

Marine Life Protection Act Initiative



Applying Lessons Learned from Past Marine Protected Area Processes

Scott McCreary, Principal, CONCUR, Inc.

Satie Airamé, South Coast Science and Planning Advisor, MLPA Initiative

Sean Hastings, Policy Coordinator, Channel Islands National
Marine Sanctuary

Presentation to the MLPA South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group
October 6, 2008 • El Segundo, CA




Overall Approach

- Take stock of past planning efforts, process choices, and results
 - Reports by M. Harty/D. John, J. Raab, CONCUR Inc.
- Learn from experience in each region
 - Includes detailed feedback from regional stakeholder group members and interviews with MLPA Blue Ribbon Task Force
- Improve process for MLPA South Coast Study Region



Initiation and Design of the Process

- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Consultation with stakeholders is an important step in marine protected area (MPA) planning process
 - Leadership by state and federal agencies and available funding kept Channel Islands process on track
 - Increased communication between stakeholders and scientists was desired



Initiation and Design of the Process


Lessons learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Strong legislative mandate created clear goals for MLPA implementation; master plan created structure
- MOU between State of California and RLFF created strong funding mechanism
- Strong initiative team (including California Department of Fish and Game and contractors) propelled work to completion
- Increased dialogue between task force and regional stakeholder group was desired



Key Policy Guidelines

- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Important to engage all agencies with overlapping and/or adjacent jurisdiction
 - Participants and public need to understand decision-making process so they know when and how appropriate to provide comments
 - Goals and objectives are critical for planning MPAs



Key Policy Guidelines


- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Failure to enforce groundrules resulted in one group member resigning and lack of consensus on single MPA proposal
 - Consensus on single proposal may be unrealistic goal for stakeholder group with diverse interests
 - Consensus on single proposal is not needed for CEQA or NEPA; range of alternative proposals needed



Key Policy Guidelines

Lessons learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Clarity on intended work product essential for regional stakeholder group success
- Important to keep focus on policy objectives of MLPA
- Task force plays key role in setting and refining policy guidance
- Focus on developing alternatives instead of consensus keeps process moving and gives policy-makers range of options



Stakeholders: Selection & Guidance


- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Critical to include broad range of stakeholders
 - Facilitation important to maintain open communication



Selection of / Guidance to RSG

Lessons Learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Important to establish and apply selection criteria for regional stakeholder group membership
- Helpful to appoint regional stakeholder group members with broad knowledge of study region, experience in collaborative planning
- Cross-interest work teams contributed to progress and successful completion of regional stakeholder charge




Types of MPAs Used in Planning

- State marine reserve
 - No extraction area
- State marine park
 - No commercial extraction area
- State marine conservation area
 - Allows or limits recreational and/or commercial extraction



Types of MPAs Used in Planning

- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - A variety of different types of MPAs allow flexibility in planning
 - Different types of MPAs may be used to meet different goals and objectives
 - Conservation areas have been more difficult to enforce and more difficult for users to understand than marine reserves



Types of MPAs Used in Planning


Lessons learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Each MPA should be based on clear goals and objectives
- Different MPA designation categories give stakeholders options and flexibility
- Paired sets of MPAs with different regulations allow for comparative research
- Special closures can supplement MPAs



Approaches to Building MPA Proposals

- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Stakeholders developed MPA proposals based on local knowledge and information provided by advisory teams
 - Scientists provided data, guidelines and evaluation of MPA proposals
 - High level of communication is desirable between stakeholders and scientists during planning process
 - Ease of enforcement is an important consideration for MPA design



Approaches to Building MPA Proposals


- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Assumption of total loss of all consumptive activities from proposed MPAs not realistic
 - Socioeconomic analysis did not include any means of evaluating potential benefits of MPAs to users
 - Socioeconomic evaluation was not able to take into account variables such as changes in fishery regulations, cost of fuel, shifts in foreign seafood markets, etc.
 - Uncertainties in socioeconomic analysis should be clearly identified



Approaches to Building MPA Proposals

Lessons Learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Iterative process of proposing MPAs, gaining SAT review, and BRTF feedback is key to MPA proposal development and creates opportunities for sharing solutions
- Important to keep regional stakeholder group together through iterative process
- Broad cross-interest support is preferred by task force, and supported by CA Fish and Game Commission
- Helpful to focus on internal stakeholder proposals
- Creating opportunities for fully developed external proposals enhances participation



Stakeholders, Science and Policy

- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Important for stakeholders to understand legal basis for action, agency mandates, policies, goals and objectives, authority and regulatory process
 - Important for stakeholders and scientists to be clear in their roles in process of designing MPAs
 - Participants want to know how MPAs will affect or be integrated into other types of regulations and management plans



Stakeholders, Science and Policy

Lessons Learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Useful to structure regional profile development as a joint fact-finding process
- SAT member briefings need to be complemented by direct dialogue with regional stakeholder group
- Helpful to have a formal mechanism for stakeholder group members to pose science questions to SAT
- Stakeholder group members benefit from direct opportunity to present proposals to task force
- Helpful to include stakeholder group members in final task force deliberations



Tools and Support for RSG


- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Essential to provide easy access to data
 - Participants should be made aware of limitations in available data
 - Information about individual users and their activities should be protected through data aggregation and data sharing agreements
 - Important to provide a means of evaluating proposed MPAs



Tools and Support for RSG

Lessons Learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Increasing user access and familiarity with GIS tools is essential
- Building a solid information base early in regional stakeholder group process is key step
- Establishing clear guidelines for developing and sharing draft proposals is key
- Creating mechanism for gathering and summarizing information on potential economic impacts is key
- Strong planning and GIS staff support is critical



Final Deliberations of Stakeholders

- Lessons learned from Channel Islands process
 - Reasonable outcome is to evaluate range of MPA proposals that reflect diverse views of stakeholders
 - Not necessarily realistic to strive for consensus on a single mapped proposal
 - Consensus on single proposal is not needed for CEQA or NEPA; range of alternative proposals is needed



Final Deliberations of RSG

Lessons Learned from MLPA Initiative process

- Keeping full regional stakeholder group together through development of final proposals is important
- Side-by-side comparison may point out many “common elements” of proposals
- Helpful to create opportunities for stakeholder group to explain logic of proposals at task force meeting, before recommendation is made to CA Fish and Game Commission