

State of California  
The Resources Agency  
Department of Fish and Game  
WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD

Minutes, Meeting of August 25, 1966

C O N T E N T S

<u>Item No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1. Roll Call . . . . .	1 - 3
2. Approval of Minutes . . . . .	2
3. Status of Funds . . . . .	3 - 4
4. Recovery of Funds	
a. Accelerated Public Works Projects . . . . .	4 - 8
b. Regular Projects . . . . .	8 - 10
5. Status of Projects under State, Beach, Park, Recreational, and Historical Facilities Bond Act Fund . . . . .	10 - 13
6. San Simeon Fishing Pier . . . . .	13 - 15
7. Heeser Drive Coastal Angling Access Enlargement . . . . .	15 - 17
8. Pittsburg Public Fishing Access . . . . .	17 - 18
9. Moccasin Creek Hatchery Expansion (Lake Tahoe Kokanee Program	18 - 20
10. Bear River Fishing Access . . . . .	20 - 22
11. San Pedro Fishing Pier . . . . .	22 - 24
12. Anderson (Sacramento River) Fishing Access . . . . .	24 - 27
13. American River Fishing Access . . . . .	27 - 29
14. Mendota Pool Fishing Access . . . . .	29 - 31
15. San Francisco Bay Fishing Access . . . . .	31
16. Land and Water Conservation Fund Program . . . . .	31 - 32
Status of Funds (Wildlife Restoration Fund) . . . . .	34
Status of Funds (Park Bond Fund) . . . . .	35

State of California  
The Resources Agency  
Department of Fish and Game  
**WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD**

Minutes, Meeting of August 25, 1966

Pursuant to the call of the Chairman, the Wildlife Conservation Board met in the Main Floor Auditorium of the Resources Building, Sacramento, California, on August 25, 1966. The meeting was called to order by Chairman William P. Elser at 1:40 p.m.

**1. Roll Call**

**PRESENT:** William P. Elser  
W. T. Shannon

Chairman  
Member

Senator Aaron W. Quick  
Assemblyman Frank P. Belotti  
Raymond J. Nesbit  
Chester M. Hart  
John Wentzel  
Alma Koyasako  
June Fisher

Joint Interim Committee  
" "  
Executive Officer  
Assistant Executive Officer  
Field Agent  
Secretary  
Account Clerk

**ABSENT:** John Sheehan  
Senator John C. Begovich  
Senator Robert J. Lagomarsino  
Assemblywoman Pauline L. Davis  
Assemblyman Wm. E. Dannemeyer

Member  
Joint Interim Committee  
" "  
" "  
" "

**OTHERS PRESENT:**

LeRoy O. Sherwin  
Richard A. Drahn  
Arnold S. Rummelsburg  
J. Sebastian  
Sam Borradori  
Henry F. Keefer  
John Perez  
A. F. Withee  
Rudi Lenser  
Merlin R. McGinnis  
R. W. Marsden  
W. R. Hutchison  
Dr. C. A. Roderick  
Richard G. Cook  
Virgil L. Covington  
John H. Sharrah  
Mrs. Leonard Webber  
Mrs. Marshall Rigdon  
Mrs. Mae Main  
Mrs. Anita M. Beebe  
Mrs. Gerry Hillis

San Luis Obispo County  
" "  
Shasta County  
San Luis Obispo County  
Supervisor, San Luis Obispo County  
Supervisor, Shasta County  
Supervisor, Shasta County  
Mayor of Anderson  
Vice Mayor of Anderson  
Chairman, Recreation Council  
Anderson Chamber of Commerce  
Anderson Rotary Club  
Veterans of Foreign Wars  
Councilman, Anderson  
Gen. Mgr., Economic Develop. Corp.  
City of Anderson  
Newcomers Alumni Club  
Anderson Valley Garden Club  
Camellia Native Daughters, Anderson  
Soroptimist Club of Anderson  
Anderson Newcomers Club

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

Mrs. Ellery W. Eberspecher  
Mr. Martin Rotto  
Leonard Schneider  
D. H. Lowe  
Charles Risk  
Elmer O. Williams  
C. C. Roumage  
Will Jones  
H. N. Bishop  
Frank J. Paoli  
William M. McCall  
Grant Mainland  
Wm. Frederickson, Jr.  
Leonard Fisk  
R. Macklin  
James Leiby  
H. R. Victor  
Dave Dresbach  
Joan S. Brackmann  
Neil C. Hansen  
Joseph H. Billeu  
Sal Calone  
John R. Morgan  
Richard E. Goodwin  
Leslie F. Edgerton  
Al Rutsch  
Harold A. Meserve  
Alan K. Kolster  
Alfred A. Affinito  
E. C. Marriner  
Wayne Montgomery  
W. E. Buchanan  
Joe J. Aiello  
Rose Mary Aliotti  
King Talles  
Oren Oligren  
W. E. Moser  
Del Bolt  
Gilbert R. Phelps  
Charles E. Deceitis  
Bill Bond  
Vern W. McKinley  
Herbert White  
Robert T. Durbrow  
Eben Tisdale  
John Bonanno  
George A. Pettygrove  
Annie Pettygrove  
Mr. & Mrs. Vincent D'Seeno  
Kathryn D'Seeno  
Gerald Belleci  
Vincent J. Lucido  
H. Holland  
Angelo Cobiseno

Anderson Women's Improvement Club  
Anderson Lions Club  
L.A. Harbor Department  
Rotary Club of Anderson  
Rotary Club of Anderson  
Placer Co. Fish & Game Comm.  
Placer Co. Recreation Comm.  
Supervisor, Placer County  
Assist. County Executive Officer  
Supervisor, Placer County  
Councilman, Alameda  
City of Alameda  
City of Los Angeles  
Dept. of Fish and Game  
" " "  
" " "  
Pres. San Simeon Chamber of Comm.  
State Div. of Soil Conservation  
Sierra Club, Mother Lode Chapter  
Calif. Fly Fishermen Unlimited  
Councilman, Pittsburg  
Councilman, Pittsburg  
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation  
Councilman, Pittsburg  
Fish & Game Commission  
Dept. of Fish and Game  
Fresno County  
Div. of Beaches and Parks  
Mayor of Pittsburg  
City Manager, Pittsburg  
Pittsburg Chamber of Commerce  
Pittsburg Chamber of Commerce  
Pittsburg Downtown Association  
Women's Div. Chamber of Commerce  
Pittsburg Merchants Association  
Chamber of Commerce, Pittsburg  
Pittsburg  
" " "  
The Post-Dispatch  
Pittsburg  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
Irrigation Districts Assn.  
" " "  
Pittsburg  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

Jim Cavanaugh	Pittsburg
C. A. Brown	"
Robt. D. Montgomery	Dept. of Fish and Game
G. L. Carter	Pittsburg
Sal Seeno	"
Eugene Gualco	Supervisor, Sacramento County
Wm. B. Pond	Sacramento County
Henry Lawson	Placer County
David L. Stanley	Sacramento County
Harold Bissell	Dept. of Fish and Game
Reg. Lucking	Pittsburg
Edwin Stilwell	"
Ray R. Butler	Alameda County

2. Approval of Minutes

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY MR. SHANNON, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE MINUTES OF THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD MEETING OF APRIL 15, 1966, BE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

3. Status of Funds

The amount allocated to projects from the Wildlife Restoration Fund as of the close of the meeting on April 15, 1966, aggregated \$20,029,481.32\*.

a. Fish Hatchery and Stocking Projects . . . . .	\$4,509,499.31
b. Fish Habitat Development and Improvement Projects . . . . .	2,924,263.22
1. Reservoir Construction or Improvement . . . . .	1,681,131.01
2. Stream Clearance and Improvement . . . . .	208,170.98
3. Stream Flow Maintenance Dams . . . . .	439,503.32
4. Marine Habitat . . . . .	84,136.52
5. Fish Screens, Ladders & Weir Projects . . . . .	511,321.39
c. Angling Access Projects . . . . .	5,735,243.22
1. Coastal Access . . . . .	706,718.06
2. River, Stream and Bay Access . . . . .	1,374,328.81
3. Lake, Reservoir and Salton Sea Access . . . . .	1,836,530.90
4. Piers . . . . .	1,817,665.45
d. Game Farm Projects . . . . .	146,894.49
e. Game Habitat Development and Improvement Projects . . . . .	6,024,196.32
1. Waterfowl Areas . . . . .	5,515,665.48
2. Other Wildlife Management Areas . . . . .	70,081.72
3. Miscellaneous Game Habitat Development . . . . .	438,449.12
f. Hunting Access . . . . .	478,753.75
g. Miscellaneous Projects . . . . .	238,297.08
s. Special Project Allocations . . . . .	58,500.00
Less reimbursement for five completed APW projects . . . . .	- 86,166.07
Total Allocated to Projects . . . . .	\$20,029,481.32

\*Includes reimbursement under Federal Accelerated Public Works Program. 50% of APW project costs reimburseable to the State (reimbursement cannot exceed \$334,095).



