

WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MINUTES, MEETING OF JUNE 17, 1960

C O N T E N T S

| <u>Item No.</u> | <u>Page No.</u> |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Roll Call | 1 - 2 |
| 2. Introduction of Members and Advisory Committee . . . | 2 |
| 3. Approval of the Minutes | 2 |
| 4. Status of Funds | 2 - 3 |
| 5. Recovery of Funds | 3 - 4 |
| 6. Additional Allocations to Close Projects | 5 |
| 7. Inland Angling Access Program (Salton Sea - Frink) . | 6 - 7 |
| 8. Coastal Angling Access Program (Greyhound Rock) . . . | 7 - 9 |
| 9. Santa Cruz Pier | 9 - 10 |
| 10. Coastal Angling Access Program (Ocean Park) | 10 - 12 |
| 11. Transfer of Land to U. S. Coast Guard | 12 - 14 |
| 12. Inland Angling Access Program (Jorgenson Access) . . | 14 - 15 |
| 13. Lower Butte Creek Waterfowl Management Area | 16 |
| 14. Nacimiento Reservoir Public Fishing Area | 16 - 17 |
| 15. Inland Angling Access Program (Star Bend) | 17 - 18 |
| 16. Berkeley Pier | 18 - 19 |
| 17. Recovery of Funds | 19 |
| 18. Inland Angling Access Program (San Joaquin River-Lost Lake) | 20 |
| 19. Shelter Cove, Humboldt County | 20 |
| 20. Fish Lake, Humboldt County | 21 |
| Status of Allocations and Funds Available thru June 30, 1960 | 22 |

State of California
WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD
Minutes, Meeting of June 17, 1960

Pursuant to the call of the Chairman, the Wildlife Conservation Board met in Room 4061, 722 Capitol Avenue, Sacramento, California, on June 17, 1960. The meeting was called to order by Chairman William P. Elser at 1:35 p.m.

1. Roll Call

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <u>PRESENT:</u> | Wm. P. Elser | Chairman |
| | W. T. Shannon | Member of the Board |
| | T. H. Mugford | Vice Mr. Carr, Department of Finance |
| | Senator J. William Beard | Joint Interim Committee |
| | Senator Charles Brown | " " " |
| | Senator Ed C. Johnson | " " " |
| | Assemblyman Frank P. Belotti | " " " |
| | Assemblyman Lloyd W. Lowrey | " " " |
| | Raymond J. Nesbit | Coordinator |
| | Chester M. Hart | Assistant Coordinator |
| | Philip A. Douglas | Field Agent |
| | Alma Koyasako | Secretary |
| | Alpha K. Phelps | Accounting Technician |

ABSENT: Assemblywoman Pauline L. Davis Joint Interim Committee

OTHERS PRESENT:

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Bert F. Williams | Department of Fish and Game |
| George Farnham | " " " " " |
| Wallace C. Dry | " " " " " |
| F. J. McDermott | " " " " " |
| Ben Glading | " " " " " |
| Leo Shapovalov | " " " " " |
| Harry Anderson | " " " " " |
| Richard S. Croker | " " " " " |
| Robert D. Montgomery | " " " " " |
| John B. Cowan | " " " " " |
| Burton D. Collins | " " " " " |
| Wm. J. Harp | Fish and Game Commission |
| DeVan L. Shumway | United Press International |
| Wilson K. Lythgoe | Sacramento Bee |
| George D. Difani | California Wildlife Federation |
| Peter Tedesco | City Manager, Santa Cruz |
| Norman H. Caldwell | Public Works Director, Santa Barbara County |
| Carl Bengston | Parks and Recreation Superintendent, City of Santa Cruz |
| Dr. Enrico F. Raffanti | Chairman, Parks and Recreation Comm., City of Santa Cruz |

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Donald L. Grunsky | State Senator, 23rd District |
| Ernest E. Hatch | Gridley |
| Palmer Hatch | Gridley |
| Charles C. Harper | Dept. of Finance |
| H. K. Grafe | Adm. Assistant, Senator Johnson |
| Tom Maloney | Sac'to Valley WMA Advisory Comm. |
| Walter Soeth | Supervisor, Glenn County |
| George S. Lewis | Supervisor, Glenn County |
| Marion Amos, Capt. U.S.C.G. | Chief, Operations Division, 12th Coast Guard District |
| John B. Oren, Capt. U.S.C.G. | Chief, Engineering Division, 12th Coast Guard District |
| Ronald G. Cameron | State Senator, 7th District |
| Fred M. Schultz | Lake Tahoe Area Council |
| Joseph F. McDonald | Nevada-Calif. Lake Tahoe Assn. |
| Ernest N. Mobley | Fresno County Administrator |
| Charles E. Dixon | Fresno County |
| David Pierson | County Surveyor, Imperial County |
| Simon Carey | Supervisor, Imperial County |
| Loran Bunte | Monterey Co. Flood Control and Water Conservation District |
| Ken Lihme | Monterey Co. Flood Control and Water Conservation District |
| Wm. Hunrick | Director of Finance, Berkeley |
| James Fales | City of Berkeley |

2. Introduction of Members and Advisory Committee

Chairman Elser introduced the Wildlife Conservation Board and Legislative Advisory Committee members.

