility during certain times of the year when usage was insufficient to warrant the cost of keeping the recreational area open. The Department of Fish and Game recommended such an amendment on a trial basis.

18. San Joaquin County - Andrews of Boyl at redesced but yet 8 respons

Mr. C. B. Bull, Board of Supervisors, San Joaquin County, presented to the Board three Resolutions regarding boat launching ramps (Terminous, Middle River, and Dos Rios Road). He requested consideration of these projects by the Staff. In response to Chairman Elser's question, Mr. Nesbit explained that no Board action was necessary on this proposal and that the Staff would investigate Supervisor Bull's proposals.

19. Hunting Access Program

Assemblyman Lloyd Lowrey asked if the action of the Board today was the first of the hunting access projects. Mr. Nesbit answered that the Board had engaged in one previous hunting access project - Boucher's Gap in Monterey County.

Assemblyman Lowrey suggested this Board consider a long range plan for a hunting access program such as had been considered in the past in the case of trout and waterfowl. It was his opinion that the Board should do more to enhance the opportunities for the hunter of upland game and big game.

This proposal brought general concurrence from all members. Mr. Shannon stated that the Department of Fish and Game was commencing such a program and a portion of it would be applicable for Wildlife Conservation Board projects. Such a plan, it was generally agreed, should be considered by this Board at an early meeting.

Comment Angling Access Projects * * * * * 339,107,48
Inland Augling Access Projects . . . 554,170.07
Funting Access Projects 26,000.00

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

Total Reserves Established

Allocations for Projects \$15,109,738.57
Spatial Project Allocations 25,000.00
Asserves Established 153,219.30

Total Equended or Obligated \$15,000,000.00

Total Funda Appropriated \$15,000,000.00

Appropriation Available thru 59/60 FY . . . 750,000.00

30

The amount allocated to specific projects as of the close of the meeting on February 2, 1960, aggregated \$15,134,738.57

a. b.	Warmwater and Other Fish Projects	\$ 4,279,253.11 2,756,590.01
d.	Screen and Ladder Projects	582,890.87 283,579.31 105,644.49
f.	Other Upland Game Projects	416,530.84
g.	Waterfowl Management Projects	5,597,548.78
h.		1,087,701.16
	1. Coastal Angling Access Projects 339,107.48	
	2. Inland Angling Access Projects	
	4. Other General Projects	
	Total Allocated to Specific Projects	\$15,109,738.57
		+->>->>
Sp	ecial Project Allocations:	
	Project Evaluation, Property Acquisition and	
	Engineering Studies	\$ 25,000.00
	Total Allocated	\$15,134,738.57
-	2211	
	addition to the specific allocations above, the following serves have been established:	
re	serves have been established:	
	1. Colorado River Recreational Development	\$ 23,219.30 30,000.00
	3. Upper American River Development	100,000.00 \$ 153,219.30
	TOTAL Reserves Established	φ 1/3, 219.30
Or	perating Costs:	
-1	FY 47/48 thru 58/59 - Actual \$ 540,903.54	
	FY 59/60 - Estimated 82,077.00	
	Total Actual and Estimated Operating Costs	\$ 622,980.54
Re	capitulation:	
	Allocations for Projects \$15,109,738.57	
	Special Project Allocations	
	Reserves Established	
	Expenses of Operation	
	· Control of the cont	
	Total Funds Appropriated \$15,000,000.00 Appropriation Available thru 59/60 FY 750,000.00	
	Interest on Surplus Money Invested	
	thru 58/59 FY	
	Miscellaneous Revenue 59/60 FY	
	Total Sum Available	
	Total Expended or Obligated 15,910,938.41	
	Available thru June 30, 1960 \$ 234,857.83	