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

Operating Costs:

FY 47/48 thru 62/63 Actual . . . . .	\$830,842.55
FY 63/64 Estimated . . . . .	87,143.00
FY 64/65 Estimated . . . . .	91,100.00
FY 65/66 Estimated . . . . .	95,881.00
FY 66/67 Estimated . . . . .	96,562.00
Total Actual and Estimated Operating Costs . . . . .	\$1,201,528.55

Recapitulation:

Allocations for Projects . . . . .	\$20,029,481.32
Expenses of Operation . . . . .	1,201,528.55
Total Expended or Obligated . . . . .	21,231,009.87

Total Funds Appropriated . . . . .	\$20,250,000.00
Approp. made available 7/1/66 . . . . .	750,000.00
Int. on Surplus Money Inv. thru 6/30/66 . . . . .	895,540.74
Miscellaneous Revenue thru 65/66 FY . . . . .	158,159.77
Miscellaneous Revenue 66/67 FY . . . . .	150.00
Total Sum . . . . .	\$22,053,850.51
Total Expended or Obligated . . . . .	21,231,009.87
Available thru June 30, 1967 . . . . .	\$ 822,840.64

The Executive Officer, Mr. Ray Nesbit, advised the Board members that with the recovery of funds to be made today, and reimbursement to be secured from the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Program, the Board will have available \$738,304.89 if all projects on the agenda are approved.

4. Recovery of Funds

a. Accelerated Public Works Projects - Final Report and Recoveries

Mr. Nesbit presented a final report on the federal APW program and a recommendation for final recovery of reimbursed funds.

The Wildlife Conservation Board carried out 14 projects under this program which got under way in 1963 and has since been terminated. The APW program basically was to provide employment and a boost to the economy in depressed areas. Only certain counties were eligible. To qualify for the federal matching funds made available, projects had to meet a number of federal requirements. These included that they be construction or development projects which could be expedited to provide local employment in the immediate future, and that the State matching funds must not have been previously budgeted.

The WCB was the only state agency that was able to meet these requirements -- that had the flexibility to expedite projects with use of unbudgeted funds, with the exception of the Department of Fish and Game constructing a patrol boat under this program. This enabled the Board to obtain more than \$312,000 in federal funds to accelerate development of WCB's wildlife conservation and associated recreation projects, and at the same time assist in the APW objectives of providing local employment and a stimulus to the economy.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

At the March 21, 1963, meeting of the WCB, \$577,140 was allocated for 11 projects under the APW program. Four more projects totaling \$183,850 were approved at the August 8, 1963, meeting. Subsequently the Cock Robin Island Angling Access project was canceled at the request of Humboldt County, and the \$63,800 allocated was recovered. An additional \$23,000 was allocated by WCB on June 3, 1965, that was necessary to complete the Lower Sardine Lake Dam after no additional federal funds could be obtained.

In summary, the WCB allocated \$720,190 from the Wildlife Restoration Fund to carry out 14 projects, of which an estimated \$668,190 was eligible for 50% federal reimbursement under the APW program. The overall allocation included land acquisition and other non-eligible costs under the program.

Initial estimates exceeded actual costs, which were \$677,538.79 for the 14 projects. A total of \$312,859.57 was reimbursed by the federal government.

Five APW projects have been completed and closed previously, including two at the March 12, 1964, meeting, and three at the September 8, 1965, meeting, with recoveries and federal reimbursements totaling \$100,146.24.

The nine remaining APW projects were recommended for closure at this meeting, with recoveries and federal reimbursements of \$255,364.54.

For clarification Mr. Nesbit reviewed briefly the procedures that worked so efficiently and expeditiously for this program, for possible application under other federal grant programs that make funds available to WCB.

The Board approved projects, allocated the total costs from the Wildlife Restoration Fund, and authorized staff to submit the projects for appropriate reimbursement from the federal government. Upon approval of the projects under the APW program by the administering federal agencies, development proceeded without delay. Reimbursement for 50% of the eligible project costs was made by the federal government upon project completion. In all other respects the projects were carried out as normal WCB projects, with the usual review and approval of contracts and agreements by the Departments of Finance and General Services to ensure compliance with State laws, regulations and policies. This enabled the WCB to function with its normal procedures which have been developed and proven efficient over nearly 20 years of program operation, and at the same time to meet other state and federal requirements.

Allocation, expenditure and available recovery figures for the nine remaining APW projects are given below. Mr. Nesbit recommended that these project accounts be closed and \$255,364.54 recovered.

B1.10 Finnon Reservoir

Total allocation		\$35,000.00
Total expenditures	\$34,809.88	
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov.	-17,404.94	
WCB expenditures		17,404.94
Total recovery		\$17,595.06

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

<u>B1.11 Lower Sardine Lake</u>	
Total allocation	\$83,000.00
Total expenditures	\$82,982.63
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov.	<u>-30,000.00</u>
WCB expenditures	<u>52,982.63</u>
Total recovery	<u>\$30,017.37</u>

<u>B5.2 Hayfork Falls Fish Ladder</u>	
Total allocation	\$49,800.00
Total expenditures	\$44,444.56
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov.	<u>-22,222.28</u>
WCB expenditures	<u>22,222.28</u>
Total recovery	<u>\$27,577.72</u>

<u>B5.5 Caspar Creek Fish Counting Weir</u>	
Total allocation	\$50,000.00
Total expenditures	\$34,033.33
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov.	<u>-16,990.52</u>
WCB expenditures	<u>17,042.81</u> /
Total recovery	<u>\$32,957.19</u>

<u>C1.12 Luffenholtz Creek Beach Access</u>	
Total allocation	\$27,300.00
Total expenditures	\$26,927.56
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov.	<u>- 9,650.00</u>
WCB expenditures	<u>17,277.56**</u>
Total recovery	<u>\$10,022.44</u>

<u>C2.17 Smith River Angling Access</u>	
Total allocation	\$49,640.00
Total expenditures	\$47,168.37
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov.	<u>-17,488.00</u>
WCB expenditures	<u>29,680.37**</u>
Total recovery	<u>\$19,959.63</u>

<u>C2.19 Dos Reis Angling Access</u>	
Total allocation	\$44,000.00
Total expenditures	\$43,815.03
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov.	<u>-17,958.34</u>
WCB expenditures	<u>25,856.69**</u>
Total recovery	<u>\$18,143.31</u>

\* Additional \$23,000 allocated special meeting 6/3/65 to complete the project.

/ Includes misc. costs not reimbursable by Federal Government.

\*\* Includes land purchase, cost of which not reimbursable by Federal Government.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

F3.1 McCain Valley Hunting Access  
Total allocation \$97,000.00  
Total expenditures \$96,564.72  
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov. -48,106.48  
WCB expenditures 48,458.24  
Total recovery \$48,541.76

F5.1 Cow Mountain Hunting Access  
Total allocation \$97,700.00  
Total expenditures \$94,022.88  
Reimbursement - Fed. Gov. -46,872.94  
WCB expenditures 47,149.94  
Total recovery \$50,550.06

Total recovery all unclosed APW projects \$255,364.54

Recap on these projects

Total Allocation	Total Expenditures	Bal. not Expended	Total Reimb.	Total Recovery
\$533,440.00	\$504,768.96	\$28,671.04	\$226,693.50	\$255,364.54

\* Includes misc. costs not reimbursable by Federal Government.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY SENATOR QUICK, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CLOSE THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS AND RECOVER THE UNEXPENDED BALANCES AS FOLLOWS:

Finnon Reservoir	\$17,595.06
Lower Sardine Lake	30,017.37
Hayfork Falls Fish Ladder	27,577.72
Caspar Creek Fish Counting Weir	32,957.19
Luffenholtz Creek Beach Access	10,022.44
Smith River Angling Access	19,959.63
Dos Reis Angling Access	18,143.31
McCain Valley Hunting Access	48,541.76
Cow Mountain Hunting Access	50,550.06

ALL OF THE SUMS TOTALING \$255,364.54 ARE TO BE RESTORED TO THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.



IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CLOSE THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS AND RECOVER THE UNEXPENDED BALANCES AS FOLLOWS:

Finnon Reservoir	\$17,595.06
Lower Sardine Lake	30,017.37
Hayfork Falls Fish Ladder	27,577.72
Caspar Creek Fish Counting Weir	32,957.19
Luffenholtz Creek Beach Access	10,022.44
Smith River Angling Access	19,959.63
Dos Reis Angling Access	18,143.31
McCain Valley Hunting Access	48,541.76
Cow Mountain Hunting Access	50,550.06

ALL OF THE SUMS TOTALING \$255,364.54 ARE TO BE RESTORED TO THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

#### 4b. Recovery of Funds - Regular Projects

The following additional Wildlife Conservation Board projects have been completed. Mr. Nesbit recommended that the balance of funds totaling \$7,799.71 be recovered and returned to the Wildlife Restoration Fund, and the project accounts be closed.