3. Approval of Minutes, February 2, 1960

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE MINUTES OF THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD MEETING OF FEBRUARY 2, 1960, BE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

4. Status of Funds.

Mr. Nesbit reported that the amount allocated to specific projects as of the close of the meeting on February 2, 1960, aggregated \$15,134,738.57.

| | |
|---|----------------|
| a. Fish Hatchery and Stocking Projects | \$4,279,253.11 |
| b. Warmwater and Other Fish Projects | 2,756,590.01 |
| 1. Warmwater Projects | \$2,260,558.81 |
| 2. Other Fish Projects | 496,031.20 |
| c. Flow Maintenance and Stream Improvement Projects | 582,890.87 |
| d. Screen and Ladder Projects | 283,579.31 |

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| e. State Game Farms | \$ 105,644.49 |
| f. Other Upland Game Projects | 416,530.84 |
| g. Waterfowl Management Projects | 5,597,548.78 |
| h. General Projects | 1,087,701.16 |
| 1. Coastal Angling Access Projects | \$339,107.48 |
| 2. Inland Angling Access Projects | 554,170.07 |
| 3. Hunting Access Projects | 28,000.00 |
| 4. Other General Projects | 166,423.61 |
| Total Allocated to specific projects | \$15,109,738.57 |

Special Project Allocations:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Project Evaluation, Property Acquisition and Engineering Studies | 25,000.00 |
|---|-----------|

Total Allocated \$15,134,738.57

In addition to the specific allocations above, the following reserves have been established:

| | |
|--|---------------|
| 1. Colorado River Recreational Development | \$ 23,219.30 |
| 2. Lake Tahoe Access Development | 30,000.00 |
| 3. Upper American River Development | 100,000.00 |
| Total Reserves Established | \$ 153,219.30 |

Operating Costs:

| | |
|--|---------------|
| FY 47/48 thru 58/59 - Actual | \$ 540,903.54 |
| FY 59/60 - Estimated | 80,334.00 |
| Total Actual and Estimated Operating Costs | 621,237.54 |

Recapitulation:

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Allocations for Projects | \$15,109,738.57 |
| Special Project Allocations | 25,000.00 |
| Reserves Established | 153,219.30 |
| Expenses of Operation | 621,237.54 |
| Total Expended or Obligated | \$15,909,195.41 |
| Total Funds Appropriated | \$15,000,000.00 |
| Appropriation Available thru 59/60 FY | 750,000.00 |
| Interest on Surplus Money Inv. thru 58/59 FY | 365,301.14 |
| Int. on Surplus Money Inv. 59/60 FY | 36,843.87 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue thru 58/59 FY | 30,265.10 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue 59/60 FY | 502.50 |
| Total Sum Available | \$16,182,912.61 |
| Total Expended or Obligated | 15,909,195.41 |
| Available thru June 30, 1960 | \$ 273,717.20 |

5. Recovery of Funds

Mr. R. J. Nesbit, the Coordinator, suggested that the balance of funds from the following completed projects be recovered and restored to the Wildlife Restoration Fund, and the Plaskett Meadows Public Fishing Area, Project No. 111, and the Mendota Waterfowl Management Area, Project No. 532, be closed.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>Project</u> | <u>Unobligated Balance</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| * 1013 | Bend Bridge Angling Access | \$ 1,538.01 |
| * 1013 | Anderson Angling Access | 588.90 |
| * 1013 | Knights Landing Angling Access | 1,639.67 |
| * 1013 | Alameda Angling Access | 168.48 |
| * 1013 | Balls Ferry Angling Access | 6,219.30 |
| * 1013 | Red Bluff Angling Access | 1,766.03 |

*Project No. 1013, Inland Angling Access Program, to remain open. The above access sites completed.

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 111 | Plaskett Meadows Public Fishing Area | 39.74 |
| 532 | Mendota Waterfowl Management Area | 37,576.94 |
| | TOTAL | \$49,537.07 |

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, SECONDED BY SENATOR BEARD, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AUTHORIZE THE RECOVERY OF BALANCES AND THE CLOSING OF VARIOUS PROJECTS AS RECOMMENDED. ALL OF THESE SUMS TALLING \$49,537.07 TO BE RESTORED TO THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CLOSE THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS AND RECOVER THE UNEXPENDED BALANCES AS FOLLOWS:

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>Project</u> | <u>Amount to be Recovered</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| *1013 | Bend Bridge Angling Access | \$ 1,538.01 |
| *1013 | Anderson Angling Access | 588.90 |
| *1013 | Knights Landing Angling Access | 1,639.67 |
| *1013 | Alameda Angling Access | 168.48 |
| *1013 | Balls Ferry Angling Access | 6,219.30 |
| *1013 | Red Bluff Angling Access | 1,766.03 |

*Project No. 1013, Inland Angling Access Program, to remain open. The above access sites completed.

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 111 | Plaskett Meadows Public Fishing Area | 39.74 |
| 532 | Mendota Waterfowl Management Area | 37,576.94 |
| | TOTAL | \$49,537.07 |

ALL OF THESE SUMS TALLING \$49,537.07 ARE TO BE RESTORED TO THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

6. Additional Allocation to Close Projects

It was reported by the Coordinator that although the following projects have been completed, insufficient funds remain in these projects to pay the delayed billings for the fabrication of signs. It was suggested the small amounts necessary to clear and close the projects be allocated and the Letts Valley Public Fishing Area, Project No. 130, be closed.

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>Project</u> | <u>Allocation Required</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 130 | Letts Valley Public Fishing Area | \$ 33.29 |
| *1013 | Yuba City Angling Access | 48.10 |
| *1013 | Vallejo Angling Access | 64.27 |

*Project No. 1013, Inland Angling Access Program, to remain open. The above access sites completed.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, SECONDED BY SENATOR BEARD, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AUTHORIZE THE ALLOCATION OF THE AMOUNTS NECESSARY TO PAY THE TOTAL BILLING OF THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS AND THAT THE PROJECTS THEN BE CLOSED.

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>Project</u> | <u>Allocation</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 130 | Letts Valley Public Fishing Area | \$ 33.29 |
| *1013 | Yuba City Angling Access | 48.10 |
| *1013 | Vallejo Angling Access | 64.27 |

*Project No. 1013, Inland Angling Access Program, to remain open. The above access sites completed.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD MAKE THE FOLLOWING ALLOCATIONS AND THEREAFTER CLOSE THESE PROJECTS.

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>Project</u> | <u>Allocation</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 130 | Letts Valley Public Fishing Area | \$ 33.29 |
| *1013 | Yuba City Angling Access | 48.10 |
| *1013 | Vallejo Angling Access | 64.27 |

*Project No. 1013, Inland Angling Access Program, to remain open. The above access sites completed.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

7. Inland Angling Access Program, Project No. 1013 \$80,600.00
Salton Sea - Frink, Imperial County

A request was made by Mr. Dave Pierson, Surveyor-Engineer, Imperial County, to be heard early in the meeting, and, therefore, the Chairman ordered that the Salton Sea Inland Angling Access project be presented at this time.

The Coordinator reported that since an excellent sport fishery has been developed in the Salton Sea, additional access is needed in some areas of the Sea to enable the public to utilize the resource developed. Present access facilities are located in the northerly portions of the Sea. This project, to provide access to the better fishing and hunting areas in the southern part of Salton Sea, has been proposed by Imperial County Supervisors and various sportsmen's groups.

He advised that the Wildlife Conservation Board staff preliminary plans for access developments originally included two sites, one at the proposed location and one at Red Island near the mouth of the Alamo River. The Red Island site proved to require too much capital expenditure as well as maintenance. It was mutually decided after meetings with County officials and sportsmen that all efforts should be concentrated on the site now recommended.

The proposed access site is located on the east shore of the Sea a short distance north of the old salt works. This location is about two miles southwest of Frink, which is on Highway 111, approximately 11 miles north of Niland.

The access location is on lands owned by the Imperial Irrigation District. Preliminary negotiations have indicated that the necessary lease or easement for use of the site and access thereto can be obtained by the County of Imperial and/or the Department of Fish and Game.

The overall project would consist of an access road, parking area, boat launching ramp, breakwaters, and sanitary facilities. Imperial County has indicated willingness to assume operation and maintenance.

Plans and cost estimates have been made by the County of Imperial and have been reviewed and approved by the Board staff and the Engineering Section of the Department of Fish and Game.

Cost estimates are as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Access road, 2 miles and parking area, 10,000 sq. ft. | \$24,800 |
| Boat launching ramp, 3 lanes concrete | 2,000 |
| Turning basin and channel excavation | 15,000 |
| Jetties (2) | 29,400 |
| Sanitary facilities (2) | 1,600 |
| Subtotal | 72,800 |
| Engineering and incidentals, including signs and title reports | 6,000 |
| Contingencies | 1,800 |
| TOTAL | \$80,600 |

The Coordinator advised that the Department of Fish and Game has endorsed this project. He recommended that this project be approved and that \$80,600 be allocated to the Department of Fish and Game from the Wildlife Restoration Fund and that the Department be authorized to proceed with the project under Section 1350 of the Fish and Game Code.

Mr. Dave Pierson, Imperial County, gave the history of the Salton Sea and emphasized that the Sea has developed into a great fishing area due partly to the efforts of the Wildlife Conservation Board. In answer to Mr. Mugford's question, he stated that it was his understanding the county was not contributing toward the capital outlay but that they are willing to assume the operation and maintenance of the developments. He reported that the lease for the Imperial Irrigation District lands was received last week.

Supervisor Simon Carey, Imperial County, and Senator Beard both spoke in favor of the project, and requested favorable consideration of this project. No charge for parking or boat launching would be made.

Mr. George Difani, representing the California Wildlife Federation, gave support to this project so that the vast population of the south would have free access to the area.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, SECONDED BY SENATOR BROWN, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE INLAND ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1013, SALTON SEA - FRINK, IMPERIAL COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$80,600 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR THIS PURPOSE; AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO OBTAIN THE NECESSARY LEASE, OPERATING AGREEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE INLAND ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1013, SALTON SEA - FRINK, IMPERIAL COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$80,600 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR THIS PURPOSE; AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO EXECUTE THE NECESSARY LEASES, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT AND TO PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

8. Coastal Angling Access Program, Project No. 1011 \$36,000.00
Greyhound Rock, Santa Cruz County

Mr. Nesbit presented the Greyhound Rock access project, a site which was recommended as a high priority area for acquisition in the Wildlife Conservation Board's Coastal Angling Access Survey completed in 1951. He stated this proposal has been supported by the Santa Cruz Rod and Gun Club and the Associated Sportsmen.

In the ensuing years since the site was originally selected, the Wildlife Conservation Board staff has met several times with the landowners to attempt to negotiate an option for purchase. In mid-April of this year an option finally was obtained for what was considered a mutually agreeable price - \$35,000. This figure is within the appraised valuation.

The site is in northern Santa Cruz County, about 2 miles south of the San Mateo County line and approximately 20 miles north of the City of Santa Cruz.

The parcel of land involved consists of a narrow strip between Highway 1 and the ocean. The parcel includes approximately 70 acres, with about 8,400 feet of beach frontage and 7,700 feet of highway frontage. The adjacent beach area includes Greyhound Rock and other rocky points, as well as considerable area of sandy beach.