##### Butte Creek Salmon Barrier

Total allocation	\$10,000.00
Expenditures	9,820.18
Balance for recovery	\$ 179.82

##### Chico Landing Angling Access

Total allocation	\$32,600.00
Expenditures	32,584.09
Balance for recovery	\$ 15.91

##### Cow Mountain Access Road

Total allocation	\$25,000.00
Expenditures	24,070.37
Balance for recovery	\$ 929.63

##### Hammerhorn Lake

Total allocation	\$16,100.00
Expenditures	16,067.79
Balance for recovery	\$ 32.21



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

Lake Mendocino Public Fishing Area

Total allocation	\$105,000.00
Expenditures	102,812.86
Balance for recovery	\$ 2,187.14

Los Angeles Public Fishing Pier

Total allocation	\$465,000.00
Expenditures	464,601.70
Balance for recovery	\$ 398.30

Putah Creek Access

Total allocation	\$55,200.00
Expenditures	52,226.68
Balance for recovery	\$ 2,973.32

Ramer Lake Public Fishing Area

Total allocation	\$64,608.50
Expenditures	64,401.28
Balance for recovery	\$ 207.22

Spenceville Wildlife Management Area

Total allocation	\$56,000.00
Expenditures	55,347.26
Balance for recovery	\$ 652.74

Ventura Marina Reef

Total allocation	\$12,000.00
Expenditures	11,776.58
Balance for recovery	\$ 223.42

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CLOSE THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS AND RECOVER THE UNEXPENDED BALANCES AS FOLLOWS:

Butte Creek Salmon Barrier	\$ 179.82
Chico Landing Angling Access	15.91
Cow Mountain Access Road	929.63
Hammerhorn Lake	32.21
Lake Mendocino Public Fishing Area	2,187.14
Los Angeles Public Fishing Pier	398.30
Putah Creek Access	2,973.32
Ramer Lake Public Fishing Area	207.22
Spenceville Wildlife Mgt. Area	652.74
Ventura Marina Reef	223.42

ALL OF THE SUMS TOTALING \$7,799.71 ARE TO BE RESTORED TO THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CLOSE THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS AND RECOVER THE UNEXPENDED BALANCES AS FOLLOWS:

Butte Creek Salmon Barrier	\$ 179.82
Chico Landing Angling Access	15.91
Cow Mountain Access Road	929.63
Hammerhorn Lake	32.21
Lake Mendocino Public Fishing Area	2,187.14
Los Angeles Public Fishing Pier	398.30
Putah Creek Access	2,973.32
Ramer Lake Public Fishing Area	207.22
Spenceville Wildlife Mgt. Area	652.74
Ventura Marina Reef	223.42

ALL OF THE SUMS TOTALING \$7,799.71 ARE TO BE RESTORED TO THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

5. Status of Projects Under State Beach, Park, Recreational, and Historical Facilities Bond Act Fund

Mr. Nesbit presented a brief review of the approved Bond Act projects and their current status.

The 1964 Bond Act made \$5,000,000 available for the WCB program. The Board on January 26, 1965, and December 13, 1965, approved programs under the Bond Act and authorized proceeding with several projects in accordance with provisions of the Act and established procedures.

I. Modernization, Consolidation and Automation of Hatcheries

American River Trout Hatchery, Sacramento County

The 1965 legislature approved \$120,000 for plans and working drawings, and the 1966 legislature \$910,300 for construction and initial equipment. The present estimated cost of \$1,030,300 for construction and equipment is \$169,700 less than the initial estimate of \$1,200,000.

The lease and water supply agreements with the Bureau of Reclamation have been executed.

Working drawings are being prepared by the Office of Architecture and Construction, and start of construction is scheduled for early in 1967.

Planned production is 6,000,000 fingerlings and 1,000,000 catchable rainbow trout annually for stocking in central Sierra and Coast Range waters.

Fillmore Trout Hatchery, Ventura County

WCB and legislative action in 1965 made \$145,000 available for land acquisition and \$50,000 for plans and working drawings. The 1966 legislature approved \$481,000 for construction and equipment.

Land acquisition has been delayed by title and various other problems but is nearing completion. Working drawings have been recently completed by Office of Architecture and Construction, and it is anticipated that construction should start late this year.

This project will double the production of the present hatchery to 200,000 pounds of catchable trout annually for planting in southern California waters.

San Joaquin Hatchery, Fresno County

Design and construction funds totaling \$100,000 were approved by the WCB and legislature in 1965. Architecture has prepared working drawings that are in the final review process. Construction should be started in 1966 and completed early next year.

This project is to provide broodstock facilities for the expanded trout program.

Mad River Anadromous Fish Hatchery, Humboldt County

The WCB allocated \$20,000 last year for test hatchery operations, and the 1966 legislature approved \$138,000 for plans and working drawings.

A permit for test hatchery operation has been obtained from the Simpson Timber Company, which also has indicated willingness to sell the proposed hatchery site to the State at fair market value if tests are successful and the hatchery is constructed.

The first test well has been drilled and test pumped. The test hatchery will be completed and operating in the fall of this year, using water from the first well.

The County of Humboldt has agreed to acquire right-of-way over private lands and construct a county road for access to the hatchery site upon construction. The county is providing temporary access for the test operations.

Upon successful completion of tests for adequate supply and quality of water, working drawings would be prepared by Architecture for facilities to produce one million yearling silver salmon and steelhead and five million king salmon fingerlings annually.

Total costs for the hatchery are estimated at \$1,750,000 to \$2,000,000. The type of water collection system necessary to develop an adequate water supply may vary the cost considerably.

This project is the State's No. 1 priority for federal matching funds under the Anadromous Fish Bill (P.L. 89-304) program, which has been funded by Congress to start in the 1966-67 fiscal year. To obtain commitments for such federal matching funds it will be necessary to proceed with testing and design as rapidly as possible, but these processes will require a minimum of one year.

Bishop Creek Hatchery, Inyo County

The WCB approved a \$10,000 test hatchery project on January 26, 1965, which has been successfully completed.

The WCB and the 1966 legislature approved \$81,500 for plans and working drawings to proceed with hatchery design. Negotiations are under way with the City of Los Angeles for a hatchery site and water supply. Upon successful completion of these negotiations, design of the hatchery by Office of Architecture and Construction will proceed.

This hatchery will be designed to produce 1 million catchables, 2 million fingerling trout, and 16 million eggs annually. Estimated costs are approximately \$1 million for construction.

II. Purchase of Key Lands for Access and Fish and Game Habitat

Tehama Winter Deer Range, Tehama County

Purchase of the 640 acre E. Z. Myers parcel has been completed for the fair market value of \$22,400, plus related costs of \$575.56.

Owners of the other parcel initially proposed for purchase have been unwilling to sell within the appraised value, and negotiations have been suspended.

Staff is conducting negotiations for possible alternate acquisition for Board consideration. A sum of \$64,630 remains available for such acquisition from the funds approved for such purchases by the 1965 legislature. Investigations are being made relative to other lands in the Tehama Winter Range.

Spenceville Wildlife Management Area, Yuba County

The purchase of 585 acres of surplus Beale AFB lands at half the fair market value has been completed, with total acquisition costs of \$40,394. This enlarges the state-owned and managed area to 11,218 acres.

Los Banos and Mendota Waterfowl Management Areas, Merced and Fresno Counties

Purchase of these two parcels of land totaling 647.87 acres at a cost of \$235,383 has been completed. The Jennings parcel added 440.07 acres to the Mendota WMA, and the Los Banos WMA was enlarged 207.80 acres by acquisition of the Terrill property. The Department of Fish and Game has assumed management of these lands by incorporation into the adjacent waterfowl management areas.



III. Construction of Artificial Reefs at Piers

The WCB and the 1965 legislature approved \$177,000 for construction of artificial reefs to improve fishing from seven public piers in southern California ocean waters, located from Oceanside in San Diego County on the south to the new WCB pier at Venice in Los Angeles County on the north.

To obtain a bid from a qualified contractor within available funding, it has been necessary to bid this project three times, which has caused a delay in construction. However, a satisfactory contract is now being processed, and construction of the reefs is scheduled for late summer and early fall of this year.

IV. Status of Bond Act Funds

For the projects reviewed above and related costs, WCB and legislative actions have allocated \$2,595,049. This leaves \$2,404,951 of the \$5,000,000 total available for future allocations.

Funds for construction of the Mad River and Bishop Creek hatcheries have not yet been appropriated. Present estimates of State funds that will be required are approximately \$1 million for each of these projects or about \$2 million total of the remaining \$2,404,951.

Mr. Nesbit advised that the staff considers the allocations already made are adequate to proceed with the approved projects at the desired rate for the next year; therefore, no recommendation for budget item submission would be made for 1967-68 other than for project assistance. In the absence of information relative to the necessary procedures to retain the stenographer position previously authorized, it was Mr. Nesbit's suggestion that the Board approve retention of this position.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY SENATOR QUICK, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD CONTINUE THE INTERMEDIATE STENOGRAPHER POSITION AND SUBMIT THIS ITEM IN THE BUDGET FOR PROJECT ASSISTANCE UNDER THE BOND ACT.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

6. San Simeon Fishing Pier - San Luis Obispo County \$60,000.00

In 1957, the County of San Luis Obispo built a small fishing pier at San Simeon. The structure is timber construction and is 450 feet long and 14 feet wide. Fishing has never been good because the pier extends only into the surf line. The structure is solid, however, and can be used as an approach to an enlarged pier.