This site is in a section of Central California coastline where there is good fishing but very limited public access. In addition to private property controlling access, the presence of precipitous bluffs, cliffs and rocky points behind or along much of the beach area makes fishing access difficult.

The terrain of this land is suitable for developing parking areas adjacent to Highway 1. Bluffs between these prospective parking areas and the beach average approximately 75 to 100 feet in height. At present people climb to and from the beach through steep ravines, which cut the bluffs in several locations, or by using rope ladders.

The Department of Fish and Game recommends this project. Their report states that the area provides excellent fishing from the rocks for cabezone, rockfish and other species, as well as surf fishing, and that abalone are plentiful on the deeper rocks.

If the Board approves acquisition of this fishing access site, development will be planned at a later date, after engineering surveys have been made. Scope of possible development remains to be determined, but it appears that an access road, parking areas, stairways to the beach, and sanitary facilities would be appropriate.

The County of Santa Cruz has expressed willingness to operate and maintain the area, if acquired and developed.

Approximately $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres of the property at the north end are under lease to the Big Creek Timber Company for a small sawmill operation. The plan is that the sawmill will remain, but the terms of the lease may be renegotiated by the County. When this sawmill terminates logging operations, these $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres would provide a partially developed site for additional parking area or other facilities, if needed. Revenue from the sawmill lease would be put into operation, maintenance, and further development of the area.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

Mr. Nesbit recommended the Board approve this project and allocate \$36,000 from the Wildlife Restoration Fund to the Department of Fish and Game for the purpose of exercising the option and for the payment of appraisals, title fees, and other miscellaneous expenses.

Assemblyman Lowrey requested the Board be given a rough estimate of the cost of the development planned for the area after acquisition. Mr. Nesbit stated that although the staff anticipated this request, it had not been possible to spend funds for the necessary engineering until the area was acquired. Therefore, he stated that his own estimate for the development costs would be somewhere between twenty and twenty-five thousand dollars.

Mr. Elser, the Chairman, was informed that since the beach to mean high tide is public property, fishermen would not be limited to the 8,400 feet of beach frontage involved in the acquisition.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, SECONDED BY SENATOR BEARD, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AUTHORIZE THE GREYHOUND ROCK PROJECT; ALLOCATE \$36,000 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND; AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT TO EXERCISE THE OPTION TO PURCHASE THE LAND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AUTHORIZE ACQUISITION OF THAT PARCEL OF LAND KNOWN AS GREYHOUND ROCK SITE AS DESCRIBED IN THAT CERTAIN OPTION TAKEN FROM EMIL GIANONE, ET AL, DATED APRIL 14, 1960, FOR A PUBLIC FISHING ACCESS. THE SUM OF \$36,000 IS HEREBY ALLOCATED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR THE COASTAL ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1011, GREYHOUND ROCK, FOR ACQUISITION AND INCIDENTAL EXPENSES.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Warden Forrest McDermott of Santa Cruz urged that every effort be made to complete the acquisition and development of the access site. He also suggested that the Division of Forestry may desire a part of the area for a fire station.

At Assemblyman Lowrey's suggestion, the Board instructed staff to meet with Division of Forestry personnel to study the desirability of such a proposal and report back at a later meeting.

9. Santa Cruz Pier

Mr. Nesbit introduced Senator Donald L. Grunsky, 23rd District, relative to a request by the City of Santa Cruz for a feasibility study on their plan to rebuild the municipal pier for sportsfishermen's use.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

Senator Grunsky introduced Mr. Peter Tedesco, City Manager; Dr. Enrico Raffanti, Chairman, Parks and Recreation Commission; and Carl Bengston, Superintendent, Parks and Recreation, all of Santa Cruz. He asked the Board's consideration of this request for a feasibility study at this opportune time when the city is in the process of reconstructing the municipal pier. He informed the Board that at the present time people from the city as well as out of town visitors are fishing between parked cars because the city does not have facilities to accommodate them.

In answer to Chairman Elser's question as to the appropriateness of Board participation in this project, Senator Grunsky and Mr. Tedesco replied that the feasibility study should give the answer and that reconstruction of the pier would be a joint venture by City and State. Cost estimates for the proposed project were discussed and the Board was informed that \$300,000 for reconstruction of the pier and approximately \$50,000 for that portion dedicated to fishing use would be required.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY AUTHORIZE THE STAFF TO MAKE A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF THAT PART OF THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE SANTA CRUZ PIER AS IT RELATES TO USE BY SPORTSFISHERMEN.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

10. Coastal Angling Access Program, Project No. 1011 \$5,764.00
Ocean Park, Santa Barbara County

Mr. Nesbit informed the Board that this project was approved by them November 15, 1957, and \$16,898 allocated. Final plans were developed and the project was put out for bid by the County during 1958. The low bid at the time was \$33,535. It was not accepted. The County Engineer, the Department of Fish and Game Engineers and the Wildlife Conservation Board staff got together and scaled the design down to the minimum. The project was then readvertised by the County and bids were opened May 16, 1960. The lowest bid was for \$22,264.00.

The reason for this difference between the low bid and the cost estimate appears to be that the original estimate was too low. Also, the nearby military developments have caused construction costs to increase. Mr. Norman Caldwell, Santa Barbara County Director of Public Works, stated the problem in his letter of May 17, 1960.

"In retrospect it is obvious that our original estimate of the cost of this project, which was made two and one-half years ago was too low. However, during this period of time, the multi-million dollar construction project at Vandenberg Air Force Base has caused construction costs to sky rocket in the Lompoc area and the bulk of this construction has taken place since our original application on this project. This is the principal reason that we have been unable to secure a contract for the project within the funds originally estimated and allocated by the Wildlife Conservation Board."