After the WCB adopted the fishing pier policy in 1961, it aroused interest in San Luis Obispo County. On several occasions in the past, staff met with Senator Vernon Sturgeon, then a WCB member, and with County officials to look at the existing pier and to discuss the WCB policy as it might relate to extension of this pier.



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

On May 24, 1966, WCB staff met with County Supervisor Chairman Fred Kimball; Supervisor Sam Borradori; several other County officials; and various interested local persons and organizations, including the San Simeon area Chamber of Commerce. At this meeting a memo of understanding was worked out. This was finalized by County Resolution #36 dated June 20, 1966. The County has agreed to participate under the WCB matching fund policy on fishing piers and will provide one-half the cost of the pier extension. In addition the County will keep the pier open and free to the public.

The proposal is to extend the pier into prime fishing water of approximately 21 feet in depth at low tide. The main stem of the pier will be 14' in width and extend approximately 400' seaward for a total length of approximately 850 feet. It will have a 45' x 27' terminus which will be the area of major fishing use and will also support the boat landing area which will be used to pick up fishermen who will boat fish from the sports boats in the area.

WCB fishing piers have been an outstanding success and this one is expected to get high usage. It is located in good fishing waters in San Simeon Bay and will be within a few hundred yards from the headquarters to the Hearst Castle State Monument where 443,000 persons visited last year.

The Department of Fish and Game rates the fishery as good with flatfish, perch, rock fish, and mackeral being the most common species.

The breakdown of costs and work to be done by each agency are as follows:

Pier extension, timber, 14'x400' with passenger	
loading facilities at end on 47'x25' terminus	\$100,000
Restroom, utilities, lighting, etc.	20,000
Total	\$120,000
One-half by State	\$60,000

In addition, County has agreed to provide fire protection on pier, life rings, fish cleaning tables, and additional parking.

Mr. Nesbit recommended this project be approved, that \$60,000 be allocated, and that the staff and Department be authorized to proceed with this cooperative project with the County of San Luis Obispo substantially as planned. He advised that quite a delegation from the County of San Luis Obispo were in attendance to support this proposal.

Mr. Elser asked what the projected use of the pier would be and who would use the pier. He was informed by Mr. Nesbit that a good number of the 443,000 visitors to Hearst Castle would be using this facility. Both Senator Quick and Assemblyman Belotti reported a lot of traffic in that area, and they felt this enlargement would be an added attraction for those visiting Hearst Castle and an asset for the people who use the pier.

In answer to Mr. Elser's question, Supervisor Sam Borradori of San Luis Obispo County advised that San Simeon is located 9 miles from Cambria and 43 miles from San Luis Obispo. There is a new state highway in the process of being constructed which will connect Paso Robles with Cambria, San Simeon and Hearst Castle. This would be built to expedite traffic to and from the Castle. There is much traffic to this area from Highway 1 and from 101. The people generally camp at San Simeon county park to visit the Castle, take abalone and to pier fish. There is a lack of recreational facilities and things to do while waiting for the bus to take the visitors to the Castle and the fishing pier will fill a great need. The Board of Supervisors has budgeted the county's share of the matching funds for this worthwhile project, Supervisor Borradori stated. It was his hope that funds could be provided at this time so that the county could proceed with construction next spring. He introduced those people from San Luis Obispo County who were in attendance at the meeting.

Senator Quick called attention to the fact that construction of the road from Paso Robles to the coast would open traffic to all of southern San Joaquin valley area and use would increase.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE SAN SIMEON FISHING PIER, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$60,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND, WHICH SUM IS TO BE MATCHED BY THE COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO, TO PROVIDE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PIER AND APPURTENANT FACILITIES; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE SAN SIMEON FISHING PIER, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$60,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND, WHICH SUM IS TO BE MATCHED BY THE COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO, TO PROVIDE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PIER AND APPURTENANT FACILITIES; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Supervisor Borradori thanked the Board for the action taken and invited the Board members to fish from the pier upon completion.

7. Heeser Drive Coastal Angling Access Enlargement - Mendocino Co. \$15,700

Mr. Nesbit reviewed that just over six years ago the Wildlife Conservation Board completed a coastal access project adjacent to the town of Mendocino in Mendocino County. It consists of a 1.4 miles of road which provides access to 1½ miles of coastline. Other facilities developed are restrooms and walkways to the beach for fishermen.

The project received year around use which is increasing annually. Maintenance is by the County of Mendocino.

A parcel of land adjoining the State project was recently purchased for subdivision. Development would exclude the public from a very popular ocean frontage known as the Natural Bridge. The new owner has agreed to sell this frontage to the State at fair market value. It consists of approximately 600' of ocean frontage with access to many nooks and coves where fishing is quite good. This parcel was not available for purchase at the time the original project was planned, but this 600' of frontage is widely used by fishermen at present. This use is, of course, trespass and, if not acquired, will be shut off as the area is subdivided. The total area to be purchased consists of two acres of which 1.1 acres is flat upland which would be available for road and parking area development.

The staff has taken an option to purchase this key parcel of ocean frontage at what was believed to be fair market value. An appraisal which was recently completed by the State Property Acquisition Service indicated the option price is within the fair market value.

This addition would become an integral part of the main Heeser Drive area. Development will consist of construction of approximately 500' of access road with adequate parking area and walkways to the beach. In addition, some fencing and signs will be necessary to mark the boundary of the State area.

The Mendocino County Board of Supervisors has strongly urged us to purchase this property to save this popular coast frontage for public use.

The following is a cost breakdown of land acquisition and development:

Option price	\$12,650
Appraisals, surveys, title costs, etc.	550
Roads and parking	1,500
Signs, fences, beach pathways	1,000
	<u>\$15,700 Total</u>

The recommendation of the staff was that the Heeser Drive access project enlargement be approved and the staff be authorized to proceed with the exercising of the option and with the development as planned.

Mr. Elser voiced the opinion that purchase of property to protect the right of way to the ocean is money well spent and that the Board has looked favorably on access projects of this type.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY SENATOR QUICK, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE HEESER DRIVE COASTAL ANGLING ACCESS ENLARGEMENT, MENDOCINO COUNTY; ALLOCATE THE SUM OF \$15,700 FOR ACQUISITION OF LANDS UNDER OPTION AND FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA AS PLANNED; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE HEESER DRIVE COASTAL ANGLING ACCESS ENLARGEMENT, MENDOCINO COUNTY; ALLOCATE THE SUM OF \$15,700 FOR ACQUISITION OF LANDS UNDER OPTION AND FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA AS PLANNED; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

8. Pittsburg Public Fishing Access - Contra Costa County \$48,600

The City of Pittsburg has proposed a WCB project to provide for fishing access into Delta waterways. They propose a boat landing facility on city-owned land adjoining Cutter Street. This site is most convenient for access into Suisun Bay, the waterways around the several islands offshore, and the mouths of both the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. This is one of the better known striped bass fishing areas.

Adequate land is available and the City has agreed to provide a free 20 year lease to the State for the necessary land for parking and boat launching facilities. The City has further agreed by resolution to maintain the area and keep it open and free to the public.

In addition, the City will, with their own funds, provide for overflow parking as needed and will do certain improvements on the existing turning basin near the ramp.

There are no adequate public launching facilities in Pittsburg, the nearest being at Antioch several miles distant, and that facility is often being used to capacity.

The Department of Fish and Game in their fishery evaluation of a project to be located in this area recommends the project and notes that the adjacent waters support a large sports fishery for striped bass, sturgeon, and catfish. Use will be heaviest from October to May, it is noted.

The Department recommendation further notes that from October to January many waterfowl hunters would use the project to obtain boat access to Sherman, Chipps and Van Sickle Islands. Summer use of the project would include general recreational boaters.

The project would consist of a parking area, a two lane launching ramp with adjacent loading floats. The ramp would be located in a turning basin and would be protected during rough weather.

The plans were prepared by the City of Pittsburg with assistance and approval of our Department engineering staff. The design features and cost estimates are as follows:



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

Two-lane ramp with 2 walkways	\$30,000	
Loading floats - both sides of ramp		
6 - 8'x16' units	4,200	
Paving and grading - 1 acre parking	12,000	
Signs, site clearance, fencing	1,000	
Contingencies	1,400	
	<u>\$48,600</u>	Total

It was Mr. Nesbit's recommendation that the project be approved, that \$48,600 be allocated for development and that the staff and the Department be authorized to proceed with the project substantially as planned.

Mayor Alfred Affinito of Pittsburg explained that there is considerable interest in the area and has been for a number of years to provide this access project. The city is located at the confluence of the San Joaquin and Sacramento rivers and is closely associated with the growth areas of Concord, Lafayette, and other cities in Contra Costa County for which they must provide fishing accesses to the rivers.