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

Funds now available for construction total \$16,500. An additional \$5,764.00 will be required to cover the cost of this project. The County has proceeded with this favorable bid and requests the Board to allocate the necessary \$5,764.00 to fully carry out the project as approved.

Mr. Nesbit recommended that \$5,764.00 be allocated to the Department of Fish and Game from the Wildlife Restoration Fund so that Santa Barbara County may be reimbursed for the development as approved by the Wildlife Conservation Board.

Mr. Norman Caldwell, Director of Public Works, Santa Barbara County, was called upon to explain the county's request for the additional funds and he reiterated that construction costs have skyrocketed since the original estimates for the project were made and that the county felt it could not get a more favorable bid by rebidding. He advised that Santa Barbara County has requested cancellation of the project at Cachuma Lake for which \$21,407 had previously been allocated by the Board. He felt that with the closure of portions of the coastline acquired by the Air Force and Navy, Ocean Park access is now more justified than before.

Senator Johnson commented that in the future we should discourage agencies from awarding any kind of bid and then coming back for more funds to cover the deficit.

The Coordinator stated that the county had been advised the Wildlife Conservation Board might not allocate the additional funds to cover the bid.

Assemblyman Lowrey complimented Santa Barbara County's willingness to assume complete responsibility for the Cachuma Lake project.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY SENATOR BEARD, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE AN ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION OF \$5,764.00 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR THE COASTAL ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1011, OCEAN PARK, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT AS PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD ALLOCATE \$5,764.00 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR THE COASTAL ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1011, OCEAN PARK, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, TO SUPPLEMENT THE \$16,500 PRESENTLY AVAILABLE AND TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT AS AUTHORIZED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

11. Land Transfer to U. S. Coast Guard

Mr. Nesbit explained that the Department of Fish and Game owns in fee certain properties bordering on Lake Tahoe. The particular property was acquired by the Wildlife Conservation Board in 1951 for the purpose of expanding the Tahoe Hatchery. A subsequent study of hatcheries in the State indicated Tahoe Hatchery should be abandoned and that its trout production be provided by a more economical-to-operate hatchery. This was done. Therefore, the property or land in question will not be used for the purpose for which it was purchased. It has, however, been proposed that the property be retained in State ownership to serve as public access to Lake Tahoe. Future plans may include provision for parking and boat launching facilities.

The U. S. Coast Guard has indicated an interest in establishing a station on a portion of this property. The station is to consist of a pier, access road and housing facilities. They would need approximately 2 acres of the 11½ acre parcel purchased by the Wildlife Conservation Board with 150 feet of the 300 feet of frontage. Development funds are available to commence the Coast Guard station, but no land is otherwise available for acquisition. The proposal to the Department of Fish and Game is that the State deed the needed 2 acre parcel with a reverter clause and the Coast Guard will in return provide certain advantages to the Department of Fish and Game and the sportsmen who use Lake Tahoe.

Copies of a letter from Rear Admiral I. E. Eskridge were supplied to all Board members. It reads in part, "Among some of these specific benefits [of a Coast Guard Station on this site] are:

- "a. The provision of moorings and logistic support for State vessels.
- "b. A unified radio communication 'tie in' throughout the Lake Tahoe area.
- "c. Providing water transportation for making wildlife surveys, conducting research, and enforcing laws.
- "d. Providing temporary quarters for State personnel on official duties during the off season.
- "e. 'Keeping an eye' on the State property adjacent to the Coast Guard moorings.

"f. General assistance, upon request, to the State and any political subdivision, to perform any activity for which Coast Guard personnel and facilities are especially qualified.

"You understand, of course, that the Coast Guard's eagerness to cooperate will necessarily be tempered by demands upon it for performing its primary missions of search and rescue and enforcement of safe boating practices on Lake Tahoe."

The Department of Finance Administrative Officer has advised that legal provisions exist for such transfer of land as proposed.

Future development of a public fishing access adjacent to the Coast Guard site has been considered. Preliminary plans have been prepared by the Department of Fish and Game and the land remaining is adequate to provide a sizable access development. In addition, the access road built by the government would also provide access to the fishing access area when developed.

The Department of Fish and Game recommends the Board approve this proposed transfer of land for the stated purpose. The Department feels the Coast Guard development will greatly facilitate the Department's patrol, management and research programs in the Lake Tahoe area.

Mr. Nesbit recommended that the Wildlife Conservation Board give favorable consideration to this request, and stated that Captains Marion Amos and John B. Oren of the U. S. Coast Guard were in attendance at the meeting and would be able to answer any questions that might come up.

Assemblyman Lowrey stated that he would be opposed to the Coast Guard's having total jurisdiction over the area and that in the negotiations, this must be made clear.

Captain Marion Amos advised that there would be no problem in this respect, and that such wording could be included in the agreement. The main objective is to enable the Coast Guard to legally own a piece of property upon which to build a station.

It was generally agreed that a policing agency such as the Coast Guard was needed at the Lake; that the specific benefits as listed in Admiral Eskridge's letter would be of great value to the Department of Fish and Game and the sportsmen of California; also that the access road would open up this area should an access project be desired at this location, and that the road right-of-way to the property should be specified for public usage.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE TRANSFER OF APPROXIMATELY 2 ACRES OF LAND PLUS AN ADEQUATE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY THERETO TO THE U. S. COAST GUARD FOR A STATION FROM THAT 11½ ACRE PARCEL PURCHASED BY THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD FOR

THE TAHOE HATCHERY EXPANSION AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PREPARE A GRANT DEED WITH A REVERTER CLAUSE. THE ACCESS ROAD TO BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE COAST GUARD IS TO BE SPECIFIED FOR PUBLIC USAGE.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MUGFORD, SECONDED BY MR. SHANNON, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD TRANSFER BY GRANT DEED WITH A REVERTER CLAUSE APPROXIMATELY 2 ACRES PLUS A DEEDED ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY TO THE U. S. COAST GUARD FROM THAT PARCEL OF LAND PURCHASED BY THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD FOR THE TAHOE HATCHERY EXPANSION. THE ACCESS ROAD TO BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE COAST GUARD IS TO BE SPECIFIED FOR PUBLIC USAGE.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. George Difani asked the staff of the Board to make plans for the balance of the Tahoe Hatchery property as an access area and work with Placer County, if necessary, to start the project.

It was brought out that plans have been made for an access project, but that the fishery in the lake was such that it did not warrant consideration at this time. However, the Department of Fish and Game is to initiate a fisheries research program to improve the fishery in the lake, and such access would be considered when and if the fishery has improved.

Senator Ronald G. Cameron, 7th District, complimented the Board on the action just taken. Mr. Fred Schultz of the Lake Tahoe Area Council was introduced.

12. Inland Angling Access Program, Project No. 1013 \$13,000.00
Jorgenson Access - Glenn County

Mr. Nesbit prefaced his presentation to the Board with a comment on the policy of the Board which dictates the selection of a name for projects. Since this particular property was given to the County by Mr. Jorgenson, this site near Shannon Slough, would hereafter be referred to as the Jorgenson site.

The Glenn County Board of Supervisors and various sportsmen have proposed this site for access to the Sacramento River. It is located 10 miles south of Hamilton City and 21 miles east of Willows. It is on a stretch of the river where very little public access is now available. Fishing consists of salmon and steelhead with some striped bass during the spring.

The land was gift deeded to the County of Glenn and would be made available to the State with a free lease. The County has also agreed to provide free access to the public and to maintain the facilities.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

Preliminary plans and cost estimates have been prepared by Glenn County engineers and reviewed by the Engineering Section in the Department of Fish and Game. The facilities proposed and the estimates are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| 1. Road and parking area | |
| 2 course seal coat | \$ 2,500 |
| 2. Concrete launching ramp | 3,500 |
| 3. Two chemical toilets | 700 |
| 4. Fishing float and ramp | 800 |
| 5. Well and hand pump | 1,000 |
| 6. Access road, seal coat | 2,100 |
| 7. Fencing of project site | 1,500 |
| 8. Contingencies, signs, etc. | <u>900</u> |

TOTAL \$13,000

If approved, Glenn County would do the construction under Section 1350 of the Fish and Game Code on a reimbursement basis. The Department of Fish and Game recommended this project.

Mr. Nesbit recommended the Board approve this inland angling access site in Glenn County and allocate \$13,000 for its development.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE INLAND ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1013, JORGENSEN ACCESS, GLENN COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$13,000 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR THIS PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO EXECUTE THE NECESSARY LEASE, OPERATING AND CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENTS.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE INLAND ANGLING ACCESS PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 1013, JORGENSEN ACCESS, GLENN COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$13,000 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR THIS PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO EXECUTE THE NECESSARY LEASE, OPERATING AGREEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. George Lewis, Supervisor, Glenn County, thanked the Board for the action just taken and invited the members to go fishing in the Sacramento River when the construction is finished.

13. Lower Butte Creek Waterfowl Management Area, Project No. 548
Butte County

Mr. Nesbit reported that the Department of Fish and Game had requested the Lower Butte Creek Waterfowl Management Area project be put over to another meeting. He advised that the Department has been able to work out an agreement generally satisfactory to the principal parties, but there are legal questions involved which must be resolved before it can be presented for Board consideration.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE LOWER BUTTE CREEK WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT AREA, PROJECT NO. 548, BE PUT OVER TO ANOTHER MEETING WHEN A FULLY EXECUTED AGREEMENT FOR DRAINAGE OF WATER HAS BEEN SECURED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Ernest Hatch of Gridley and a member of the Sacramento Valley Waterfowl Management Advisory Committee, questioned the construction that has been undertaken at Gray Lodge. It was his opinion that the agreements to be worked out are in contradiction with reclamation and drainage laws.

The Coordinator was instructed to have the agreement which provided for drainage rights at the area reviewed by legal counsel and that legal counsel should be made available at the meeting at which this agreement is considered. The Chairman also instructed the Coordinator to supply fully executed copies of the agreement to each member before the meeting.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE LOWER BUTTE CREEK WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT AREA, PROJECT NO. 548, BE PUT OVER TO ANOTHER MEETING WHEN A FULLY EXECUTED AGREEMENT FOR DRAINAGE OF WATER HAS BEEN SECURED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

14. Nacimiento Reservoir Public Fishing Area, Proj. No. 134 - Change in Scope

The original project plans called for the construction of one permanent sanitary facility for an estimated cost of \$6,600. To supply water to this facility, the Monterey County Flood Control and Water Conservation District attempted to drill a well near the proposed site. However, the well failed to produce as expected. Mr. Nesbit and Mr. Loran Bunte, Jr., District Engineer, both requested the Board's authorization to amend the contract by deleting the permanent sanitary facility. The Board was advised that the District is now providing, at its own cost, chemical toilets in the area.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY SENATOR BEARD, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE CHANGE IN SCOPE OF THE PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED NACIMIENTO RESERVOIR PUBLIC FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 134, AS SET FORTH IN THE MINUTES OF THIS MEETING, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS CHANGE IN SCOPE OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHIN THE ORIGINAL ALLOCATION.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE CHANGE IN SCOPE OF THE PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED NACIMIENTO RESERVOIR PUBLIC FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 134, AS SET FORTH IN THE MINUTES OF THIS MEETING, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS CHANGE IN SCOPE OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHIN THE ORIGINAL ALLOCATION.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