At Mr. Nesbit's urging, the large contingent from Pittsburg who made the trip to support this proposal stood up and were recognized by the Board members.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE PITTSBURG PUBLIC FISHING ACCESS, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$48,600 FOR DEVELOPMENT AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE PITTSBURG PUBLIC FISHING ACCESS, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$48,600 FOR DEVELOPMENT; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

9. Moccasin Creek Hatchery Expansion - Tuolumne County \$45,000  
(Lake Tahoe Kokanee Program)

Mr. Nesbit related that this hatchery is one of the major WCB projects. It was completed in 1955 and since then has been an important unit in the Department of Fish and Game hatchery system. Total WCB expenditure was \$697,955.31. The Department has now proposed the expansion of this hatchery to assist in providing a better fishery in Lake Tahoe.

Recently, a California-Nevada cooperative fishery study of Lake Tahoe was completed by fisheries biologists from both states. The conclusions reached were that there was a reasonable chance of success for a large stocking program of kokanee fry in the order of 10 million annually. Kokanee hold great



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

promise for Tahoe because they would realize maximum production of game fish from this relatively infertile water. The very short food chain, going directly from plankton in the open waters of the lake to game fish, in the case of kokanee, will produce about 10 times as much game fish flesh per surface acre of lake as a three-link food chain like the one for lake trout -- plankton to minnows to lake trout.

The Department has had good success elsewhere planting small kokanee in the open waters of large lakes. The fish are stocked about the time they begin to feed, making them very inexpensive to produce.

Natural runs of kokanee in Taylor Creek, tributary to Lake Tahoe, have been large enough to provide about 4 million eggs in some recent years. Unfortunately, the lake's tributaries are far too limited to produce enough fry to develop a fishery in such a large body of water. However, California and Nevada biologists believe the chances of doing so with hatchery-produced fry are excellent.

Moccasin Creek Hatchery is the logical one to expand, because of its favorable location, and its history of economical production of fish. Operating costs, which the Department would absorb, would be on the order of \$13,000 annually.

The hatchery building could be extended to house 70 drum-type incubators to hatch the eggs. Six 14-foot diameter redwood tanks could be installed adjacent to the hatchery building. These would be used to rear fingerlings. The water distribution headworks would have to be raised to provide water pressure to operate the incubators and tanks.

No additional planting equipment would be required.

The Department of Fish and Game Engineering Section has estimated the cost of the project as follows:

Redwood tanks, 6 @ \$600/ea.	\$ 3,600
Reinforced concrete slab, 4,460 sq.ft. @ \$1.50/sq.ft.	6,700
Hatchery addition, 1,000 sq. ft. @ \$5.50/sq. ft.	5,500
Nursery tank shelter, 3,460 sq.ft. @ \$3.00/sq.ft.	10,300
Incubators, 1.s.	10,000
Water supply and drain extension, 1.s.	1,500
Water distribution box modifications, 1.s.	2,000
Paving and ground work, 1.s.	900
	Subtotal \$40,500
Contingencies	4,500
	Total est. cost \$45,000

With the approval of this expansion, the work could be completed in time for 1967 production and stocking in the lake in 1968. These fish should then begin to reach the fisherman's creel during the summer of 1970.

Mr. Nesbit recommended that the project be approved, that \$45,000 be allocated for hatchery expansion, and that the staff and the Department be authorized to proceed with the project substantially as planned.

Since this is a project to improve the Lake Tahoe fishery, Senator Quick asked if Nevada is willing to cooperate on this project or has planned production of kokanee for planting in the Lake.

It was indicated by both Mr. Nesbit and Mr. Robert Macklin that the State of Nevada is intensely interested in improving the fishery at Lake Tahoe and has contributed in aiding the fishery with its cutthroat program. Nevada will also provide eggs for this kokanee program.

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY SENATOR QUICK, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE EXPANSION OF THE MOCCASIN CREEK HATCHERY IN TUOLUMNE COUNTY FOR THE LAKE TAHOE KOKANEE PROGRAM; ALLOCATE \$45,000 THEREFOR; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE EXPANSION OF THE MOCCASIN CREEK HATCHERY IN TUOLUMNE COUNTY FOR THE LAKE TAHOE KOKANEE PROGRAM; ALLOCATE \$45,000 THEREFOR; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

10. Bear River Fishing Access - Placer and Nevada Counties \$130,000

Mr. Nesbit reported that this project is planned to provide permanent fishing and recreational access to the Bear River near Colfax. Fishing is done by bank fishing and wading. No boat launching facilities are needed or planned. The Bear River has historically been a good trout fishing stream and is expected to remain so because of the assured flows. A 75 c.f.s. minimum flow in the river is the required release from the recently completed Rollins Dam, three miles above this site.

A possible opportunity arose for WCB purchase of land on both sides of the Bear River for a total river frontage of nearly  $4\frac{1}{2}$  miles. An option was taken on this property. A final survey would be required to fix the exact acreage, but the option was taken for 267 acres which would be adjusted slightly either way if and when a survey is made. The cost per acre under this option was \$433. The purchase cannot be made until the fair market value is established, and the seller agrees to sell at or below that price.

The Placer County Board of Supervisors by resolution has agreed to maintain the area and to keep it free and open to the public. Sportsmen in the area have expressed enthusiasm that this river can be made into a public fishing area and support the project.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

The Department of Fish and Game in their recommendation point out that this is a good trout stream and already receives heavy use. They note that present use could be terminated at any time since it is all on a trespass basis. These waters sustain a native population of trout and also are stocked by the Department.

Extensive development is not considered to be necessary since a county road already traverses much of the site. It will be necessary, however, to clear brush, berry vines, and other undesirable vegetation, and mark the boundaries. Some gravel parking areas will be developed as well as minor road extensions. Several springs on the property need to be cleaned and developed and chemical type toilets should be supplied. Much of the labor is expected to be obtained through contract with the State Division of Forestry using inmate labor.

The estimated costs are as follows:

Land acquisition -- including cost of surveys, appraisals, title costs, etc.	\$120,000
Development -- Chemical toilets, clearing, spring development, grading for parking turnouts and minor access roads	10,000
TOTAL	\$130,000

Mr. Nesbit advised that the staff has not been able to get an appraisal for the property nor a finalized option. However, he felt it very important that this property be acquired. It was his recommendation that the project be approved and funds allocated contingent upon staff being able to secure an option which is satisfactory to the Department of General Services and Finance, but not to exceed the sum requested herein. He pointed out there would be recovery of unused funds allocated, should the allocation exceed the purchase price.

In answer to Assemblyman Belotti's question, Mr. Nesbit answered that the per acre price in the option mentions \$433, but that this may be in excess of the fair market value of the property and that another option at a lower per acre cost may be necessary.

Both Mr. Elser and Senator Quick recommended that the Executive Officer be authorized to negotiate the finalized option within the appraised price and which would be acceptable to General Services and that following this he canvass the members and secure an expression from the Board.

Assemblyman Eugene Chappie and Mr. Nick Bishop, Assistant County Executive Officer of Placer County, were introduced.

Mr. Bishop felt that this project was an important one not only for Placer County but also for the Sacramento metropolitan area. Placer County envisions this as providing a green belt in an area which is growing fast. He mentioned that this parcel is in one ownership, for which we are most fortunate. However, it has been difficult to determine the value of the property since there have been no comparable sales in the area.



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

Supervisor Frank Paoli prefaced his remarks by stating that although he does not have as large a number of people in attendance as Contra Costa County, the people he represents are all supporting this project. He believed the area in question to be the most accessible in the County of Placer for general recreation and fishing and hoped that the Board would approve this project. He added that this property is not located in a canyon site as most of the other fishing areas in the county.

It was the consensus of the Board that this parcel should be acquired at or below the appraised value -- in any event not more than \$120,000 -- and that funds be allocated for acquisition and development. Board members should be notified of the finalized option and appraisal figures before acquisition is commenced and the staff is to canvass the members individually to secure an expression from the members before proceeding.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE BEAR RIVER FISHING ACCESS, PLACER COUNTY; APPROVE ACQUISITION OF LANDS FOR THE PROJECT AT OR BELOW THE APPRAISED VALUE, BUT IN NO EVENT SHOULD ACQUISITION COSTS BE IN EXCESS OF \$120,000; AND ALLOCATE \$130,000 FOR ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT. THE BOARD STAFF IS HEREBY INSTRUCTED TO NOTIFY EACH BOARD MEMBER OF THE FINAL APPRAISAL AND OPTION FIGURES PRIOR TO EXERCISING THE OPTION.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE BEAR RIVER FISHING ACCESS, PLACER COUNTY; APPROVE ACQUISITION OF LANDS FOR THE PROJECT AT OR BELOW THE APPRAISED VALUE, BUT IN NO EVENT SHOULD ACQUISITION COSTS BE IN EXCESS OF \$120,000; AND ALLOCATE \$130,000 FOR ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT. THE BOARD STAFF IS HEREBY INSTRUCTED TO NOTIFY EACH BOARD MEMBER OF THE FINAL APPRAISAL AND OPTION FIGURES PRIOR TO EXERCISING THE OPTION.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

11. San Pedro Fishing Pier - Los Angeles County \$20,000

Mr. Nesbit reported that the San Pedro Chapter of the Izaak Walton League and Los Angeles Councilman John Gibson contacted the Wildlife Conservation Board staff in September, 1964, and proposed the idea of a fishing pier in the San Pedro area. Various sites both within the city and outside the city limits were surveyed and all proved impractical except a site inside the breakwater at San Pedro harbor near the Cabrillo Beach area.