15. Inland Angling Access Program, Project No. 1013 \$20,700.00
Star Bend Site, Yuba County

At the request of the Olivehurst sportsmen and the County of Yuba, the Board on April 30, 1958, authorized acquisition of property for a public fishing access project at Star Bend on the Feather River and allocated \$4,920 for that purpose. The area is now being purchased and consists of 9 acres. It is located on the east side of the Feather River between Marysville and Rio Oso. This reach of the river is quite heavily utilized for fishing and is good for striped bass, salmon, and warmwater species.

Acquisition of the project site was delayed by necessary legal proceedings to obtain clear title to some accreted land, but is now being completed.

Development plans and preliminary cost estimates have been made by the staff and the Department of Fish and Game. Legal and engineering costs have been above average for this acquisition because of the confused ownership of the accreted land. These costs include surveys and topographic mapping by the Division of Architecture. To date, there has been expended or obligated approximately \$1,900 for these purposes. This is included as a separate cost item. Final plans will be completed after the Division of Architecture completes its topographic map.

Cost estimates are as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Access road, grading and gravel | \$ 5,500 |
| Parking area, grade and gravel | 6,200 |
| Boat launching ramp, concrete | 4,800 |
| Sanitary facilities (2) | 600 |
| Subtotal . . . | \$17,100 |

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

| | |
|--|----------|
| Subtotal | \$17,100 |
| Contingencies, including signs, 10% . . | 1,700 |
| Acquisition and survey costs already obligated | 1,900 |
| TOTAL | \$20,700 |

The Coordinator advised that the Department of Fish and Game recommends this project, and that the County of Yuba has expressed willingness to operate and maintain the project if constructed. He recommended that the Wildlife Conservation Board give favorable consideration to this request.

Senator Johnson commented on the great need for access in this section because of the increase in the Beale Air Force Base personnel.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, SECONDED BY SENATOR BEARD, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STAR BEND ANGLING ACCESS, PROJECT NO. 1013, IN YUBA COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$20,700 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR CONSTRUCTION AND COSTS OF SURVEYS, ETC., IN CONNECTION WITH ACQUISITION; AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE NECESSARY OPERATING AND CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENTS.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STAR BEND ANGLING ACCESS, PROJECT NO. 1013, YUBA COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$20,700 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND FOR CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION COSTS; AND AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE NECESSARY OPERATING AND CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENTS.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

16. Berkeley Pier

Mr. Nesbit gave a background of the present request by the City of Berkeley for a feasibility study on the further extension of the Berkeley Pier. Mr. James Fales informed the Board members that in 1959, after rehabilitation of the first 2,000 feet of the Berkeley Pier, there have been 150,000 visitors to the pier. The usage since has been much greater due to the improving Bay fishery. He requested the Board staff be given authorization to study the feasibility of extending the rehabilitation project on the pier to provide more fishing space.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR BEARD, SECONDED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD HEREBY AUTHORIZE THE STAFF TO MAKE A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF THE EXTENSION OF THE BERKELEY PIER REHABILITATION.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

In answer to Mr. Mugford's question as to city and state responsibility relative to these piers, Mr. Nesbit stated that this determination has been of great concern to him. He advised that a number of requests for construction of piers had been made of the Board. Mr. Elser instructed Mr. Nesbit to send to Board members a background of Board participation in pier projects and his thoughts regarding guide lines for Board participation.

17. Recovery of Funds

Mr. Nesbit advised that Santa Barbara County has requested cancellation of the Cachuma Lake Public Fishing Area, Project No. 132, and recommended that the sum of \$21,407 be recovered. Mr. Norman Caldwell stated that the picture has changed since the project was first approved and the Board of Supervisors now feel that it would be advisable to relinquish the allocation.

Mr. Nesbit recommended the Sidds Landing Angling Access Project also be cancelled. It was not possible to complete this project because of road and levee relocation. Some funds were expended for surveys and title search and \$17,531.86 now remains to be recovered.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, SECONDED BY SENATOR BROWN, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AUTHORIZE THE CANCELLATION OF CACHUMA LAKE PUBLIC FISHING AREA, PROJECT NO. 132, AND SIDDS LANDING ANGLING ACCESS PROJECT, PROJECT NO. 1013, AND RECOVER THE UNEXPENDED BALANCES.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CANCEL THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS AND RECOVER THE UNEXPENDED BALANCES.

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>Project</u> | <u>Amount to be Recovered</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 132 | Cachuma Lake Public Fishing Area | \$21,407.00 |
| *1013 | Sidds Landing Angling Access | 17,531.86 |

*Project No. 1013, Inland Angling Access Program, to remain open. The above access site cancelled.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

18. Inland Angling Access Program, Project No. 1013 - Change in Scope
San Joaquin River Fishing Access Area (Lost Lake) - Fresno County

Mr. Nesbit recommended that Fresno County's request to delete one sanitary facility be approved.

Mr. E. N. Mobley, Fresno County Administrative Officer, stated that initial plans called for two flush-type sanitary facilities. Although the job was put out for bid twice, the bids received for construction of the facilities were too high. To complete the job within the allocation, it would be desirable, Mr. Mobley, explained, to delete one of the two permanent type sanitary facilities. Originally the two were to be built with prison labor, but this proved impractical so one of a more adequate design is planned for construction. The change in scope requested is that one flush type unit be deleted from the existing contract.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE CHANGE IN SCOPE OF THE PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED SAN JOAQUIN RIVER FISHING ACCESS AREA (LOST LAKE), PROJECT NO. 1013, AS SET FORTH IN THE MINUTES OF THIS MEETING.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY MR. MUGFORD, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE CHANGE IN SCOPE OF THE PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED SAN JOAQUIN RIVER FISHING ACCESS AREA (LOST LAKE), PROJECT NO. 1013, AS SET FORTH IN THE MINUTES OF THIS MEETING.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

19. Shelter Cove - Humboldt County

Assemblyman Belotti advised that a group of people headed by the Garberville Chamber of Commerce have requested the Board give consideration to a coastal angling access area and launching ramp at Shelter Cove if it would not interfere with an eventual development as a harbor of refuge by the Small Craft Harbor Commission. He felt this project would qualify for consideration and asked that the Coordinator be authorized to look at it, make a study of it, and report back as to its feasibility.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR JOHNSON, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AUTHORIZE THE STAFF TO MAKE A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF AN ACCESS PROJECT AT SHELTER COVE AND REPORT BACK AT A SUBSEQUENT MEETING.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board
June 17, 1960

20. Fish Lake - Humboldt County

Assemblyman Belotti advised the Board that Fish Lake in Humboldt County which now embraces 5 acres could be more than tripled in size with the expending of a few thousand dollars for construction of a dam. He stated that the local Department of Fish and Game personnel have taken a look at it with the Supervisor of Six Rivers National Forest.

Mr. Nesbit commented that some preliminary work has been done by his staff. A current survey shows Fish Lake to cover 15 acres. Additional clearing and improvement of the existing small dam could increase the area to 28 acres. Construction was recommended as an inmate labor program. He felt the project appears to have quite a bit of merit and that further negotiations with the Division of Forestry would be made.

Discussion followed concerning the programs of the Board and the Small Craft Harbor Commission. Mr. Nesbit informed the Board that he has not observed any duplication of effort in connection with these programs, but emphasized cooperation between staffs should be continued in the same manner as it is with other state agencies such as Beaches and Parks and Division of Forestry. Assemblyman Lowrey suggested it might be beneficial to have the Board and Commission members meet jointly and discuss programs.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN LOWREY, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD MEET WITH THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION WITHIN THE NEAR FUTURE TO DISCUSS THE PROGRAMS OF THE TWO AGENCIES.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

In response to Assemblyman Belotti's request, the Chairman instructed the Coordinator to work with the Small Craft Harbor Commission to arrange a joint meeting in Eureka.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

Status of Funds. The amount allocated to specific projects as of the close of the meeting on June 17, 1960, aggregated \$15,202,472.30.

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| a. Fish Hatchery and Stocking Projects | \$ 4,279,253.11 |
| b. Warmwater and Other Fish Projects | 2,735,176.56 |
| 1. Warmwater Projects | \$2,239,151.81 |
| 2. Other Fish Projects | 496,024.75 |
| c. Flow Maintenance and Stream Improvement Projects | 582,890.87 |
| d. Screen and Ladder Projects | 283,579.31 |
| e. State Game Farms | 105,644.49 |
| f. Other Upland Game Projects | 416,530.84 |
| g. Waterfowl Management Projects | 5,559,971.84 |
| h. General Projects | 1,214,425.28 |
| 1. Coastal Angling Access Projects | 380,871.48 |
| 2. Inland Angling Access Projects | 639,130.19 |
| 3. Hunting Access Projects | 28,000.00 |
| 4. Other General Projects | 166,423.61 |
| Total Allocated to Specific Projects | <u>\$15,177,472.30</u> |

Special Project Allocations:

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| Project Evaluation, Property Acquisition and Engineering Studies | 25,000.00 |
| Total Allocated | <u>\$15,202,472.30</u> |

In addition to the specific allocations above, the following reserves have been established:

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| 1. Colorado River Recreational Development | \$ 23,219.30 |
| 2. Lake Tahoe Access Development | 30,000.00 |
| 3. Upper American River Development | 100,000.00 |
| Total Reserves Established | <u>\$ 153,219.30</u> |

Operating Costs:

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| FY 47/48 thru 58/59 - Actual | \$540,903.54 |
| FY 59/60 - Estimated | 80,334.00 |
| Total Actual and Estimated Operating Costs | <u>621,237.54</u> |

Recapitulation:

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| Allocations for Projects | \$15,177,472.30 |
| Special Project Allocations | 25,000.00 |
| Reserves Established | 153,219.30 |
| Expenses of Operation | 621,237.54 |
| Total Expended or Obligated | <u>\$15,976,929.14</u> |
| Total Funds Appropriated | \$15,000,000.00 |
| Appropriation Available thru 59/60 FY | 750,000.00 |
| Interest on Surplus Money Inv. thru 58/59 FY | 365,301.14 |
| Int. on Surplus Money Inv. 59/60 FY | 36,843.87 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue thru 58/59 FY | 30,265.10 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue 59/60 FY | 502.50 |
| Total Sum Available | <u>\$16,182,912.61</u> |
| Total Expended or Obligated | <u>15,976,929.14</u> |
| Available thru June 30, 1960 | <u>205,983.47</u> |