In addition to Councilman Gibson, the WCB staff has worked with City Councilman Marvin Braude, as well as Commissioner Stanley Brummel, and General Manager William Frederickson of the City Recreation and Parks Department.

The proposed pier location is very favorable from an engineering standpoint, because of the more economical construction inside the breakwater than in the open ocean. A preliminary estimate is that the cost of pier construction would be approximately \$600,000 or \$300,000 for each agency. In addition, there will be a need for an allocation to hire design engineers to design the pier and appurtenant facilities.

The procedure followed on pier projects such as this is for representatives of both agencies to jointly select design engineers to do the preliminary and final design and cost estimates. Reports of the progress of the design are to be furnished Board members as it progresses. Designs should be completed within six months, and the full project would then be ready for WCB and City of Los Angeles consideration.

This project has been submitted by staff as a proposed project for funding under the second year of the Land and Water Conservation Fund program.

To cover the cost of design, it was recommended by Mr. Nesbit that an allocation of \$20,000 to be matched with a like amount from the City be approved for securing pier design and cost estimates, and that the staff be authorized to request 50% reimbursement under the Land and Water Conservation Fund program. Mr. Nesbit further advised that the Director of Parks and Recreation for the City of Los Angeles was present and that Assemblyman Vincent Thomas has strongly supported this proposal.

Mr. William Frederickson discussed the many advantages of constructing a pier within the harbor. It is more economical to build because of the protected water, the fishing is closer to the level of the water, and the breakwater serves as a reef. He mentioned that the Venice Pier has had tremendous use and that the City appreciates the Board's making this available. He felt there is a great need for this new recreational facility which is in proximity of 2 3/4 million people.

In answer to Mr. Elser's question as to whether a pier would provide better fishing than from shore, Mr. Nesbit stated there is no fishing from the shore but is done from the docks and jetties. He predicted there would be better fishing from the pier since the jetty (being parallel to the proposed pier) will act as a reef, and it would be easier to fish from the pier than from the rocks. Queenfish, white croaker, kelp bass, black perch, surf perch, bonito, opaleye, pile perch, and sculpin are the types of fish to be caught from this proposed pier.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE SAN PEDRO FISHING PIER, LOS ANGELES COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$20,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND, WHICH SUM IS TO BE MATCHED BY THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, TO PROVIDE FOR THE DESIGN AND PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE PIER; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF TO REQUEST 50% REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM?

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.



IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE SAN PEDRO FISHING PIER, LOS ANGELES COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$20,000 FROM THE WILDLIFE RESTORATION FUND, WHICH SUM IS TO BE MATCHED BY THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, TO PROVIDE FOR THE DESIGN AND PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE PIER; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF TO REQUEST 50% REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

\*\*\*\*\*

Mr. Nesbit advised that Items 12 and 13 are land acquisition projects which have qualified under the Land and Water Conservation Fund program. Funding up to \$312,330 for these two projects can come from this fund which is not a part of the regular Wildlife Restoration Fund, but has been earmarked for WCB projects. These funds should be released to the Board shortly after project approval, but the timing and procedures have not yet been definitely established. Staff recommended proceeding on a reimbursement basis to ensure exercising options within the required period.

12. Anderson (Sacramento River) Fishing Access - Shasta County \$135,000

This project has been proposed by the City of Anderson, with support of a number of local organizations.

The proposal is to acquire 130 acres of land with  $1\frac{1}{2}$  miles of frontage on the Sacramento River at a location approximately two miles northeast of Anderson. This parcel adjoins city property and a fishing access project which was acquired and developed by the WCB in 1957 and 1958. This existing project consists of a parking area, boat launching ramp, and sanitary facilities developed on two acres of land purchased from the Dodson family. The City of Anderson by cooperative agreement maintains the facilities.

Recently the Dodson family offered to sell the remainder of their holdings in this area, some 353 acres, to the City of Anderson for recreational purposes. Anderson does not have sufficient funds to purchase the entire property, and has proposed WCB acquisition of the riverfront lands of value for fishing access. The city would buy the remaining 223 acres over a period of years, possibly on a type of lease-purchase arrangement. The entire area would be under operation and maintenance of the city for public recreation, including free access for fishing.

The Department of Fish and Game has favorably recommended acquisition of the parcel of fishing access for several reasons, pointing out that the Sacramento River in this stretch supports an excellent population of resident rainbow trout in addition to large seasonal runs of steelhead trout and king salmon. The low banks and gravel bars of this property are much better suited to shore fishing than much of the upper Sacramento, and the area is

**Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board**  
**August 25, 1966**

in the year-round season for salmon, steelhead, and trout. The Department also states that the water-filled gravel pits on the parcel contain good populations of largemouth bass and bluegills.

The parcel includes a variety of land, varying from undisturbed river bottom with large trees to bare gravel bars and areas that have been worked for gravel extraction. All of the lands are of value for fishing access, and some have a potential for development for picnic, camping, and other compatible recreational uses. The property also has a site suitable for developing additional launching facilities when needed to meet future demands.

In addition to the fishing and other recreational values of this parcel, the  $1\frac{1}{2}$  miles of river has salmon and steelhead spawning areas that would be afforded permanent protection by WCB ownership.

The City of Anderson by resolution of the city council has agreed to assume operation and maintenance of the area and facilities for free public fishing. Only basic development is needed now to open the area and provide access to this fine fishing area. As use develops in future years, additional development may be desirable.

Staff obtained a short-term option to purchase the 130 acres within appraised fair market value. WCB acquisition is highly recommended by staff. Opportunities to purchase sizeable stretches of desirable river frontage by willing sale at fair market value are decreasing rapidly with population growth and attendant residential, commercial, and agricultural development along our main rivers. At the same time, it is becoming increasingly important to secure such lands in public ownership for recreational use and to protect spawning beds for anadromous fish.

Cost estimates are as follows:

Option on land	\$130,000
Minor developments, including roads, fencing, chemical toilets and site brush clearance	4,000
Signs, escrow costs, and contingencies	<u>1,000</u>

Total \$135,000

Mr. Nesbit recommended that \$135,000 be allocated for acquisition and initial development and that the Department and staff be authorized to proceed with the project substantially as planned. He also recommended that the staff be instructed to request reimbursement of the total amount of the project from the Land and Water Conservation Fund program.

Mayor Del Withee introduced members of his delegation which included City of Anderson, Shasta County, and various civic and service club representatives in the area. The City of Anderson has by resolution agreed to maintain and operate the completed project and has obligated themselves to buy the balance of the property of 15 parcels in 15 years or less. He reported that this section of the Sacramento River boasts the best riffles and people come from all over during the salmon and steelhead runs.

Assemblyman Belotti asked if the price per acre established for this parcel was fair market value and whether there would be any problems if the City does not purchase the remainder of the area as planned. Mayor Withee advised that the City has signed an agreement with the present owner to purchase one parcel a year.

Mr. Nesbit confirmed Mr. Shannon's understanding that the sum of \$135,000 would be fully reimbursed from the Land and Water Conservation Fund which has been allocated to the WCB. The proposal is to provide this amount from the Wildlife Restoration Fund and then secure reimbursement under this federal program.

During the discussion it was decided that the name for the project would be the Anderson (Sacramento River) Fishing Access Area, in view of the Board's policy of naming projects according to their geographical location.

A letter from Supervisor Floyd Morgan of Shasta County urging approval of this project was read to the Board members by Supervisor John Perez.

Mr. Nesbit also provided the Board members with a copy of a letter from Assemblywoman Pauline Davis, who was unable to be present at the meeting, which indicated her wholehearted support of the project and voicing the hope that it meets with the approval of the Board.

Letters, telegrams, and resolutions received by staff in support of the project from the following organizations were also mentioned:

Shasta Cascade Wonderland Association  
Anderson Rotary Club  
Sorooptimist Club of Anderson  
International Lions Club - Anderson  
Anderson Chamber of Commerce  
Shasta County Recreation Commission  
Shasta County Planning Commission  
Shasta County Board of Supervisors  
Shasta County Economic Development Corp.  
Anderson V.F.W. Post 9650

IT WAS MOVED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, SECONDED BY SENATOR QUICK, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE ANDERSON (SACRAMENTO RIVER) FISHING ACCESS, SHASTA COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$135,000 FOR ACQUISITION OF LANDS UNDER OPTION AND INITIAL DEVELOPMENT; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED. THE STAFF IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO REQUEST TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM, OR AS MUCH OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT AS HAS BEEN EARMARKED FOR THE WCB UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.



IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE ANDERSON (SACRAMENTO RIVER) FISHING ACCESS, SHASTA COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$135,000 FOR ACQUISITION OF LANDS UNDER OPTION AND INITIAL DEVELOPMENT; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED. THE STAFF IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO REQUEST TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM, OR AS MUCH OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT AS HAS BEEN EARMARKED FOR THE WCB UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

13. American River Fishing Access, Sacramento County \$185,000

Mr. Nesbit reviewed that at the December 13, 1965, meeting, the proposed fishing access and parkway along the American River near Sacramento was discussed. The County had proposed that the Wildlife Conservation Board join with them in the purchase of a strip along the south bank of the river from the Nimbus Hatchery to the Sunrise Bridge, a distance of slightly over two miles. At that meeting staff was instructed to proceed with the negotiations of that portion to be acquired by the State. This has been done and an option to purchase approximately one mile frontage, totaling 68 acres, has been signed.

Concurrent with the WCB negotiations, the County has also reached an agreement with the owners of the property for the same price per acre as the State. Three classes of lands are involved with individual values for each class. In addition to the 68 acres proposed for WCB purchase, the County has completed negotiations and is funded to purchase the additional 81 acres needed for the complete project.

When acquisition is completed, the plan is to make the entire area an aquatic parkway which will be largely oriented to fishing. Other recreational opportunities will also be afforded by making this land public. Presently the area is heavily used during fishing season, but all use is trespass and can be excluded at any time.

The Department of Fish and Game, in their fisheries evaluation, support the project and note that this area is important for fishing access and will become more so in the near future. The north bank is steeper and less accessible to fishermen because of private land and subdivisions. Significant runs of salmon, steelhead, and shad frequent this stretch of the river at various times of the year. Striped bass and warmwater game fishes are also found in the river.

The Department estimates that about 40 percent of all salmon spawning in the river use the project area. A Department of Fish and Game fisheries biologist has estimated that the river gravels are worth \$140,000 annually for salmon spawning. Moreover, this is one of the few sections of river where photographers, nature study groups, and sightseers can actually drive to the river and observe salmon up to 40 pounds on the spawning beds. The esthetic values are considerable.



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

Quite recently there has been concern expressed by individuals and various conservation organizations regarding minimum flow releases into the American River below Nimbus, particularly after construction of Auburn Dam and the Folsom South Canal. Newspaper articles have indicated that minimum "dry year" releases of 250 c.f.s. from Nimbus might result in degradation of the river.

Mr. Nesbit stated staff shared this concern, but at the same time pointed out to the Board that the Bureau of Reclamation has initiated a study with local, state, and other federal agencies participating, directed toward optimum use of the American River. The Central Valley Water Quality Control Board is making a study to determine if present guaranteed minimum flows will adequately dilute the effluent discharged into the river from various treatment plants. The Department of Fish and Game is cooperating in these studies to assure that the quantity and quality of river flow will be adequate to maintain fish life. He was confident that adequate releases will be maintained as a result of studies to determine what conditions and flows are necessary.

When this project proposal was briefly explained to the Board, it was indicated that about \$250,000 would be needed for acquisition. The total acreage has been scaled downward, however, and acquisition cost is now considerably less.

Presently, only minor improvement is planned, consisting of brush removal, fencing, minor road work, signs, some site clearing, and sanitary facilities. Additional development would be accomplished on a cooperative basis in conjunction with adjacent Sacramento County acquisition as future use demands.

Cost estimates are as follows:

Option on land	\$176,940
Brush removal, fencing, roads, sanitary facilities	6,000
Signs, escrow costs, contingencies	2,060
TOTAL	\$185,000

Mr. Nesbit recommended that \$185,000 be allocated for acquisition and initial development and that the Department and staff be authorized to proceed with the project substantially as planned. In addition, it was his further recommendation that the staff be instructed to request reimbursement of the total amount of this project from the Land and Water Conservation Fund program. He mentioned that letters of support and requesting favorable action on this proposal had been received from the California Fly Fishermen Unlimited of Sacramento and the Save the American River Association.

Mr. William B. Pond, Director of Parks and Recreation for the County of Sacramento, related that the county has been committed to acquire lands downstream from this site so that in all it would provide continuous access to the Sacramento River for about  $9\frac{1}{2}$  miles. This is one of the prime fishing areas and is used extensively for other recreational pursuits and by conservationists. He advised that there is a great deal of support for this

acquisition and urged the Board's favorable consideration.

Mrs. Joan Brackman read a statement of the Sierra Club, Mother Lode Chapter, endorsing the proposed acquisition of 68 acres. It was mentioned that the proposed purchase would provide badly needed open space within the rapidly growing Sacramento metropolitan area.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE AMERICAN RIVER FISHING ACCESS, SACRAMENTO COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$185,000 FOR ACQUISITION OF LANDS UNDER OPTION AND FOR INITIAL DEVELOPMENT; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED. THE STAFF IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO REQUEST TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM, OR AS MUCH OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT AS HAS BEEN EARMARKED FOR THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE AMERICAN RIVER FISHING ACCESS, SACRAMENTO COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$185,000 FOR ACQUISITION OF LANDS UNDER OPTION AND FOR INITIAL DEVELOPMENT; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED. THE STAFF IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO REQUEST TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM, OR AS MUCH OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT AS HAS BEEN EARMARKED FOR THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

14. Mendota Pool Fishing Access - Fresno County \$20,400

Mr. Nesbit presented the Mendota Pool Fishing Access project which was proposed by the County of Fresno.

Mendota Pool is formed by Mendota Dam on the San Joaquin River just below its junction with Fresno Slough. This impoundment also is the southerly terminus of the Delta-Mendota Canal, which feeds water into Fresno Slough. The location is 2 miles north of the town of Mendota and approximately 50 miles west of Fresno.

The pool provides fishing for striped bass, largemouth bass, catfish, crappie, and other warmwater fish, as well as some hunting for waterfowl.

For project purposes and other recreational development, the County of Fresno has obtained leases from the Central California Irrigation District and the Bureau of Reclamation, and also has purchased approximately 8 acres

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

of private land. A long-term free lease on the 5 acre project area would be provided to the State by Fresno County. The County by resolution has indicated willingness to operating and maintaining a fishing access project at this site for free public use.

Facilities planned include an access road and parking area, launching ramp, loading floats, and chemical toilets. Plans and specifications have been prepared by the Department of Fish and Game engineering section and reviewed by WCB staff. Cost estimates are as follows:

Move in and out, dredging	\$ 600
Access road and parking	9,900
Single lane concrete ramp	5,000
Platform, gangway and loading floats	2,500
Chemical toilets and screens	1,000
Subtotal	\$19,000
Contingencies and signs	1,000
Land & Water Conservation Fund	
Program OH assessment	400
TOTAL	\$20,400

This project has been submitted by staff as a proposed WCB project under the Land and Water Conservation Fund program for the current fiscal year, in accordance with procedures authorized by the Board at the meeting of April 15, 1966. Mr. Nesbit expressed the hope that the procedures that worked so efficiently and expeditiously under the Accelerated Public Works program, another federal grant program, can be applied here. This procedure consisted of the Board allocating the total funds needed to proceed with a project, and an application being made for 50% reimbursement under the federal program.

Mr. Nesbit recommended the project be approved, that \$20,400 be allocated for development and related costs, and that staff and the Department be authorized to proceed substantially as planned. He further recommended that staff apply for 50% reimbursement under the second year's funding for the Land and Water Conservation Fund program, but that project not be held up if it does not qualify under that program.

IT WAS MOVED BY SENATOR QUICK, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE MENDOTA POOL FISHING ACCESS, FRESNO COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$20,400 FOR ITS DEVELOPMENT; AND AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED. THE STAFF IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO SUBMIT THIS PROJECT FOR 50% REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS REGULARLY MOVED AND SECONDED THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD APPROVE THE MENDOTA POOL FISHING ACCESS, FRESNO COUNTY; ALLOCATE \$20,400 FOR ITS DEVELOPMENT; AND

AUTHORIZE THE STAFF AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT SUBSTANTIALLY AS PLANNED. THE STAFF IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO SUBMIT THIS PROJECT FOR 50% REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PROGRAM.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Harold Meserve, Director of Parks and Recreation for Fresno County, who arrived at the meeting following this action was given a report of the Board action. He related that the Delta-Mendota Canal which was opened to fishing two years ago is getting tremendous use.

15. San Francisco Bay Fishing Access - Alameda County

This preview of a boating access and fishing pier to provide fishing in and access to San Francisco Bay was given by Mr. William McCall, a city councilman from Alameda.

Mr. McCall provided an aerial photo of the bay and indicated the project would be on city-owned property and would complement the Beaches and Parks area adjacent to it. He explained how the project would provide access to the whole South Bay area. It was his request that the Wildlife Conservation Board staff be authorized to make a feasibility study and to work with the City of Alameda in working up this project for Board consideration at a later date.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. SHANNON, SECONDED BY ASSEMBLYMAN BELOTTI, AS A JOINT MOTION, THAT THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD INSTRUCT STAFF TO MAKE A FEASIBILITY STUDY AND TO WORK WITH THE CITY OF ALAMEDA IN DEVELOPING PLANS FOR A FISHING ACCESS AND PIER IN THE CITY OF ALAMEDA AND PRESENT THIS PROPOSAL FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AT A FUTURE MEETING.

PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

16. Land and Water Conservation Fund Program - Status Report

Mr. Nesbit offered the following report relative to this program to be entered into the minutes of this meeting.

This program of federal aid for outdoor recreation and WCB participation therein was discussed at Board meetings on December 13, 1965, and April 15, 1966. To review briefly the status of the program, the Land and Water Conservation Fund Committee last year recommended that the WCB receive approximately \$450,000 of the State's first allocation of federal funds which at that time was estimated would be \$4.8 million.

The amount for the WCB program later was firmed up at \$462,370 by approval of the Agency Administrator. However, this sum subsequently was reduced to



Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

\$312,370, when initial revenues for the federal program appeared to be less than anticipated. Projects affected by the \$150,000 reduction were promised first priority from the second year's allocation of funds.

The State now has received the first allocation of federal monies. Fiscal and accounting procedures are being established for disbursing funds to the appropriate agencies, which it is hoped will be in the near future.

This first federal payment to the State actually is reimbursement for projects completed in late 1964 and 1965, covering the period from enactment of the law until Bureau of Outdoor Recreation procedures for review and approval of proposed new projects were established.

Staff has recommended that these first Land and Water Conservation Fund monies be used for 100% financing of the American River and Anderson (Sacramento River) Fishing Access projects on a reimbursement basis, as indicated in items 12 and 13 of the agenda. Such 100% financing with federal funds is permissible with these first year funds.

Future projects under this program will require WCB matching funds for each project. In accordance with procedures authorized by the Board at the April 15, 1966, meeting, staff has submitted to the Division of Recreation a list of 10 new projects with total estimated costs of \$1,173,000 for eligibility for Land and Water Conservation Fund monies available in 1966-67. The amount California will receive for the second year of the program currently is estimated at about \$4 million. Three projects being held in abeyance because of the \$150,000 reduction were resubmitted for the first priority to be accorded such applications.

The Land and Water Conservation Fund Committee will meet on August 30th, 1966, to review and consider proposed projects and Division of Recreation recommended priorities therefor. The Committee will make recommendations to the Agency Administrator for allocation of the available funds. Project applications approved by the Administrator will be forwarded to the federal Bureau of Outdoor Recreation for review as to eligibility under the program.

It is hoped that the Land and Water Conservation Fund Committee and the Administrator again will approve an appropriate share of these funds being allocated to the WCB program. This will ensure that projects related to hunting, fishing, and other enjoyment of fish and wildlife will be included in the Land and Water Conservation Fund program, as was the intent of the legislation and the sportsmen's organizations who were important sponsors.

It is also hoped that the reimbursement procedure which worked so efficiently and expeditiously under the somewhat similar federal APW program can be utilized here -- that of the Board making an allocation from the Wildlife Restoration Fund for 100% financing of a project and authorizing application for 50% reimbursement under the federal program. Staff is assuming that this procedure will be desirable and acceptable, in proceeding in this manner with the San Pedro fishing pier and the Mendota Pool fishing access.

Minutes of Meeting, Wildlife Conservation Board  
August 25, 1966

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned by Chairman Elser at 3:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

RAYMOND J. NESBIT  
Executive Officer

\$4,554,499.31  
2,902,090.92

6,341,339.51

146,894.49  
6,023,243.58

473,711.72  
238,297.08  
28,500.00

\$20,738,876.64

\*includes \$312,859.27 reimbursed under Federal Accelerated Public Works Program completed in 1965-66 F.Y.

Operating Costs:

FY 47/48 thru 63/64 Actual	\$914,239.62
FY 64/65 Estimated	91,100.00
FY 65/66 Estimated	92,881.00
FY 66/67 Estimated	92,562.00
Total Actual and Estimated Operating Costs	\$1,197,782.62

Recapitulation:

Available thru 63/64	\$430,020.82
Total Expended or Obligated	21,936,659.26
Total Sum	\$22,366,710.08
Reimbursement from Accelerated Public Works Pro.	312,859.27
Miscellaneous Revenue 66/67 FY	150.00
Miscellaneous Revenue thru 65/66 FY	158,159.77
Int. on Surplus Money Inv. thru 63/66	852,240.74
Approp. made available 7/1/66	750,000.00
Total Funds Appropriated	\$20,250,000.00
Total Expended or Obligated	21,936,659.26
Expenses of Operation	1,197,782.62
Allocations for Projects	\$20,738,876.64

The amount allocated to projects from the Wildlife Restoration Fund as of the close of the meeting on August 25, 1966, aggregated \$20,738,876.64\*.

a. Fish Hatchery and Stocking Projects . . . . .	\$4,554,499.31
b. Fish Habitat Development and Improvement Projects . . . . .	2,902,090.95
1. Reservoir Construction or Improvement . . . . .	\$1,680,684.09
2. Stream Clearance and Improvement . . . . .	208,170.98
3. Stream Flow Maintenance Dams . . . . .	439,503.32
4. Marine Habitat . . . . .	83,913.10
5. Fish Screens, Ladders & Weir Projects . . . . .	489,819.46
c. Angling Access Projects . . . . .	6,341,339.51
1. Coastal Access . . . . .	722,045.62
2. River, Stream and Bay Access . . . . .	1,887,682.98
3. Lake, Reservoir, and Salton Sea Access . . . . .	1,834,343.76
4. Piers . . . . .	1,897,267.15
d. Game Farm Projects . . . . .	146,894.49
e. Game Habitat Development and Improvement Projects . . . . .	6,023,543.58
1. Waterfowl Areas . . . . .	5,515,665.48
2. Other Wildlife Management Areas . . . . .	69,428.98
3. Miscellaneous Game Habitat Development . . . . .	438,449.12
f. Hunting Access . . . . .	473,711.72
g. Miscellaneous Projects . . . . .	238,297.08
s. Special Project Allocations . . . . .	58,500.00
<hr/>	
Total Allocated to Projects . . . . .	\$20,738,876.64

\*Includes \$312,859.57 reimbursed under Federal Accelerated Public Works Program completed in 1965-66 F.Y.

**Operating Costs:**

FY 47/48 thru 63/64 Actual . . . . .	\$914,239.62
FY 64/65 Estimated . . . . .	91,100.00
FY 65/66 Estimated . . . . .	95,881.00
FY 66/67 Estimated . . . . .	96,562.00
Total Actual and Estimated Operating Costs . . . . .	\$1,197,782.62

**Recapitulation:**

Allocations for Projects . . . . .	\$20,738,876.64
Expenses of Operation . . . . .	1,197,782.62
Total Expended or Obligated . . . . .	\$21,936,659.26
Total Funds Appropriated . . . . .	\$20,250,000.00
Approp. made available 7/1/66 . . . . .	750,000.00
Int. on Surplus Money Inv. thru 6/30/66 . . . . .	895,540.74
Miscellaneous Revenue thru 65/66 FY . . . . .	158,159.77
Miscellaneous Revenue 66/67 FY . . . . .	150.00
Reimbursement from Accelerated Public Works Pro. . . . .	312,859.57
Total Sum . . . . .	\$22,366,710.08
Total Expended or Obligated . . . . .	21,936,659.26
Available thru 6/30/67 . . . . .	\$430,050.82

STATUS OF FUNDS

STATE BEACH, PARK, RECREATIONAL & HISTORICAL FACILITIES BOND ACT FUND  
AS APPROVED BY WILDLIFE CONSERVATION BOARD AND LEGISLATURE THRU 1966/67 F.Y.

I. MODERNIZATION, CONSOLIDATION & AUTOMATION OF FISH HATCHERIES

American River Trout Hatchery . . . . .	\$1,030,300
Working drawings, construction and initial equipment	
Fillmore Trout Hatchery . . . . .	676,000
Land acquisition, working drawings, construction & equipment	
San Joaquin Hatchery . . . . .	100,000
Design and construction	
Mad River Anadromous Fish Hatchery . . . . .	138,000
Plans and working drawings	
Bishop Creek Hatchery . . . . .	81,500
Plans and working drawings	

II. PURCHASE OF KEY LANDS FOR ACCESS AND FISH AND GAME HABITAT

Tehama Winter Deer Range . . . . .	87,606
Purchase of 640 acres (\$22,975.56)	
Negotiating for additional land (\$64,630.44 balance in account)	
Spenceville Wildlife Management Area . . . . .	40,394
Purchase of 585 acres	
Los Banos & Mendota Waterfowl Management Areas . . . . .	250,000
Purchase of 440.07 acres at Mendota (\$188,877)	
Purchase of 207.80 acres at Los Banos (\$46,506.30)	
Total cost \$235,383.30; balance in account \$14,616.70	

III. ARTIFICIAL REEFS AT SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PIERS

Construction of seven pier reefs . . . . .	177,000
--	---------

IV. PROJECT ASSISTANCE . . . . .	14,249
Total expended or obligated	<u>\$2,595,049</u>

RECAPITULATION:

Total Funds Available	\$5,000,000
Total Expended or Obligated	<u>2,595,049</u>
Balance of Funds	<u>\$2,404,951</u>